

A THEOREM CONCERNING SYSTEMS OF RESIDUE CLASSES

Z. W. SUN

We first introduce some notation. As usual (n_1, \dots, n_k) (resp. $[n_1, \dots, n_k]$) stands for the greatest common divisor (resp. least common multiple) of n_1, \dots, n_k . By system we mean a multi-set whose elements are unordered but may occur repeatedly. Following Š. Znam [8] we use $a(n)$ to denote the residue class

$$\{x \in \mathbb{Z}: x \equiv a \pmod{n}\}.$$

For a system

$$(1) \quad A = \{a_i(n_i)\}_{i=1}^k$$

of residue classes, the n_i are called its moduli.

Definition. An integer T is said to be a covering period of (1) if it is a period of the characteristic function of the set $\bigcup_{i=1}^k a_i(n_i)$.

It is clear that $[n_1, \dots, n_k]$ is a covering period of (1), and that any covering period is a multiple of the smallest positive one.

For any set S of integers we use $d(S)$ to denote the asymptotic density

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} |\{0 \leq x < N: x \in S\}|.$$

($|A|$ is the cardinality of A .) The limit obviously exists if S is a union of finitely many residue classes. In fact

$$d\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^k a_i(n_i)\right) = \frac{1}{N} |\{0 \leq x < N: x \in a_i(n_i) \text{ for some } i\}|$$

where N is any positive common multiple of n_1, \dots, n_k .

Our main result is

Received November 10, 1989; revised June 21, 1990.
1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification* (1985 *Revision*). Primary 11B25; Secondary 11A07, 11B05.

Theorem. Let T be the smallest positive covering period of (1). Then we have

$$(2) \quad \frac{(n_1, \dots, n_k)}{(T, n_1, \dots, n_k)} \leq \max_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^+} |\{1 \leq i \leq k : n_i = n\}| \sum_{d | \frac{[n_1, \dots, n_k]}{(n_1, \dots, n_k)}} \frac{1}{d}.$$

To prove it we need two lemmas.

Lemma 1. $d\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^k a_i(n_i)\right) \geq d\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^k 0(n_i)\right).$

This is Lemma 2.3 of R.J.Simpson [6]. We can also prove it by using Theorem 1 of [2].

Lemma 2. Let $n_1, \dots, n_k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, and let P be a finite set of primes such that all the n_i are contained in

$$\bar{P} = \{n \in \mathbb{Z}^+ : \text{all prime divisors of } n \text{ belong to } P\}.$$

Then

$$d\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^k 0(n_i)\right) = \left(\prod_{p \in P} \frac{p-1}{p}\right) \sum_{n \in \bar{P} \cap \bigcup_{i=1}^k 0(n_i)} \frac{1}{n}.$$

Proof. We note first that

$$\sum_{n \in \bar{P} \cap \bigcup_{i=1}^k 0(n_i)} \frac{1}{n} \leq \sum_{n \in \bar{P}} \frac{1}{n} = \prod_{p \in P} \left(1 + \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p^2} + \dots\right) = \prod_{p \in P} \frac{p}{p-1}.$$

Let $N = [n_1, \dots, n_k]$ and $N_m = \left(\prod_{p \in P} p\right)^m$. For sufficiently large m we have $N | N_m$. From the inclusion-exclusion principle it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} d\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^k 0(n_i)\right) &= \frac{1}{N} \left| \left\{ 0 \leq x < N : x \in \bigcup_{i=1}^k 0(n_i) \right\} \right| \\ &= \frac{1}{N} \left(\sum_{i=1}^k |\{0 \leq x < N : n_i | x\}| - \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} |\{0 \leq x < N : [n_i, n_j] | x\}| + \dots \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (-1)^{k-1} |\{0 \leq x < N : [n_1, \dots, n_k] | x\}| \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{N} \left(\sum_{i=1}^k \frac{N}{n_i} - \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} \frac{N}{[n_i, n_j]} + \dots + (-1)^{k-1} \frac{N}{[n_1, \dots, n_k]} \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \frac{1}{\prod_{p \in P} \left(1 + \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p^2} + \dots\right)} \left(\sum_{i=1}^k \frac{1}{n_i} \sum_{d \in \overline{P}} \frac{1}{d} - \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} \frac{1}{[n_i, n_j]} \sum_{d \in \overline{P}} \frac{1}{d} + \dots \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + (-1)^{k-1} \frac{1}{[n_1, \dots, n_k]} \sum_{d \in \overline{P}} \frac{1}{d} \right) \\
 &= \prod_{p \in P} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \left(\sum_{i=1}^k \frac{1}{n_i} \sum_{d \mid \frac{Nm}{n_i}} \frac{1}{d} - \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} \frac{1}{[n_i, n_j]} \sum_{d \mid \frac{Nm}{[n_i, n_j]}} \frac{1}{d} + \dots \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + (-1)^{k-1} \frac{1}{[n_1, \dots, n_k]} \sum_{d \mid \frac{Nm}{[n_1, \dots, n_k]}} \frac{1}{d} \right) \\
 &= \left(\prod_{p \in P} \frac{p-1}{p} \right) \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \left(\sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{n_i \mid n \mid Nm} \frac{1}{n} - \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} \sum_{[n_i, n_j] \mid n \mid Nm} \frac{1}{n} + \dots \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + (-1)^{k-1} \sum_{[n_1, \dots, n_k] \mid n \mid Nm} \frac{1}{n} \right) \\
 &\quad (d \mid n \mid m \text{ stands for “} d \mid n \text{ and } n \mid m \text{”}) \\
 &= \left(\prod_{p \in P} \frac{p-1}{p} \right) \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{\substack{n_i \mid n \mid Nm \\ \text{for some } i}} \frac{1}{n} = \left(\prod_{p \in P} \frac{p-1}{p} \right) \sum_{n \in \bigcup_{i=1}^k 0(n_i) \cap \overline{P}} \frac{1}{n}.
 \end{aligned}$$

This concludes the proof. □

Proof of Theorem. Since T is a covering period (1), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \bigcup_{i=1}^k a_i(n_i) &= \left\{ z + Ty : z \in \bigcup_{i=1}^k a_i(n_i) \text{ and } y \in \mathbb{Z} \right\} \\
 &= \bigcup_{i=1}^k \{ a_i + n_i x + Ty : x, y \in \mathbb{Z} \} = \bigcup_{i=1}^k a_i((n_i, T)).
 \end{aligned}$$

Let S denote the set $\{n_1, \dots, n_k\}$ and P be the set of all prime divisors of $[n_1, \dots, n_k]$. Since

$$\frac{(n_1, \dots, n_k)}{(T, n_1, \dots, n_k)} = \frac{[(n_1, \dots, n_k), T]}{T} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{n_i}{(T, n_i)} = \frac{[n_i, T]}{T},$$

we have

$$\frac{(n_1, \dots, n_k)}{(T, n_1, \dots, n_k)} \Bigg| \frac{n_i}{(T, n_i)}$$

and hence

$$\frac{n_i}{(n_1, \dots, n_k)/(T, n_1, \dots, n_k)} \in 0((T, n_i)) \cap \bar{P}.$$

Obviously, $\frac{[n_1, \dots, n_k]}{(n_1, \dots, n_k)}$ can be written in the form $\prod_{p \in P} p^{\delta_p}$ where $\delta_p \geq 0$. And it is clear that

$$|\text{ord}_p n_i - \text{ord}_p n_j| \leq \text{ord}_p [n_1, \dots, n_k] - \text{ord}_p (n_1, \dots, n_k) = \delta_p.$$

(We use $\text{ord}_p n$ to denote the greatest integer α such that p^α divides n .) So, if $n, n' \in S$ and

$$n \prod_{p \in P} p^{k_p(1+\delta_p)} = n' \prod_{p \in P} p^{l_p(1+\delta_p)},$$

then $k_p = l_p$ for all $p \in P$ and hence $n = n'$.

Let $M = \max_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^+} |\{1 \leq i \leq k : n_i = n\}|$. From Lemmas 1,2 and the above, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{1}{n_i} &= \sum_{i=1}^k d(a_i(n_i)) \geq d\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^k a_i(n_i)\right) = d\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^k a_i((n_i, T))\right) \\ &\geq d\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^k 0((n_i, T))\right) = \left(\prod_{p \in P} \frac{p-1}{p}\right) \sum_{m \in \bigcup_{i=1}^k 0((n_i, T)) \cap \bar{P}} \frac{1}{m} \\ &\geq \left(\prod_{p \in P} \frac{p-1}{p}\right) \sum_{n \in S} \left(\frac{n}{(n_1, \dots, n_k)/(T, n_1, \dots, n_k)}\right)^{-1} \\ &\quad \cdot \prod_{p \in P} \left(1 + \frac{1}{p^{1+\delta_p}} + \frac{1}{p^{2(1+\delta_p)}} + \dots\right) \\ &= \frac{(n_1, \dots, n_k)}{(T, n_1, \dots, n_k)} \left(\prod_{p \in P} \frac{p-1}{p} \cdot \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{p^{1+\delta_p}}}\right) \sum_{n \in S} \frac{1}{n} \\ &= \frac{(n_1, \dots, n_k)}{(T, n_1, \dots, n_k)} \left(\frac{1}{M} \prod_{p \in P} \frac{p^{\delta_p}}{1 + p + \dots + p^{\delta_p}}\right) \sum_{n \in S} \frac{M}{n} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{M} \cdot \frac{(n_1, \dots, n_k)}{(T, n_1, \dots, n_k)} \prod_{p \in P} \frac{1}{1 + \frac{1}{p} + \dots + \frac{1}{p^{\delta_p}}} \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{1}{n_i}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\frac{(n_1, \dots, n_k)}{(T, n_1, \dots, n_k)} \leq M \prod_{p \in P} \left(1 + \frac{1}{p} + \dots + \frac{1}{p^{\delta_p}}\right) = M \sum_{d | \frac{[n_1, \dots, n_k]}{(n_1, \dots, n_k)}} \frac{1}{d},$$

which is the desired result. \square

Remark 1. By checking the proof we see that (2) is implied by

$$(3) \quad \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{1}{n_i} \geq d \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^k 0((n_i, T)) \right)$$

which holds if T is a covering period of (1).

We now say a few words about the theorem. If $(n_1, \dots, n_k) | T$ then (2) holds trivially. Note that (2) can be written in the form

$$(2') \quad \frac{1}{(T, n_1, \dots, n_k)} \leq \max_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^+} |\{1 \leq i \leq k: n_i = n\}| \sum_{(n_1, \dots, n_k) | d | [n_1, \dots, n_k]} \frac{1}{d}$$

which is implied by

$$(4) \quad \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{1}{n_i} \geq \frac{1}{(T, n_1, \dots, n_k)} .$$

If $T | (n_1, \dots, n_k)$ then (4) holds, for

$$\sum_{i=1}^k \frac{1}{n_i} \geq d \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^k a_i(n_i) \right) \geq d(a_1(T)) = \frac{1}{(T, n_1, \dots, n_k)} .$$

However (4) fails to hold in general, for example, the smallest positive covering period of $\{0(2), 0(3)\}$ is $T = 6$, but $\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} \not\geq \frac{1}{(6, 2, 3)}$.

Corollary. Let n_0 be the smallest positive covering period of (1), and $[n_1, \dots, n_k]$ have the prime factorization

$$[n_1, \dots, n_k] = \prod_{i=1}^r p_i^{\alpha_i} , \quad p_1 < p_2 < \dots < p_r .$$

Suppose that $p_t^\alpha \nmid n_0$ and $p_t^\alpha | n_s$ for some $s = 1, \dots, k$, and that $a_i(n_i) \cap a_j(n_j) = \emptyset$ whenever $p_t^\alpha | n_i$ and $p_t^\alpha \nmid n_j$ ($1 \leq i, j \leq k$). Then we have

$$(5) \quad p_t^{\delta_t(\alpha)} \leq \varepsilon_t(\alpha) \max_{\substack{1 \leq s \leq k \\ p_t^\alpha | n_s}} |\{1 \leq i \leq k: n_i = n_s\}| \prod_{i=1}^r \frac{p_i}{p_i - 1} ,$$

where

$$\delta_t(\alpha) = \min\{ \delta \geq 1: p_t^{\alpha - \delta} || n_i \text{ for some } 0 \leq i \leq k \}$$

($p^\alpha || n$ stands for “ $p^\alpha | n$ and $p^{\alpha+1} \nmid n$ ” .)

and

$$\varepsilon_t(\alpha) = \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_t^{\alpha_t - \alpha + 1}}\right) \prod_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq t}}^r \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_i^{\alpha_i + 1}}\right).$$

Proof. Let $I = \{1 \leq i \leq k : p_t^\alpha | n_i\}$ and $J = \{0, 1, \dots, k\} - I$. Obviously $I \neq \emptyset$, $0 \in J$ and $p_t^\alpha \nmid n_j$ for every $j \in J$. If $i \in I$ and $j \in J - \{0\}$ then $a_i(n_i) \cap a_j(n_j) = \emptyset$. From this it follows that

$$x \in \bigcup_{i \in I} a_i(n_i) \text{ implies } x \pm [n_j]_{j \in J} \in \bigcup_{i=1}^k a_i(n_i) - \bigcup_{j \in J - \{0\}} a_j(n_j) = \bigcup_{i \in I} a_i(n_i).$$

Hence the smallest positive covering period of $\{a_i(n_i)\}_{i \in I}$ must be a divisor of $[n_j]_{j \in J}$.

Applying the theorem we get

$$(6) \quad \frac{(n_i)_{i \in I}}{((n_i)_{i \in I}, [n_j]_{j \in J})} \leq \max_{s \in I} |\{1 \leq i \leq k : n_i = n_s\}| \sum_{d | \frac{[n_i]_{i \in I}}{(n_i)_{i \in I}}} \frac{1}{d}.$$

(Notice that $i \in I$ if $1 \leq i \leq k$ and $n_i = n_s$ for some $s \in I$.) Since $p_t^\alpha | (n_i)_{i \in I}$ we have

$$\frac{[n_j]_{j \in J}, p_t^\alpha}{[n_j]_{j \in J}} \Big| \frac{[n_j]_{j \in J}, (n_i)_{i \in I}}{[n_j]_{j \in J}}$$

and thus the left side of (6) is a multiple of $p_t^\alpha / (p_t^\alpha, [n_j]_{j \in J}) = p_t^{\delta_t(\alpha)}$. As for the right side of (6), we note that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{d | \frac{[n_i]_{i \in I}}{(n_i)_{i \in I}}} \frac{1}{d} &\leq \sum_{d | \frac{[n_i]_{i \in I}}{p_t^\alpha}} \frac{1}{d} \leq \sum_{d | p_t^{\alpha_t - \alpha} \prod_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq t}}^r p_i^{\alpha_i}} \frac{1}{d} \\ &= \left(\left(1 + \frac{1}{p_t} + \frac{1}{p_t^2} + \dots\right) - \frac{1}{p_t^{\alpha_t - \alpha + 1}} \left(1 + \frac{1}{p_t} + \frac{1}{p_t^2} + \dots\right) \right) \\ &\quad \cdot \prod_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq t}}^r \left(\left(1 + \frac{1}{p_i} + \frac{1}{p_i^2} + \dots\right) - \frac{1}{p_i^{\alpha_i + 1}} \left(1 + \frac{1}{p_i} + \frac{1}{p_i^2} + \dots\right) \right) \\ &= \varepsilon_t(\alpha) \prod_{i=1}^r \frac{p_i}{p_i - 1}. \end{aligned}$$

Combining the above we obtain (5) from (6). □

Remark 2. $1 \leq \delta_t(\alpha) \leq \alpha$, $0 < \varepsilon_t(\alpha) < 1$.

Suppose that (1) is a disjoint system (i.e. $a_1(n_1), \dots, a_k(n_k)$ are pairwise disjoint). If $p_r^{\alpha_r}$ does not divide (the smallest positive covering period) n_0 , then by the corollary we have

$$(7) \quad p_r^{\delta_r(\alpha_r)} \leq \max_{\substack{1 \leq s \leq k \\ p_r^{\alpha_r} \parallel n_s}} |\{1 \leq i \leq k: n_i = n_s\}| \prod_{i=1}^{r-1} \frac{p_i}{p_i - 1} .$$

(Note that $\varepsilon_r(\alpha_r) \leq \frac{p_r - 1}{p_r}$.) This is the first result announced in Sun [7].

Assume that each modulus of the disjoint system (1) occurs at most M times (i.e. $|\{1 \leq i \leq k: n_i = n_s\}| \leq M$ for every $s = 1, \dots, k$). By Merten's theorem (cf.[5]), we have

$$\frac{1}{x} \prod_{\substack{p < x \\ p \text{ prime}}} \frac{p}{p-1} \sim e^\gamma \frac{\ln x}{x} \quad \text{where } \gamma \text{ is the Euler constant ,}$$

and thus

$$\frac{1}{x} \prod_{\substack{p < x \\ p \text{ prime}}} \frac{p}{p-1} < \frac{1}{M} \quad \text{for sufficiently large } x .$$

Let p^* be the smallest prime such that

$$p^* > M \prod_{\substack{p < p^* \\ p \text{ prime}}} \frac{p}{p-1} .$$

If $p_r^{\alpha_r} \nmid n_0$, in view of (7), we have

$$p_r \leq M \prod_{i=1}^{r-1} \frac{p_i}{p_i - 1} \leq M \prod_{\substack{p < p_r \\ p \text{ prime}}} \frac{p}{p-1} ,$$

and hence p^* is an upper bound of prime divisors of n_1, \dots, n_k . If $p_r \geq p^*$ we must have $p_r^{\alpha_r} \parallel n_0$.

Now let's suppose the disjoint system (1) is also a covering, that is to say, (1) is a disjoint covering system (i.e. $a_i(n_i)$, $1 \leq i \leq k$, form a partition of \mathbb{Z}). By the corollary,

$$p_t \leq p_t^{\delta_t(\alpha)} < M \prod_{i=1}^r \frac{p_i}{p_i - 1} \quad \text{for all } t = 1, \dots, r \text{ and } \alpha = 1, \dots, \alpha_t .$$

(Notice that $n_0 = 1$ and $\varepsilon_t(\alpha) < 1$.) This establishes Burshtein's conjecture ([4]).

(The original conjecture is that $p_r \leq M \prod_{i=1}^r \frac{p_i}{p_i - 1}$.)

Let $1 \leq t \leq r$,

$$\delta_t = \delta_t(\alpha_t) = \min\{\delta \geq 1: p_t^{\alpha_t - \delta} \parallel n_i \text{ for some } 0 \leq i \leq k\}$$

and

$$M_t = \begin{cases} 1 + \left[p_t^{\delta_t} \prod_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq t}}^r \frac{p_i - 1}{p_i} \right] & \text{if } r > 1, \\ p_t^{\delta_t} & \text{if } r = 1. \end{cases}$$

($[\cdot]$ is the greatest integer function.) In [3] Berger, Felzenbaum and Fraenkel showed that

$$M \geq 1 + \left[(p_t - 1) \prod_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq t}}^r \frac{p_i - 1}{p_i} \right], \quad \text{i.e.} \quad p_t \prod_{i=1}^r \frac{p_i - 1}{p_i} < M.$$

In [6] R.J.Simpson proved that

$$M \geq p_r \prod_{i=1}^{r-1} \frac{p_i - 1}{p_i},$$

and then he derived that there exists a number $B(M)$ such that, in any disjoint covering system whose moduli are repeated at most M times, the least modulus is less than $B(M)$. It is obvious that

$$M_r \geq p_r \prod_{i=1}^{r-1} \frac{p_i - 1}{p_i}.$$

Given $1 \leq t \leq r$, (since $\varepsilon_t(\alpha_t) < \frac{p_t - 1}{p_t}$ if $r > 1$, and $\varepsilon_t(\alpha_t) = \frac{p_t - 1}{p_t}$ if $r = 1$) we have from the corollary $M \geq M_t$, moreover there exists a modulus divided by $p_t^{\alpha_t}$ and not by $p_t^{\alpha_t + 1}$ which is repeated at least M_t times. If $r \geq 2$ then

$$M_r > p_r \prod_{i=1}^{r-1} \frac{p_i - 1}{p_i} \geq p_{r-1} \prod_{i=1}^{r-2} \frac{p_i - 1}{p_i} \geq \cdots \geq p_2 \frac{p_1 - 1}{p_1}$$

and thus

$$M \geq [p_2(1 - p_1^{-1})] + 1.$$

The last inequality was first proved by Berger, Felzenbaum and Fraenkel [1]. There something was said about which modulus must occur at least $[p_2(1 - p_1^{-1})] + 1$ times.

Acknowledgement. I am grateful to Prof. Štefan Znám for his encouraging me to publish this paper.

References

1. Berger M. A., Felzenbaun A. and Fraenkel A. S., *Improvements to two results concerning systems of residue sets*, Ars. Combin. **20** (1985), 69–82.
2. ———, *The Herzog-Schonheim conjecture for finite nilpotent groups*, Canad. Math. Bull. **29** (1986), 329–333.
3. ———, *Lattice Paralleleptopes and disjoint covering systems*, Discrete Math. **65** (1987), 23–46.
4. Burshtein N., *On natural exactly covering systems of congruences having moduli occurring at most M times*, Discrete Math. **14** (1976), 205–214.
5. Hardy G. H. and Wright E. M., *An Introduction to the Theory of Numbers*, 5th ed., Oxford Univ. Press, 1981.
6. Simpson R. J., *Exact coverings of the integers by arithmetic progressions*, Discrete Math. **59** (1986), 181–190.
7. Sun Z. W., *Several results on systems of residue classes*, Adv. in Math. (Beijing), No. 2 **18** (1989), 251–252.
8. Znáám Š., *On covering sets of residue classes*, in: P. Turán, ed., *Topics in Number Theory*, Colloq. Math., Societatis, János Bolyai Vol. 13, Debrecen, 1974, (North-Holland, 1976), 443–449.

Z. W. Sun, Department of Mathematics, Nanjing University, Nanjing 210008, People's Republic of China