

ON UNIQUENESS FOR A SYSTEM OF HEAT EQUATIONS COUPLED IN THE BOUNDARY CONDITIONS

M. KORDOŠ

ABSTRACT. We consider the system

$$\begin{array}{llll}
 u_t = \Delta u, & v_t = \Delta v, & x \in \mathbb{R}_+^N, & t > 0, \\
 -\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_1} = v^p, & -\frac{\partial v}{\partial x_1} = u^q, & x_1 = 0, & t > 0, \\
 u(x, 0) = u_0(x), & v(x, 0) = v_0(x), & x \in \mathbb{R}_+^N, &
 \end{array}$$

where $\mathbb{R}_+^N = \{(x_1, x') : x' \in \mathbb{R}^{N-1}, x_1 > 0\}$, p, q are positive numbers, and functions u_0, v_0 in the initial conditions are nonnegative and bounded. We show that nonnegative solutions are unique if $pq \geq 1$ or if (u_0, v_0) is nontrivial. In the case of zero initial data and $pq < 1$, we find all nonnegative nontrivial solutions.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper we study the uniqueness of nonnegative classical solutions of the system

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{array}{llll}
 u_t = \Delta u, & v_t = \Delta v, & x \in \mathbb{R}_+^N, & t > 0, \\
 -\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_1} = v^p, & -\frac{\partial v}{\partial x_1} = u^q, & x_1 = 0, & t > 0, \\
 u(x, 0) = u_0(x), & v(x, 0) = v_0(x), & x \in \mathbb{R}_+^N, &
 \end{array}$$

Received May 11, 2004.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 35K50; Secondary 35K60.

Key words and phrases. parabolic system, uniqueness.

where $\mathbb{R}_+^N = \{(x_1, x') : x' \in \mathbb{R}^{N-1}, x_1 > 0\}$, $N \geq 1$, $p > 0$, $q > 0$, and both u_0, v_0 are nonnegative bounded functions satisfying the compatibility conditions

$$-\frac{\partial u_0}{\partial x_1} = v_0^p \quad \text{and} \quad -\frac{\partial v_0}{\partial x_1} = u_0^q \quad \text{at} \quad x_1 = 0.$$

In order to motivate our results, we recall a paper by Fujita and Watanabe [6], in which they studied the Cauchy-Dirichlet problem

$$(1.2) \quad \begin{aligned} u_t - \Delta u &= u^p, & x &\in \Omega, & t &> 0, \\ u(x, 0) &= u_0(x), & x &\in \Omega, \\ u(x, t) &= 0, & x &\in \partial\Omega, & t &\geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

where $p > 0$, u_0 is a continuous, nonnegative and bounded real function, and Ω is a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^N ($N \geq 1$) with smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$. They showed that uniqueness fails when $p < 1$.

Analogous results for systems were obtained by Escobedo and Herrero. In [4] they investigated the initial value problem for a weakly coupled system on the whole space

$$(1.3) \quad \begin{aligned} u_t &= \Delta u + v^p, & v_t &= \Delta v + u^q, & x &\in \mathbb{R}^N, & t &> 0, \\ u(x, 0) &= u_0(x), & v(x, 0) &= v_0(x), & x &\in \mathbb{R}^N, \end{aligned}$$

with $N \geq 1$, $p > 0$, $q > 0$, and where u_0 and v_0 are nonnegative, continuous, and bounded real functions. They showed that solutions of (1.3) are unique if $pq \geq 1$ or if one of the initial functions u_0, v_0 is different from zero. They also characterized the whole set of solutions emanating from the initial value $(u_0, v_0) = (0, 0)$ when $0 < pq < 1$. In this case, the set of nontrivial nonnegative solutions of (1.3) is given by

$$u(\cdot, t; s) = c_1(t - s)_+^{\alpha_1}, \quad v(\cdot, t; s) = d_1(t - s)_+^{\beta_1},$$

where $(r)_+ = \max\{r, 0\}$, $s \geq 0$,

$$\alpha_1 = \frac{p+1}{1-pq}, \quad \beta_1 = \frac{q+1}{1-pq},$$

and c_1, d_1 depend on p and q only.

In [5] they proved the corresponding result for the bounded domain version of the problem (1.3). Let Ω be a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^N ($N \geq 1$) with smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$. They considered the following Cauchy-Dirichlet problem

$$(1.4) \quad \begin{aligned} u_t - \Delta u &= v^p, & x \in \Omega, & t > 0, \\ v_t - \Delta v &= u^q, & x \in \Omega, & t > 0, \\ u &= v = 0, & x \in \partial\Omega, & t \geq 0, \\ u(x, 0) &= u_0(x), \quad v(x, 0) = v_0(x), & x \in \Omega, & \end{aligned}$$

where $p > 0$, $q > 0$, and u_0, v_0 are nonnegative, continuous, and bounded real functions. They showed again that solutions of (1.4) are unique if $pq \geq 1$ or if the initial data u_0, v_0 are nontrivial, and they also characterized the set of solutions with zero initial value $(u_0, v_0) = (0, 0)$ when $pq < 1$. In the latter case, the set of nonnegative solutions of (1.3) consists of (i) the trivial solution $u(x, t) = v(x, t) = 0$, (ii) a solution $U(x, t), V(x, t)$ such that $U(x, t) > 0$ and $V(x, t) > 0$ for any $x \in \Omega$ and $t > 0$, (iii) a monoparametric family $U_s(x, t), V_s(x, t)$, where $U_s(x, t) = U(x, (t-s)_+)$, $V_s(x, t) = V(x, (t-s)_+)$, $s > 0$, and $(r)_+ = \max\{r, 0\}$.

A nonuniqueness result for the system (1.1) is obtained by Deng, Fila, and Levine in [3] where they constructed a nontrivial solution with zero initial data and $pq < 1$ in the dimension $N = 1$. It is a self-similar solution of the form

$$u(x_1, t) = t^\alpha f(y), \quad v(x_1, t) = t^\beta g(y), \quad \text{for } y = \frac{x_1}{\sqrt{t}}, \quad t > 0,$$

with

$$\alpha = \frac{1+p}{2(1-pq)} = \frac{\alpha_1}{2}, \quad \beta = \frac{1+q}{2(1-pq)} = \frac{\beta_1}{2},$$

where $f, g > 0$ solve the corresponding initial value problem

$$\begin{aligned} f''(y) + \frac{y}{2}f'(y) - \alpha f(y) &= 0, \\ g''(y) + \frac{y}{2}g'(y) - \beta g(y) &= 0 \quad \text{for } y > 0, \\ f'(0) &= -g^p(0), \\ g'(0) &= -f^q(0), \end{aligned}$$

and where (f, g) decays to $(0, 0)$ as $y \rightarrow \infty$. We have (see Theorem 3.5 in [3])

$$(1.5) \quad \begin{aligned} f(y) &= c_2 e^{-\frac{y^2}{4}} U\left(\frac{1}{2} + \alpha, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{y^2}{4}\right), \\ g(y) &= d_2 e^{-\frac{y^2}{4}} U\left(\frac{1}{2} + \beta, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{y^2}{4}\right), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} c_2 &= \pi^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2} + \beta)}{\Gamma(1 + \beta)} \right)^{\frac{p}{1-pq}} \left(\frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2} + \alpha)}{\Gamma^{pq}(1 + \alpha)} \right)^{\frac{1}{1-pq}}, \\ U(a, b, r) &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(a)} \int_0^\infty e^{-rt} t^{a-1} (1+t)^{b-a-1} dt, \end{aligned}$$

and d_2 is obtained from c_2 by the interchange of α with β and p with q .

Wang, Xie, and Wang showed in [9] besides the blow-up estimates also the uniqueness of the trivial solution of (1.1) in the case $pq \geq 1$ with trivial initial data $(u_0, v_0) \equiv (0, 0)$, and Lin generalized this result for the corresponding system of n equations in [8].

The bounded domain version of the problem (1.1) was discussed by Cortazar, Elgueta, and Rossi. In [2] they considered the system

$$(1.6) \quad \begin{array}{lll} u_t = \Delta u, & v_t = \Delta v & \text{in } \Omega \times (0, T), \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = v^p, & \frac{\partial v}{\partial \nu} = u^q & \text{on } \partial\Omega \times (0, T), \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x), & v(x, 0) = v_0(x) & \text{in } \Omega, \end{array}$$

with smooth initial data $u_0 \geq 0$ and $v_0 \geq 0$, $p > 0$, $q > 0$, and ν being the outer normal to $\partial\Omega$. Their result for (1.6) takes the same form as for (1.4) in [5].

Finally, a uniqueness result is showed in [7] for the system

$$(1.7) \quad \begin{array}{llll} u_t = \Delta u + v^p, & v_t = \Delta v, & x \in \mathbb{R}_+^N, & t > 0, \\ -\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_1} = 0, & -\frac{\partial v}{\partial x_1} = u^q, & x_1 = 0, & t > 0, \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x), & v(x, 0) = v_0(x), & x \in \mathbb{R}_+^N, & \end{array}$$

with $N \geq 1$, $p > 0$, $q > 0$, and u_0, v_0 nonnegative, smooth, and bounded functions satisfying the compatibility condition. The nonnegative solutions are unique if $pq \geq 1$ while a nontrivial nonnegative solution is constructed with vanishing initial values when $pq < 1$.

In [3], Deng, Fila, and Levine studied also the large time behaviour of nonnegative solutions of (1.1). They proved that if $pq \leq 1$, every nonnegative solution is global. Set, when $pq > 1$,

$$\alpha_2 = -\alpha, \quad \beta_2 = -\beta.$$

They showed that if $\max(\alpha_2, \beta_2) \geq N/2$, then all nontrivial nonnegative solutions are nonglobal; if $\max(\alpha_2, \beta_2) < N/2$ there exist both global and nonglobal nonnegative solutions.

The purpose of this paper is to complete the uniqueness result for (1.1), which has the same form as for (1.3) in [4]. We prove the following

Theorem.

- (i) Let $pq \geq 1$. The system (1.1) has then a unique solution.
- (ii) Let $pq < 1$ and $(u_0, v_0) \neq (0, 0)$. The system (1.1) has then a unique solution.
- (iii) Let $pq < 1$ and $(u_0, v_0) \equiv (0, 0)$. The set of nontrivial nonnegative solutions of (1.1) is then given by the family

$$(1.8) \quad \begin{aligned} \tilde{u}(x, t; s) &= (t - s)_+^\alpha f(y), \\ \tilde{v}(x, t; s) &= (t - s)_+^\beta g(y), \end{aligned} \quad y = \begin{cases} \frac{x_1}{\sqrt{t - s}} & \text{if } t > s, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where $(r)_+ = \max\{r, 0\}$, $s \geq 0$, $\alpha = \frac{1 + p}{2(1 - pq)}$, $\beta = \frac{1 + q}{2(1 - pq)}$, and f, g are given in (1.5).

We prove the parts (i), (ii), (iii) in Sections 2, 3, and 4 respectively.

2. PROOF OF PART (i)

Similarly as in [3], we denote

$$\begin{aligned}G_N(x, y; t) &= (4\pi t)^{-\frac{N}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{|x-y|^2}{4t}\right), \\H_N(x, y; t) &= G_N(x, y; t) + G_N(x, -y; t), \\H_1(x_1, y_1; t) &= \frac{1}{2}(\pi t)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left(\exp\left(-\frac{|x_1-y_1|^2}{4t}\right) + \exp\left(-\frac{|x_1+y_1|^2}{4t}\right) \right), \\R(x_1, t) &= H_1(x_1, 0; t) = (\pi t)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{x_1^2}{4t}\right)\end{aligned}$$

for $t > 0$, $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^N$, $x_1, y_1 \in \mathbb{R}$, $x', y' \in \mathbb{R}^{N-1}$, and $x = (x_1, x'), y = (y_1, y')$. We use these functions to define several operators for $w \in L_{loc}^1(\mathbb{R}_+^N)$, namely

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{S}_N(t)w(x) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G_N(x, y; t)w(y)dy, \\\mathcal{S}_{N-1}(t)w(x_1, x') &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N-1}} G_{N-1}(x', y'; t)w(x_1, y')dy', \\\mathcal{T}(t)w(x) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} H_1(x_1, y_1; t)w(y_1, x')dy_1, \\\mathcal{R}(t)w(x) &= R(x_1, t)\mathcal{S}_{N-1}(t)w(0, x').\end{aligned}$$

These integral operators allow us to write the variation of constants formulae for solutions of (1.1)

$$(2.1a) \quad u(x, t) = \mathcal{T}(t)\mathcal{S}_{N-1}(t)u_0(x) + \int_0^t \mathcal{R}(t - \eta)v^p(x, \eta)d\eta,$$

$$(2.1b) \quad v(x, t) = \mathcal{T}(t)\mathcal{S}_{N-1}(t)v_0(x) + \int_0^t \mathcal{R}(t - \eta)u^q(x, \eta)d\eta.$$

It is possible to prove the local (in time) existence of the solution for given L^∞ initial values using (2.1) and the contraction mapping principle. Since the solution does not have to exist globally in this case (see [3]), we define a strip $S_T = \mathbb{R}_+^N \times (0, T)$ for any $0 < T \leq \infty$.

We point out several useful relationships. One can easily check that for $w \in L_{loc}^1(\mathbb{R}_+^N)$, $s, t > 0$, the equalities

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}(t)\mathcal{S}_{N-1}(t)w &= \mathcal{S}_{N-1}(t)\mathcal{T}(t)w, \\ \mathcal{S}_{N-1}(t)\mathcal{S}_{N-1}(s)w &= \mathcal{S}_{N-1}(t+s)w \end{aligned}$$

hold. We use them later without referring as well as Jensen's inequality in the following two forms

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{if } r \geq 1 & \text{then} \quad \left(\int_0^t f(s)ds \right)^r \leq t^{r-1} \int_0^t f^r(s)ds, \\ \text{if } r \leq 1 & \text{then} \quad \int_0^t f^r(s)ds \leq t^{1-r} \left(\int_0^t f(s)ds \right)^r. \end{array}$$

We prove the following formulation of Part (i).

Proposition 2.1. *If (u, v) and (\bar{u}, \bar{v}) are two solutions of the problem (1.1) with $pq \geq 1$ in some strip S_T , then $(u, v) = (\bar{u}, \bar{v})$ in S_T .*

Proof. We omit the standard argument when both nonlinearities are Lipschitz continuous, i.e., $p, q \geq 1$ (cf. Preliminaries in [5]). Since the system (1.1) is symmetric in the sense of interchanging p and q , we may assume $p < 1$ (i.e., $q > 1$) for definiteness without loss of generality. We adapt the argument from the proof of Lemma 2 in [4].

Let $\tau \in (0, T)$ be an arbitrary time and let $0 \leq s \leq \eta \leq t \leq \tau$ be always ordered this way in further discussion. We fix $(x, \eta) \in S_\tau$ and define a functional $g(\cdot)(x, \eta) : L^\infty(S_\tau) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

$$g(w)(x, \eta) = \mathcal{T}(\eta)\mathcal{S}_{N-1}(\eta)v_0(x) + \int_0^\eta \mathcal{R}(\eta - s)w^q(x, s)ds,$$

$$f(\xi) = \xi^p, \quad \xi > 0,$$

so that we obtain by the mean value theorem for $f \circ g$

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} V(x, \eta) &= (v^p - \bar{v}^p)(x, \eta) = (g(u)(x, \eta))^p - (g(\bar{u})(x, \eta))^p \\ &= pq(g(w)(x, \eta))^{p-1} \int_0^\eta \mathcal{R}(\eta - s) (w^{q-1}(u - \bar{u}))(x, s)ds \end{aligned}$$

for some w between u and \bar{u} . More precisely, we write

$$w(\cdot, s) = \rho(x, \eta)u(\cdot, s) + (1 - \rho(x, \eta))\bar{u}(\cdot, s)$$

where $0 < \rho(x, \eta) < 1$. We also define $F(t) = \sup\{\|(u - \bar{u})(\cdot, \eta)\|_\infty : \eta \in [0, t]\}$, and by Hölder's inequality we derive (since $\frac{1}{q} \leq p < 1$)

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.3) \quad |V(x, \eta)| &\leq pqF(\eta) \left(\int_0^\eta \mathcal{R}(\eta - s)w^q(x, s)ds \right)^{p-1} \\
 &\quad \times \int_0^\eta \mathcal{R}(\eta - s)w^{q-1}(x, s)ds \\
 &\leq pqF(\eta) \left(2^{\frac{1}{q}} \pi^{-\frac{1}{2q}} \eta^{\frac{1}{2q}} \right) \left(\int_0^\eta \mathcal{R}(\eta - s)w^q(x, s)ds \right)^{p-1+1-\frac{1}{q}} \\
 &\leq pq2^p \pi^{-\frac{p}{2}} U^{pq-1} F(\eta) \eta^{\frac{p}{2}},
 \end{aligned}$$

where U is the upper bound of w in $\mathbb{R}_+^N \times [0, \tau]$. Hence, applying the solution formulae (2.1), we obtain for any $x \in \mathbb{R}_+^N$, $\eta \in [0, t]$

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.4) \quad |u - \bar{u}|(x, \eta) &\leq \int_0^\eta \mathcal{R}(\eta - s)|V(x, s)|ds \\
 &\leq pq2^p \pi^{-\frac{p}{2}} U^{pq-1} F(\eta) \int_0^\eta s^{\frac{p}{2}} ds \leq Kt^{\frac{1+p}{2}} F(t),
 \end{aligned}$$

where the constant K depends on p, q , and on the bounds of u and \bar{u} in $\mathbb{R}_+^N \times [0, \tau]$. The supremum property implies $F(t) \leq Kt^{\frac{1+p}{2}} F(t)$ on $[0, \tau]$, and thus $F(t) = 0$ for $t \in (0, K^{-\frac{2}{1+p}})$. Since the system is autonomous, finite iterating of the argument yields $u = \bar{u}$ in $\mathbb{R}_+^N \times [0, \tau]$. The equality $v = \bar{v}$ follows consequently from (2.1). \square

3. PROOF OF PART (ii)

In this section we establish an estimate for the nontrivial nonnegative solutions of (1.1) when $pq < 1$ that we will use in Section 4 as well. We also prove Part (ii) of our main result.

Let us introduce further notation for convenience. We set $b(\gamma) = B(\frac{1}{2} + \gamma, \frac{1}{2})$ for $\gamma > -\frac{1}{2}$ where $B(x, y)$ is the Beta function. Thus we have for $t > 0$

$$(3.1) \quad \int_0^t (t - \eta)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \eta^\gamma d\eta = t^{\frac{1}{2} + \gamma} B\left(1 + \gamma, \frac{1}{2}\right) = t^{\frac{1}{2} + \gamma} b\left(\frac{1}{2} + \gamma\right).$$

Remark 3.1. Notice that $\frac{1}{2} + p\beta = \alpha$ and $\frac{1}{2} + q\alpha = \beta$, which will be richly used in the iteration arguments.

Remark 3.2. We recall also a standard auxiliary result that can be proved by standard arguments. For $t > 0$ and a solution (u, v) of (1.1) with $u_0 \not\equiv 0$, there exist $\gamma, \sigma > 0$ such that

$$(3.2) \quad u(x, t) \geq \gamma e^{-\sigma|x|^2}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}_+^N.$$

Lemma 3.3. *If (u, v) is a solution of the system (1.1) with nontrivial initial condition $(u_0, v_0) \not\equiv (0, 0)$ and $pq < 1$, then*

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{aligned} u(0, x'; t) &\geq Ct^\alpha, \\ v(0, x'; t) &\geq Dt^\beta, \end{aligned} \quad x' \in \mathbb{R}^{N-1}, t > 0,$$

where $C = \pi^{-\alpha} b^{\frac{1}{1-pq}}(\alpha) b^{\frac{p}{1-pq}}(\beta)$ and $D = \pi^{-\beta} b^{\frac{q}{1-pq}}(\alpha) b^{\frac{1}{1-pq}}(\beta)$.

Proof. We adapt the arguments from the proof of Lemma 2 in [4]. First we obtain the estimate assuming $u_0(0, x') \geq \gamma e^{-\sigma|x'|^2}$, $x' \in \mathbb{R}^{N-1}$ for some $\gamma, \sigma > 0$. Since (cf. (2.13) in [3])

$$\mathcal{S}_{N-1}(t) e^{-\sigma|x'|^2} = (1 + 4\sigma t)^{-\frac{N-1}{2}} e^{-\frac{\sigma}{1+4\sigma t}|x'|^2},$$

we have

$$(3.4) \quad \mathcal{S}_{N-1}(t-\eta) e^{-\sigma|x'|^2} \geq (1+4\sigma t)^{-\frac{N-1}{2}} e^{-\sigma|x'|^2}$$

for $0 \leq \eta \leq t$. We use (3.4) and the solution formulae (2.1) to get partial estimates for u and v on the boundary $x_1 = 0$. In the first step, we obtain

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{aligned} u(0, x'; t) &\geq (\mathcal{T}(t)\mathcal{S}_{N-1}(t)u_0)(0, x') \geq \gamma(1+4\sigma t)^{-\frac{N-1}{2}} e^{-\sigma|x'|^2}, \\ v(0, x'; t) &\geq \int_0^t (\mathcal{R}(t-\eta)u^q)(0, x'; \eta) d\eta \\ &\geq 2\pi^{-\frac{1}{2}} \gamma^q (1+4\sigma t)^{-\frac{N-1}{2}q} (1+4\sigma qt)^{-\frac{N-1}{2}} e^{-\sigma q|x'|^2} t^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Substituting (3.5) into (2.1) again yields

$$\begin{aligned} u(0, x'; t) &\geq \int_0^t (\mathcal{R}(t-\eta)v^p)(0, x'; \eta) d\eta \\ &\geq 2^p \pi^{-\frac{1+p}{2}} \gamma^{pq} (1+4\sigma t)^{-\frac{N-1}{2}pq} (1+4\sigma qt)^{-\frac{N-1}{2}p} (1+4\sigma pqt)^{-\frac{N-1}{2}} \\ &\quad \times e^{-\sigma pq|x'|^2} b \left(\frac{1+p}{2} \right) t^{\frac{1+p}{2}}, \\ v(0, x'; t) &\geq \int_0^t (\mathcal{R}(t-\eta)u^q)(0, x'; \eta) d\eta \\ &\geq 2^{pq} \pi^{-\frac{1+q+pq}{2}} \gamma^{pq^2} (1+4\sigma t)^{-\frac{N-1}{2}pq^2} (1+4\sigma qt)^{-\frac{N-1}{2}pq} (1+4\sigma pqt)^{-\frac{N-1}{2}q} \\ &\quad \times (1+4\sigma pq^2 t)^{-\frac{N-1}{2}} e^{-\sigma pq^2|x'|^2} b^q \left(\frac{1+p}{2} \right) b \left(\frac{1+q+pq}{2} \right) t^{\frac{1+q+pq}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

By induction, we obtain

$$(3.6) \quad \begin{aligned} u(0, x'; t) &\geq 2^{p(pq)^{k-1}} \gamma^{(pq)^k} e^{-\sigma(pq)^k |x'|^2} K_k(t) C_k t^{\alpha_k}, \\ v(0, x'; t) &\geq 2^{(pq)^k} \gamma^{(pq)^k} q e^{-\sigma(pq)^k |x'|^2} L_k(t) D_k t^{\beta_k}, \end{aligned} \quad k \in \mathbb{N},$$

where (using also that $b(\gamma)$ is decreasing)

$$\begin{aligned} K_k(t) &= \prod_{j=0}^k (1 + 4\sigma t(pq)^j)^{-\frac{N-1}{2}(pq)^{k-j}} \prod_{j=1}^k (1 + 4\sigma t(pq)^{j-1} q)^{-\frac{N-1}{2} p(pq)^{k-j}}, \\ L_k(t) &= \prod_{j=0}^k (1 + 4\sigma t(pq)^j)^{-\frac{N-1}{2}(pq)^{k-j}} q \prod_{j=0}^k (1 + 4\sigma t(pq)^j q)^{-\frac{N-1}{2}(pq)^{k-j}}, \\ C_k &= \pi^{-\alpha_k} \prod_{j=1}^k b^{(pq)^{k-j}} (\alpha_j) \prod_{j=1}^{k-1} b^{p(pq)^{k-j-1}} (\beta_j) \\ &\geq \pi^{-\alpha_k} b^{\frac{1-(pq)^k}{1-pq}} (\alpha_k) b^{p \frac{1-(pq)^{k-1}}{1-pq}} (\beta_{k-1}), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} D_k &= \pi^{-\beta_k} \prod_{j=1}^k b^{(pq)^{k-j} q} (\alpha_j) \prod_{j=1}^k b^{(pq)^{k-j}} (\beta_j) \\ &\geq \pi^{-\beta_k} b^{q \frac{1-(pq)^k}{1-pq}} (\alpha_k) b^{\frac{1-(pq)^k}{1-pq}} (\beta_k), \end{aligned}$$

and $\alpha_k = \alpha(1 - (pq)^k) \geq \alpha_j$, $\beta_k = \beta(1 - (pq)^k) + \frac{(pq)^k}{2} \geq \beta_j$ for $1 \leq j \leq k$. For $\theta \in (0, 1)$, any positive number ξ , and any real number ζ , we have

$$(3.7) \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \prod_{j=0}^k (1 + \xi \theta^j)^{\zeta \theta^{k-j}} = 1,$$

and therefore

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} K_k(t) = 1, \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} L_k(t) = 1.$$

The argument proving (3.7) for ζ negative runs as follows

$$0 \geq \ln \prod_{j=0}^k (1 + \xi \theta^j)^{\zeta \theta^{k-j}} = \zeta \sum_{j=0}^k \theta^{k-j} \ln(1 + \xi \theta^j) \geq \xi \zeta \sum_{j=0}^k \theta^k \xrightarrow{k \rightarrow \infty} 0.$$

It is also obvious that

$$\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} C_k \geq C, \quad \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} D_k \geq D.$$

Letting $k \rightarrow \infty$ in (3.6), we obtain (3.3) for considered initial condition.

Now we generalize the estimate for any nontrivial initial data $u_0 \neq 0$ using Remark 3.2. We take arbitrary $\varepsilon > 0$ and set $u_\varepsilon(\cdot, t) = u(\cdot, t + \varepsilon)$, $v_\varepsilon(\cdot, t) = v(\cdot, t + \varepsilon)$. The autonomous nature of the system (1.1) implies

$$u_\varepsilon(x, t) = \mathcal{T}(t)S_{N-1}(t)u_\varepsilon(x, 0) + \int_0^t \mathcal{R}(t-\eta)v_\varepsilon^p(x, \eta)d\eta,$$

where $u_\varepsilon(0, x'; 0) > \gamma e^{-\sigma|x'|^2}$ for some positive numbers γ and σ . Therefore $u_\varepsilon(t) \geq Ct^\alpha$, and accordingly

$$u(0, x'; t) \geq C(t - \varepsilon)^\alpha.$$

Thus (3.3) holds for any $u_0 \neq 0$, since ε is arbitrary. Obviously, the assumption $u_0 \neq 0$ is made without loss of generality. \square

Proposition 3.4. *If (u, v) and (\bar{u}, \bar{v}) are two solutions of the problem (1.1) with nontrivial initial condition $(u_0, v_0) \neq (0, 0)$ and $pq < 1$, then $(u, v) = (\bar{u}, \bar{v})$.*

Proof. We use the contradiction argument from the proof of Lemma 3 in [4]. We make the assumption $0 < p < 1$ without loss of generality and introduce notation $f_+ = \max\{f, 0\}$ and $\|f(t)\| = \sup\{|f_+(0, x'; t)| : x' \in \mathbb{R}^{N-1}\}$. Suppose that $(u, v) \neq (\bar{u}, \bar{v})$. Then we can find $t > 0$ such that without loss of generality, we may assume $\|(u - \bar{u})(\eta)\| \leq \|(u - \bar{u})(t)\| > 0$ for $0 \leq \eta \leq t$.

(a) We start with the symmetric case $0 < q < 1$. We use $|\xi^r - \zeta^r| \leq |\xi - \zeta|^r$ for nonnegative ξ, ζ and $r \in (0, 1)$, and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|(u - \bar{u})(t)\| &\leq \int_0^t (\pi(t - \eta))^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_0^\eta (\pi(\eta - s))^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|(u - \bar{u})(s)\|^q ds \right)^p d\eta \\ &\leq \|(u - \bar{u})(t)\|^{pq} 2^p \pi^{-\frac{1+p}{2}} b \left(\frac{1+p}{2} \right) t^{\frac{1+p}{2}}, \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$(3.8) \quad \|(u - \bar{u})(t)\| \leq Pt^\alpha, \quad P = 2^{\frac{p}{1-pq}} \pi^{-\alpha} b^{\frac{1}{1-pq}} \left(\frac{1+p}{2} \right)$$

holds. The mean value theorem for $g(\xi) = \xi^r$, $\xi > 0$, $r \in \{p, q\}$ gives

$$(3.9) \quad \begin{aligned} (u^q - \bar{u}^q)(0, x'; t) &= qw^{q-1}(0, x'; t)(u - \bar{u})(0, x'; t), \\ (v^p - \bar{v}^p)(0, x'; t) &= pz^{p-1}(0, x'; t)(v - \bar{v})(0, x'; t), \end{aligned}$$

where w, z are between u and \bar{u} , v and \bar{v} , respectively, and fulfil therefore

$$\begin{aligned} w^{q-1}(0, x'; s) &\leq C^{q-1} s^{\alpha(q-1)}, \\ z^{p-1}(0, x'; \eta) &\leq D^{p-1} \eta^{\beta(p-1)} \end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 3.3 and by the fact that both $p, q \in (0, 1)$. Notice also that

$$C^{q-1}D^{p-1} = \pi b^{-1}(\alpha)b^{-1}(\beta).$$

By solution formulae (2.1), inequalities

$$\begin{aligned}(u - \bar{u})_+(0, x'; t) &\leq \int_0^t \pi^{-\frac{1}{2}}(t - \eta)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{S}_{N-1}(t - \eta) (v^p - \bar{v}^p)_+(0, x'; \eta) d\eta, \\ (v - \bar{v})_+(0, x'; t) &\leq \int_0^t \pi^{-\frac{1}{2}}(t - \eta)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{S}_{N-1}(t - \eta) (u^q - \bar{u}^q)_+(0, x'; \eta) d\eta\end{aligned}$$

hold. We use (3.9) and obtain

$$\begin{aligned}(3.10) \quad \|(u - \bar{u})(t)\| &\leq pq\pi^{-1}D^{p-1}C^{q-1} \int_0^t (t - \eta)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \eta^{\beta(p-1)} \\ &\quad \left(\int_0^\eta (\eta - s)^{-\frac{1}{2}} s^{\alpha(q-1)} \|(u - \bar{u})(s)\| ds \right) d\eta.\end{aligned}$$

By (3.8), we see that the right-hand side of (3.10) is integrable. Moreover, combining (3.8) with (3.10) yields

$$\begin{aligned}(3.11) \quad \|(u - \bar{u})(t)\| &\leq pq\pi^{-1}D^{p-1}C^{q-1}P \int_0^t (t - \eta)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \eta^{\beta(p-1)} \left(\int_0^\eta (\eta - s)^{-\frac{1}{2}} s^{\alpha q} ds \right) d\eta \\ &= pq\pi^{-1}D^{p-1}C^{q-1}b(\beta)P \int_0^t (t - \eta)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \eta^{\beta p} d\eta = pqPt^\alpha.\end{aligned}$$

Iterating this procedure k times, we obtain

$$(3.12) \quad \|(u - \bar{u})(t)\| \leq (pq)^k Pt^\alpha, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

(b) Before completing the proof, we apply the arguments from the proof of Lemma 3 in [4] to get the estimate (3.12) for $q \geq 1$ as well. For an arbitrary $\theta \in (0, 1)$, using the inequalities $u \leq \bar{u} + (u - \bar{u})_+$ and

$u^\theta \leq \bar{u}^\theta + (u - \bar{u})_+^\theta$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
v(x, t) &= \mathcal{T}(t)\mathcal{S}_{N-1}(t)v_0(x) \\
&\quad + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N-1}} (R(x_1, t - \eta)G_{N-1}(x', y'; t - \eta))^{\frac{q-\theta}{q}} u^{q-\theta}(x_1, y'; \eta) \\
&\quad \quad (R(x_1, t - \eta)G_{N-1}(x', y'; t - \eta))^{\frac{\theta}{q}} u^\theta(x_1, y'; \eta) dy' d\eta \\
&\leq \mathcal{T}(t)\mathcal{S}_{N-1}(t)v_0(x) \\
&\quad + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N-1}} (R(x_1, t - \eta)G_{N-1}(x', y'; t - \eta))^{\frac{q-\theta}{q}} u^{q-\theta}(x_1, y'; \eta) \\
&\quad \quad (R(x_1, t - \eta)G_{N-1}(x', y'; t - \eta))^{\frac{\theta}{q}} \bar{u}^\theta(x_1, y'; \eta) dy' d\eta \\
&\quad + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N-1}} (R(x_1, t - \eta)G_{N-1}(x', y'; t - \eta))^{\frac{q-\theta}{q}} u^{q-\theta}(x_1, y'; \eta) \\
&\quad \quad (R(x_1, t - \eta)G_{N-1}(x', y'; t - \eta))^{\frac{\theta}{q}} (u - \bar{u})_+^\theta(x_1, y'; \eta) dy' d\eta.
\end{aligned}$$

We apply Hölder's inequality twice to get

$$\begin{aligned}
 v(x, t) &\leq \mathcal{T}(t)\mathcal{S}_{N-1}(t)v_0(x) \\
 &\quad + \int_0^t (\mathcal{R}(t-\eta)u^q(x, \eta))^{\frac{q-\theta}{q}} (\mathcal{R}(t-\eta)\bar{u}^q(x, \eta))^{\frac{\theta}{q}} d\eta \\
 &\quad + \int_0^t (\mathcal{R}(t-\eta)u^q(x, \eta))^{\frac{q-\theta}{q}} (\mathcal{R}(t-\eta)(u-\bar{u})_+^q(x, \eta))^{\frac{\theta}{q}} d\eta \\
 &\leq \mathcal{T}(t)\mathcal{S}_{N-1}(t)v_0(x) \\
 &\quad + \left(\int_0^t \mathcal{R}(t-\eta)u^q(x, \eta) d\eta \right)^{\frac{q-\theta}{q}} \left(\int_0^t \mathcal{R}(t-\eta)\bar{u}^q(x, \eta) d\eta \right)^{\frac{\theta}{q}} \\
 &\quad + \left(\int_0^t \mathcal{R}(t-\eta)u^q(x, \eta) d\eta \right)^{\frac{q-\theta}{q}} \left(\int_0^t \mathcal{R}(t-\eta)(u-\bar{u})_+^q(x, \eta) d\eta \right)^{\frac{\theta}{q}},
 \end{aligned}$$

and using $\chi + \xi^{1-\gamma}\zeta^\gamma \leq (\chi + \xi)^{1-\gamma}(\chi + \zeta)^\gamma$ for any nonnegative χ, ξ, ζ , and $\gamma \in (0, 1)$ yields

$$v(x, t) \leq v^{\frac{q-\theta}{q}}(x, t)\bar{v}^{\frac{\theta}{q}} + v^{\frac{q-\theta}{q}}(x, t) \left(\int_0^t \mathcal{R}(t-\eta)(u-\bar{u})_+^q(x, \eta) d\eta \right)^{\frac{\theta}{q}}.$$

We set $\theta = pq$ and obtain

$$(3.13) \quad (v^p - \bar{v}^p)(x, t) \leq \left(\int_0^t \mathcal{R}(t-\eta)(u-\bar{u})_+^q(x, \eta) d\eta \right)^p,$$

that we use to get (3.8) for $q \geq 1$.

Now we need an inequality like (3.10), such that its combining with (3.8) yields (3.11). As in Section 2, we set

$$g(w)(x, t) = \mathcal{T}(t)\mathcal{S}_{N-1}v_0(x) + \int_0^t \mathcal{R}(t-\eta)w^q(x, \eta)d\eta, \quad f(\xi) = \xi^p,$$

and by the mean value theorem for $f \circ g$, we write (using assumption $0 < p < 1$ as well)

$$(3.14) \quad (u - \bar{u})(x, t) \leq pq \int_0^t \mathcal{R}(t-\eta) \left(\int_0^\eta \mathcal{R}(\eta-s)w^q(x, s)ds \right)^{p-1} \left(\int_0^\eta \mathcal{R}(\eta-s)(w^{q-1}(u - \bar{u}))(x, s)ds \right) d\eta$$

for some $w(\cdot, t) = \rho(x, s)u(\cdot, t) + (1 - \rho(x, s))\bar{u}(\cdot, t)$, where $0 < \rho(x, s) < 1$. We also have by Hölder's inequality

$$(3.15) \quad \int_0^\eta \mathcal{R}(\eta-s)(w^{q-1}(u - \bar{u}))(x, s)ds \leq \left(\int_0^\eta \mathcal{R}(\eta-s)w^q(x, s)ds \right)^{\frac{q-1}{q}} \left(\int_0^\eta \mathcal{R}(\eta-s)|u - \bar{u}|^q(x, s)ds \right)^{\frac{1}{q}},$$

and since $w^q(0, x'; s) \geq C^q s^{\alpha q}$, $pq - 1 < 0$, we derive from inequalities (3.14), (3.15) that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|(u - \bar{u})(t)\| &\leq pq \int_0^t (\pi(t - \eta))^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_0^\eta \mathcal{R}(\eta - s) C^q s^{\alpha 2q} ds \right)^{\frac{pq-1}{q}} \\
 &\quad \left(\int_0^\eta (\pi(\eta - s))^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|(u - \bar{u})(s)\|^q ds \right)^{1/q} d\eta \\
 (3.16) \qquad &= pq b^{-1} (\alpha) b^{-\frac{1}{q}} (\beta) \int_0^t (t - \eta)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \eta^{-\frac{1+q}{2q}} \\
 &\quad \left(\int_0^\eta (\eta - s)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|(u - \bar{u})(s)\|^q ds \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} d\eta.
 \end{aligned}$$

It takes the role of (3.10) in the iterating procedure, because combining (3.16) with (3.8) yields

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|(u - \bar{u})(t)\| &\leq pq b^{-1} (\alpha) b^{-\frac{1}{q}} (\beta) P b^{\frac{1}{q}} (\beta) \int_0^t (t - \eta)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \eta^{-\frac{1+q}{2q}} \eta^{\frac{1+q}{2(1-pq)q}} d\eta \\
 &= pq P t^\alpha,
 \end{aligned}$$

which is exactly (3.11), hence (3.12) does hold for $q \geq 1$ as well.

The final steps are obvious. Letting $k \rightarrow \infty$ in (3.12) implies $u = \bar{u}$ on the boundary $x_1 = 0$, and the contradiction argument is finished. \square

4. PROOF OF PART (iii)

In this section we generalize the nontrivial one dimensional solution constructed in [3] for $pq < 1$ and trivial initial data $(u_0, v_0) \equiv (0, 0)$ to dimensions $N > 1$. Then we show that the members of the family (1.8) are the only solutions of (1.1) in this case, which completes the proof of Theorem.

Proposition 4.1. *Every member of the family (1.8) solves the problem (1.1) with trivial initial condition $(u_0, v_0) \equiv (0, 0)$ and $pq < 1$.*

Proof. The generalization of one dimensional solution constructed in [3] to higher dimensions is very simple. Obviously, the members of the family (1.8) fulfil (1.1) with $pq < 1$ and trivial initial condition when $t \neq s$. We only need to show that

$$(4.1) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow s^+} \tilde{u}_t(x, t; s) = 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}_+^N, \quad s \in [0, \infty).$$

We use the facts (cf. [1])

$$-U_r(a, b, r) = aU(1 + a, 1 + b, r), \quad U(a, b, r) = r^{-a}(1 + O(r^{-1})) \quad \text{for } r \rightarrow \infty$$

to write

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{u}_t(x, t; s) &= c_2 \alpha e^{-\frac{x_1^2}{4(t-s)}} (t-s)^{\alpha-1} U\left(\frac{1}{2} + \alpha, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{x_1^2}{4(t-s)}\right) \\ &\quad + c_2 \frac{x_1^2}{4} e^{-\frac{x_1^2}{4(t-s)}} (t-s)^{\alpha-2} U\left(\frac{1}{2} + \alpha, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{x_1^2}{4(t-s)}\right) \\ &\quad + c_2 \frac{(1+2\alpha)x_1^2}{8} e^{-\frac{x_1^2}{4(t-s)}} (t-s)^{\alpha-2} U\left(\frac{3}{2} + \alpha, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{x_1^2}{4(t-s)}\right) \\ &= e^{-\frac{x_1^2}{4(t-s)}} (t-s)^{2\alpha-\frac{3}{2}} (\varphi_2(x_1) + O(t-s)) \quad \text{for } t \rightarrow s^+. \end{aligned}$$

We see that (4.1) holds, i.e., $(\tilde{u}(x, t; s), \tilde{v}(x, t; s))$ solves (1.1) with $(u_0, v_0) \equiv (0, 0)$ and $pq < 1$. □

Proposition 4.2. *If (u, v) is a nontrivial nonnegative solution of the problem (1.1) with trivial initial condition $(u_0, v_0) \equiv (0, 0)$ and $pq < 1$, then there exists $s \geq 0$ such that $(u, v) = (\tilde{u}(\cdot; s), \tilde{v}(\cdot; s))$ where (\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}) is given in (1.8).*

Proof. First we observe that in terms of function b from (3.1) and constants C, D from (3.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 c_2 &= \pi^{-\alpha} b^{\frac{p}{1-pq}}(\beta) b^{\frac{pq}{1-pq}}(\alpha) \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2} + \alpha\right) = C \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2} + \alpha\right) b^{-1}(\alpha), \\
 d_2 &= D \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2} + \beta\right) b^{-1}(\beta), \\
 (4.2) \quad b(\gamma) &= \int_0^1 s^{\gamma-\frac{1}{2}} (1-s)^{-\frac{1}{2}} ds = \int_0^\infty t^{\gamma-\frac{1}{2}} (1+t)^{-1-\gamma} dt \\
 &= \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2} + \gamma\right) U\left(\frac{1}{2} + \gamma, \frac{1}{2}, 0\right)
 \end{aligned}$$

for $\gamma > 0$, and thus $f(0) = C$, $g(0) = D$. We apply the idea from Lemma 4 in [4]. Without loss of generality, we assume that there are $t > 0$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}_+^N$ such that $v(x, t) = \int_0^t \mathcal{R}(t-\eta) u^q(x, \eta) d\eta > 0$. We define τ as follows

$$\tau = \inf\{t > 0 : u(0, x'; t) > 0, x' \in \mathbb{R}^{N-1}\}.$$

By standard results, $u(x, t), v(x, t) > 0$ for any $x \in \mathbb{R}_+^N$ and $t > \tau$. Now we take $\bar{t} > \tau$ and set

$$\bar{u}(x, t) = u(x, \bar{t} + t), \quad \bar{v}(x, t) = v(x, \bar{t} + t).$$

Obviously, (\bar{u}, \bar{v}) solves (1.1) and $\bar{u}(x, 0), \bar{v}(x, 0) > 0$, and according to Lemma 3.3,

$$u(0, x'; \bar{t} + t) \geq C t^\alpha, \quad v(0, x'; \bar{t} + t) \geq D t^\beta$$

for any $x' \in \mathbb{R}^{N-1}$ and $t \geq 0$. This implies

$$(4.3) \quad u(0, x'; t) \geq C(t - \tau)_+^\alpha, \quad v(0, x'; t) \geq D(t - \tau)_+^\beta \quad x' \in \mathbb{R}^{N-1}, t \geq 0.$$

Now let $T > 0$ be arbitrary and $M(T) > 0$ be such that

$$\|u(0, \cdot; s)\|_\infty \leq M(T)\|u(0, \cdot; t)\|_\infty, \quad 0 \leq s \leq t \leq T.$$

By (2.1),

$$(4.4) \quad \|u(0, \cdot; t)\|_\infty \leq \pi^{-\frac{1+p}{2}} \int_0^t (t-\eta)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_0^\eta (\eta-s)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|u(0, \cdot; s)\|_\infty^q ds \right)^p d\eta,$$

and therefore,

$$(4.5) \quad \|u(0, \cdot; t)\|_\infty \leq 2^{\frac{p}{1-pq}} \pi^{-\alpha} b^{\frac{1}{1-pq}} \left(\frac{1+p}{2} \right) M^{\frac{pq}{1-pq}}(T) t^\alpha = P(T) t^\alpha.$$

The usual iteration argument (combining with (4.4)) yields

$$(4.6) \quad \|u(0, \cdot; t)\|_\infty \leq P^{(pq)^k}(T) \pi^{-\alpha(1-(pq)^k)} b^{\frac{1-(pq)^k}{1-pq}} (\beta) b^{\frac{1-(pq)^k}{1-pq}} (\alpha) t^\alpha.$$

We get an analogous result for v the same way, and letting $k \rightarrow \infty$, $T \rightarrow \infty$, we arrive at

$$(4.7) \quad \begin{aligned} u(0, x'; t) &\leq \|u(0, \cdot; t)\|_\infty \leq Ct^\alpha, \\ v(0, x'; t) &\leq \|v(0, \cdot; t)\|_\infty \leq Dt^\beta, \end{aligned} \quad x' \in \mathbb{R}^{N-1}, t \geq 0.$$

When $\tau > 0$, we take $0 < \underline{t} < \tau$ and define

$$\underline{u}(x, t) = u(x, \underline{t} + t), \quad \underline{v}(x, t) = v(x, \underline{t} + t).$$

A simple contradiction argument implies that $u(\underline{t}) = v(\underline{t}) \equiv 0$, and therefore $(\underline{u}, \underline{v})$ solves (1.1) with trivial initial data. From (4.7) we obtain

$$u(0, x'; \underline{t} + t) \leq Ct^\alpha, \quad v(0, x'; \underline{t} + t) \leq Dt^\beta$$

for any $x' \in \mathbb{R}^{N-1}$ and $t \geq 0$. This implies

$$(4.8) \quad u(0, x'; t) \leq C(t-\tau)_+^\alpha, \quad v(0, x'; t) \leq D(t-\tau)_+^\beta, \quad x' \in \mathbb{R}^{N-1}, t \geq 0,$$

and, by (4.7), it holds for $\tau = 0$ as well.

We conclude from (4.3) and (4.8) that

$$\begin{aligned}u(0, x'; t) &= C(t - \tau)_+^\alpha = \tilde{u}(0, x', t; \tau), \\v(0, x'; t) &= D(t - \tau)_+^\beta = \tilde{v}(0, x', t; \tau)\end{aligned}$$

for $x' \in \mathbb{R}^{N-1}$ and $t \geq 0$. In other words, for any nontrivial nonnegative solution of (1.1) there exists a member of the family (1.8) such that they equal on the boundary $x_1 = 0$ in any time $t \geq 0$. Hence they are identical everywhere by the maximum principle. \square

Acknowledgment. I wish to thank Marek Fila for many valuable discussions and comments during my work on this article.

1. Abramowitz M. and Stegun I. A., *Handbook of Mathematical Functions*, National Bureau of Standards, Washington, DC, 1964.
2. Cortazar C., Elgueta M., and Rossi J. D., *Uniqueness and non-uniqueness for a system of heat equations with non-linear coupling at the boundary*, *Nonlinear Anal.* **37** (1999), 257–267.
3. Deng K., Fila M., and Levine H. A., *On critical exponents for a system of heat equations coupled in the boundary conditions*, *Acta Math. Univ. Comenian. (N.S.)* **63** (1994), 169–192.
4. Escobedo M. and Herrero M. A., *A uniqueness result for a semilinear reaction diffusion system*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **112** (1991), 175–186.
5. ———, *A semilinear parabolic system in a bounded domain*, *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4)* **165** (1993), 317–336.
6. Fujita H. and Watanabe S., *On the uniqueness and non-uniqueness of solutions of initial value problems for some quasi-linear parabolic equations*, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **21** (1968), 631–652.
7. Kordoš M., *On uniqueness for a semilinear parabolic system coupled in an equation and a boundary condition*, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **298** (2004), 655–666.
8. Lin Z., *Blowup behaviors for diffusion system coupled through nonlinear boundary conditions in a half space*, *Sci. China Ser. A* **47** (2004), 72–82.

9. Wang S., Xie C., and Wang M., *Note on critical exponents for a system of heat equations coupled in the boundary conditions*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **218** (1998), 313–324.

M. Kordoš, Institute of Applied Mathematics, Comenius University, Mlynská dolina, 842 48 Bratislava, Slovakia, *e-mail*: `kordos@fmph.uniba.sk`