

## ENTROPY–MINIMALITY

E. M. COVEN AND J. SMÍTAL

In this note, we introduce a dynamical property of continuous maps, which we call **entropy-minimality**, lying between minimality and topological transitivity. We pay special attention to maps of the interval, showing that topological transitivity implies entropy-minimality for piecewise monotone maps but not for maps of the interval in general.

Let  $f: X \rightarrow X$  be a continuous self-map of a compact metric space. Recall that  $f$  is **minimal** if the only nonempty, closed,  $f$ -invariant subset of  $X$  is  $X$  itself, and  $f$  is **topologically transitive** if the only closed,  $f$ -invariant subset of  $X$  with nonempty interior is  $X$  itself. We say that  $f$  is **entropy-minimal** if the only nonempty, closed,  $f$ -invariant subset  $Y$  of  $X$  such that  $\text{ent}(f|Y) = \text{ent}(f)$  is  $Y = X$ . (Here  $\text{ent}(\cdot)$  denotes topological entropy [AKM].)

Clearly every minimal map is entropy-minimal. The converse is false. Any topologically transitive, piecewise monotone map of the interval provides a counterexample (see Theorem 2 below), as does any infinite, topologically transitive shift of finite type.

**Theorem 1.** *Every entropy-minimal map is topologically transitive.*

*Proof.* Let  $f: X \rightarrow X$  be an entropy-minimal map. Let  $\Omega = \Omega(f)$  denote the nonwandering set of  $f$ , defined by  $x \in \Omega$  if and only if for every open set  $U$  containing  $x$ , there exists  $n \geq 1$  such that  $f^n(U) \cap U \neq \emptyset$ .  $\Omega$  is nonempty, closed,  $f$ -invariant, and [W, Corollary 8.6.1(iii)]  $\text{ent}(f) = \text{ent}(f|_\Omega)$ . Therefore  $\Omega = X$ . By [GH, Theorem 7.21],  $\Omega(f^n) = X$  for every  $n \geq 1$ .

We use the following equivalent formulation of topological transitivity:  $f$  is topologically transitive if and only if for every nonempty open set  $U$ ,  $\text{cl} \cup_{n \geq 1} f^n(U) = X$ . For ease of notation, if  $E$  is a subset of  $X$ , we write  $E^*$  in place of  $\text{cl} \cup_{n \geq 1} f^n(E)$ . If  $f$  is not topologically transitive, there exists a nonempty open set  $U$  such that  $U^* \neq X$ . Let  $V = X - U^*$ . Since  $X = U^* \cup V^*$ , we have  $\text{ent}(f) = \max\{\text{ent}(f|_{U^*}), \text{ent}(f|_{V^*})\}$  [AKM, Theorem 4]. Since  $U^* \neq X$ , we have  $\text{ent}(f|_{U^*}) < \text{ent}(f)$ . Therefore  $\text{ent}(f|_{V^*}) = \text{ent}(f)$  and hence  $V^* = X$ .

---

Received May 28, 1992.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification* (1991 *Revision*). Primary 58F08, 26A18; Secondary 54H20.

From the equivalent formulation of topological transitivity, there exists  $n \geq 1$  such that  $f^n(V) \cap U \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $W$  be a nonempty open subset of  $V$  such that  $f^n(W) \subseteq U$ . Then  $f^{kn}(W) \subseteq U^*$  for every  $k \geq 1$ . Since  $U^* \cap V = \emptyset$ , we have  $f^{kn}(W) \cap W \subseteq f^{kn}(W) \cap V = \emptyset$  for every  $k \geq 1$ . But then no point of  $W$  is in  $\Omega(f^n)$ .  $\square$

We now turn to the question: when does topological transitivity imply entropy-minimality? Recall that an  $f$ -invariant, Borel probability measure  $\mu$  on  $X$  is called a **measure of maximal entropy** if  $\text{ent}_\mu(f) = \text{ent}(f)$ . Here  $\text{ent}_\mu(f)$  denotes the measure-theoretic entropy **[W]** of the system  $(X, f, \mu)$ .

**Theorem 2.** *Every topologically transitive, piecewise monotone map of the interval is entropy-minimal.*

*Proof.* Let  $f: [a, b] \rightarrow [a, b]$  be such a map. By **[P, Corollary 3]**,  $f$  is topologically conjugate to a piecewise linear map, each of whose linear pieces has slope  $\pm\beta$ , where  $\text{ent}(f) = \log \beta$ . Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $f$  itself has this property and hence satisfies the hypotheses of **[H]**. By **[H, Theorem 8]**,  $f$  has a unique measure  $\mu$  of maximal entropy and  $\mu$  is positive on nonempty open sets.

Let  $a = a_0 < \dots < a_n = b$ , where the intervals  $[a_{i-1}, a_i]$  are maximal with respect to “ $f$  is monotone on  $J$ ”, and let  $A = \{a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}\}$ . For  $x \in [a, b] - \cup_{j \geq 0} f^{-j}(A)$ , define  $\varphi(x) \in \prod_0^\infty \{1, \dots, n\}$  by  $[\varphi(x)]_j = i$  if and only if  $f^j(x) \in [a_{i-1}, a_i]$ . The map  $\varphi^{-1}$  is uniformly continuous on  $\varphi([a, b] - \cup_{j \geq 0} f^{-j}(A))$  and so extends to a continuous map  $\psi$  from  $\Sigma = \text{cl } \varphi([a, b] - \cup_{j \geq 0} f^{-j}(A))$  onto  $[a, b]$ . Then  $\#\psi^{-1}(x) = 1$  or  $2$  for every  $x \in [a, b]$  and  $\psi \circ \sigma = f \circ \psi$ , where  $\sigma$  is the shift on  $\Sigma$ .

Let  $X$  be a closed,  $f$ -invariant subset of  $[a, b]$  and let  $\Sigma' = \psi^{-1}(X)$ . Then **[W, Theorems 8.2, 8.7(v)]**  $\sigma|_{\Sigma'}$  has a (not necessarily unique) measure  $\nu'$  of maximal entropy. Let  $\nu$  be the measure defined on  $X$  by  $\nu(E) = \nu'(\psi^{-1}(E))$ . Then  $\text{ent}(f|X) = \text{ent}(\sigma|_{\Sigma'}) = \text{ent}_{\nu'}(\sigma|_{\Sigma'}) = \text{ent}_\nu(f|X)$ , the first and last equalities because finite-to-one factor maps preserve topological entropy **[B, Theorem 17]**, **[NP, Corollary to Lemma 1]**. Extend  $\nu$  to all of  $[a, b]$  by defining  $\nu([a, b] - X) = 0$ . If  $X \neq [a, b]$ , then  $\nu \neq \mu$ , and so  $\text{ent}_\nu(f|X) < \text{ent}_\mu(f) = \text{ent}(f)$ .  $\square$

The proof above contains the easy proof of the following statement: **if a shift has a unique measure of maximal entropy, then the restriction of the shift to the support of this measure is entropy-minimal and has the same entropy as the original shift.** The converse is false: consider any minimal shift with entropy zero which has more than one invariant measure. See, for example, **[O]**.

Below is an example which shows that Theorem 2 need not hold if the map is not piecewise monotone. Our example is a modified version of the map constructed by M. Barge and J. Martin **[BM, Example 3]**. It is defined on  $[0, 1]$  and

has the property that for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is a closed,  $f$ -invariant set  $X_\varepsilon \subseteq [0, \varepsilon]$  such that  $\text{ent}(f|X_\varepsilon) = \text{ent}(f)$ . B. Gurevich and A. Zargaryan [GZ] used a similar construction to produce a map of the interval with no entropy-maximizing measure.

**Example.** Let  $(a_n)$  be a doubly infinite increasing sequence such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow -\infty} a_n = 0$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = 1$ . Let  $f: [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  be a map such that  $f(0) = 0, f(1) = 1$ , and for all  $n$ ,  $f(a_n) = a_n$  and  $f$  maps  $[a_n, a_{n+1}]$  piecewise linearly onto  $[a_{n-1}, a_{n+2}]$  with three linear pieces, as in Figure 1.

**Figure 1.**

As in [BM], it is easy to show that  $f$  is topologically transitive. We show that  $\text{ent}(f) = \log 5$  and that  $f$  is not entropy-minimal.

For  $k = 2, 3, \dots$ , let

$$X_k = \{x \in [0, 1] : f^i(x) \in [a_{-k}, a_k] \text{ for } i = 0, 1, \dots\}.$$

Then  $\text{ent}(f) \geq \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \text{ent}(f|X_k)$ , and  $\text{ent}(f|X_k) = \text{ent}(f_k)$ , where  $f_k: [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is defined by

$$f_k(x) = \begin{cases} a_{-k}, & \text{if } f(x) \leq a_{-k}; \\ f(x), & \text{if } a_{-k} \leq f(x) \leq a_k; \\ a_k, & \text{if } f(x) \geq a_k. \end{cases}$$

Since  $f_k \rightarrow f$  and entropy is  $C^0$  lower semicontinuous [M, Theorem 2],  $\text{ent}(f) \leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \text{ent}(f_k)$ . It follows that  $\text{ent}(f) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \text{ent}(f_k)$ .

Now  $f_k = f$  on  $[a_{-k+1}, a_{k-1}]$ , and on  $[a_{-k}, a_{-k+1}]$  and  $[a_{k-1}, a_k]$ , the graphs of  $f_k$  are as in Figure 2.

**Figure 2.**

By [ALM, Theorem 4.4.5],  $\text{ent}(f_k)$  is the logarithm of the spectral radius, denoted  $\rho(\cdot)$ , of the  $(2k+1) \times (2k+1)$  matrix  $B_k = (b_{i,j})$ , indexed by  $\{-k, \dots, k\}$  and defined by

$$\begin{aligned} b_{i,i} &= 1, \\ b_{i,i-1} &= b_{i,i+1} = 2, \\ b_{i,j} &= 0 \quad \text{otherwise.} \end{aligned}$$

We show that  $5 - \frac{4}{k+1} \leq \rho(B_k) \leq 5$ , from which it follows that  $\text{ent}(f) = \log 5$ . We use the fact from Perron-Frobenius theory (see, for example, [S]) that for any irreducible nonnegative matrix  $B$  and any positive vector  $\mathbf{v} = (v_i)$ ,

$$\min_i \frac{(B\mathbf{v})_i}{v_i} \leq \rho(B) \leq \max_i \frac{(B\mathbf{v})_i}{v_i}.$$

It is clear that  $B_k$  is irreducible. Setting  $v_i = 1$  gives  $\rho(B_k) \leq 5$ . To prove the other inequality, set

$$v_i = \begin{cases} k+1+i, & i \leq 0; \\ k+1-i, & i \geq 0. \end{cases}$$

Then

$$\frac{(B\mathbf{v})_i}{v_i} = \begin{cases} 5, & i \neq 0; \\ 5 - \frac{4}{k+1}, & i = 0. \end{cases}$$

To show that  $f$  is not entropy-minimal, let

$$X = \{x \in [0, 1] : f^i(x) \leq a_0 \text{ for } i = 0, 1, \dots\}.$$

As above,  $\text{ent}(f|X) = \log 5$ .

Replacing  $a_0$  by  $a_{-m}$  in the definition of  $X$  yields the statement that the entropy of  $f$  is concentrated on arbitrarily small closed intervals containing 0.

**Acknowledgement.** The authors thank A. Blokh and F. Hofbauer for useful conversations.

### References

- [AKM] Adler R. L., Konheim A. G. and McAndrew M. H., *Topological entropy*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **114** (1965), 309–319.
- [ALM] Alsedà Ll., Llibre J. and Misiurewicz M., *Combinatorial Dynamics and Entropy in Dimension One*, Barcelona, 1990.
- [BM] Barge M. and Martin J., *Dense orbits on the interval*, Michigan Math. J. **34** (1987), 3–11.
- [B] Bowen R., *Entropy for group endomorphisms and homogeneous flows*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **153** (1971), 401–414.
- [GH] Gottschalk W. H. and Hedlund G. A., *Topological Dynamics*, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publ., vol. 36, Providence, RI, 1955.
- [GZ] Gurevich B. M. and Zargaryan A. S., *A continuous one-dimensional mapping without a measure of maximal entropy*, Functional Anal. Appl. **20** (1986), 134–136.
- [H] Hofbauer F., *On intrinsic ergodicity of piecewise monotonic transformations with positive entropy*, Israel. J. Math. **34** (1979), 213–237.
- [M] Misiurewicz M., *Horseshoes for mappings of the interval*, Bull. Acad. Pòlon. Sci. Sér. Sci. Math. **27** (1979), 167–169.
- [NP] Newton D. and Parry W., *On a factor automorphism of a normal dynamical system*, Ann. Math. Statist. **37** (1966), 1528–1533.
- [O] Oxtoby J. C., *Ergodic sets*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **58** (1952), 116–135.
- [P] Parry W., *Symbolic dynamics and transformations of the unit interval*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **122** (1966), 368–378.
- [S] Seneta E., *Non-negative matrices and Markov Chains*, second ed., Springer-Verlag, New York, 1981.
- [W] Walters P., *An Introduction to Ergodic Theory*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, no. 79, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1979.

E. M. Coven, Department of Mathematics, Wesleyan University, Middletown CT 06459

J. Smítal, Department of Mathematics, Comenius University, 842 15 Bratislava, Czechoslovakia

æ