Acta Math. Univ. Comenianae
Vol. LXXIV, 1(2005), pp. 25–36

ON (m,n)-QUASI-INJECTIVE MODULES

Z. M. ZHU, J. L. CHEN AND X. X. ZHANG

ABSTRACT. Let R be a ring. For two fixed positive integers m and n, an R-module M is called (m,n)-***quasi-injective*** if each R-homomorphism from an n-generated submodule of M^m to M extends to one from M^m to M. It is showed that M_R is (m,n)-quasi-injective if and only if the right $R^{n\times n}$-module $M^{m\times n}$ is principally quasi-injective. Many properties of (m,n)-injective rings and principally quasi-injective modules are extended to these modules. Moreover, some properties of (m,n)-quasi-injective Kasch modules are investigated.

Throughout this paper R and S are associative rings with identities, and all modules are unitary. Unless specified otherwise, m and n will be two fixed positive integers. For an Abelian group G, we write $G^{m\times n}$ for the set of all formal $m\times n$--matrices with entries in G, and write G^n (resp. G_n) for $G^{1\times n}$(resp. for $G^{n\times 1}$). Multiplication maps $x\mapsto ax$ and $x\mapsto xa$ will be denoted by $a\cdot$ and $\cdot a$, respectively. For $A=(a_{ij})_{m\times n}\in G^{m\times n}$ (resp. $a=(a_1,\ldots,a_n)^T\in G_n$), we write $\pi_{ij}(A)$ (resp. $\pi_i(a)$) for a_{ij} (resp. a_i). For any $x\in G$, we write $l_{ij}(x)$ (resp. $l_i(x)$)for the $m\times n$-matrices (resp. the $m\times 1$-matrices) whose (i,j) entry (resp. i-th entry) is x and the others are 0's. Let ${}_SM_R$ be a bimodule. For $x\in M^{m\times n}$, $u\in S^{l\times m}$ and $v\in R^{n\times k}$, under the usual multiplication of matrices, ux (resp. xv) is a well-defined element in $M^{l\times n}$ (resp. $M^{m\times k}$). If $X\subseteq M^{l\times n}$, $U\subseteq S^{l\times m}$ and $V\subseteq R^{n\times k}$, define

$$\begin{aligned} r_{R^{n\times k}}(X) &= \left\{v\in R^{n\times k}\mid xv=0,\forall\, x\in X\right\},\\ l_{S^{m\times l}}(X) &= \left\{u\in S^{m\times l}\mid ux=0,\forall\, x\in X\right\},\\ r_{M^{m\times n}}(U) &= \left\{y\in M^{m\times n}\mid uy=0,\forall\, u\in U\right\},\\ l_{M^{m\times n}}(V) &= \left\{z\in M^{m\times n}\mid zv=0,\forall\, v\in V\right\}. \end{aligned}$$

1. Characterizations of (m,n)-quasi-injective modules

Firstly, we recall some concepts. A right R-module M_R is called ***principally quasi-injective*** (or ***PQ-injective*** in brief) [**5**] if each R-homomorphism from a cyclic submodule of M to M can be extended to an endomorphism of M. A ring R is said to be ***right*** (m,n)***-injective*** [**3**] in case each right R-homomorphism

Received December 7, 2002.
2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 16D50, 16D90.
Key words and phrases. (m,n)-quasi-injective modules, Kasch modules.

from an n-generated submodule of R^m to R extends to one from R^m to R. A right R-module M_R is said to be ***finitely quasi-injective*** [**8**] if each R-homomorphism from a finitely generated submodule of M to M extends to an endomorphism of M. Motivated by these concepts, we introduce the following definition.

Definition 1.1. An R-module M is called (m,n)-***quasi-injective*** in case each R-homomorphism from an n-generated submodule of M^m to M extends to one from M^m to M. An R-module M is called n-***quasi-injective*** if it is $(1,n)$-quasi--injective.

Examples. (1) Every quasi-injective module is (m,n)-quasi-injective for all positive integers m and n [**2**, Proposition 16.13(2)].

(2) R is right (m,n)-injective if and only if R_R is (m,n)-quasi-injective.

(3) M_R is PQ-injective if and only if M_R is $(1,1)$-quasi-injective.

(4) M_R is finitely quasi-injective if and only if M_R is n-quasi-injective for all positive integers n.

It is easy to see that M_R is (m,n)-quasi-injective if and only if M_R is (l,k)--quasi-injective for all $1 \leq l \leq m$ and $1 \leq k \leq n$.

Definition 1.2. A bimodule ${}_SM_R$ is called ***left balanced*** in case every right R-endomorphism of M is left multiplication by an element of S.

Remark. (1) ${}_{\mathrm{End}(M_R)}M_R$ is left balanced for every right R-module M_R.

(2) Given a module ${}_SM$, then the bimodule ${}_SM_{\mathrm{End}({}_SM)}$ is left balanced if and only if ${}_SM_{\mathrm{End}({}_SM)}$ is balanced [**2**, p. 60].

Theorem 1.3. *Let ${}_SM_R$ be a left balanced bimodule, then the following statements are equivalent:*

(1) *M_R is (m,n)-quasi-injective.*

(2) *$l_{M^n}r_{R_n}\{\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\cdots,\alpha_m\} = S\alpha_1+S\alpha_2+\cdots+S\alpha_m$ for any m-element subset $\{\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\cdots,\alpha_m\}$ of M^n.*

(2)′ *$l_{M^n}r_{R_n}(A) = S^mA$ for all $A \in M^{m\times n}$.*

(3) *If $r_{R_n}(A) \subseteq r_{R_n}(B)$ where $A,\ B \in M^{m\times n}$, then $S^mB \subseteq S^mA$.*

(4) *If $z \in M^n$ and $A \in M^{m\times n}$ satisfy $r_{R_n}(A) \subseteq r_{R_n}(z)$, then $z \in S^mA$.*

(5) *$l_{M^l}[CR_n \cap r_{R_l}(A)] = l_{M^l}(C) + S^mA$ for all positive integers l, $A \in M^{m\times l}$ and $C \in R^{l\times n}$.*

(5)′ *$l_{M^n}[CR_n \cap r_{R_n}(A)] = l_{M^n}(C) + S^mA$ for all $A \in M^{m\times n}$ and $C \in R^{n\times n}$.*

(6) *The right R-module M^m (or M_m) is n-quasi-injective.*

Proof. (1) $\Leftrightarrow$ (6), (2) $\Leftrightarrow$ (2)′ and (5) $\Rightarrow$ (5)′ $\Rightarrow$ (2)′ $\Rightarrow$ (3) are trivial.

(1) $\Leftrightarrow$ (2). Argue as the proof of [**3**, Theorem 2.4].

(3) $\Rightarrow$ (4). Let $B = \begin{pmatrix} z \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \in M^{m\times n}$. Then $r_{R_n}(A) \subseteq r_{R_n}(z) = r_{R_n}(B)$ and $S^mB = Sz$. By (3), we have $Sz = S^mB \subseteq S^mA$. Therefore $z \in S^mA$.

(4) $\Rightarrow$ (5). Let $x \in l_{M^l}[CR_n \cap r_{R_l}(A)]$. For all $y \in r_{R_n}(AC)$, $ACy = 0$ implies that $Cy \in CR_n \cap r_{R_l}(A)$. Hence $xCy = 0$, i.e., $y \in r_{R_n}(xC)$. Thus

$$r_{R_n}(AC) \subseteq r_{R_n}(xC).$$

By (4), $xC = uAC$ for some $u \in S^m$. So

$$x = (x - uA) + uA \in l_{M^l}(C) + S^m A.$$

Therefore,

$$l_{M^l}[CR_n \cap r_{R_l}(A)] \subseteq l_{M^l}(C) + S^m A.$$

The inverse inclusion is clear. □

Corollary 1.4. *Let ${}_SM_R$ be a left balanced bimodule. Then*

(1) *M_R is PQ-injective if and only if $l_M r_R(a) = Sa$ for any $a \in M$ if and only if $r_R(x) \subseteq r_R(y)$ where $x, y \in M$ implies $y \in Sx$;*
(2) *M_R is n-quasi-injective if and only if $l_{M^n} r_{R_n}(\alpha) = S\alpha$ for any $\alpha \in M^n$ if and only if $r_{R_n}(A) \subseteq r_{R_n}(B)$ where $A, B \in M^n$ implies $B \in SA$;*
(3) *M_R is $(m,1)$-quasi-injective if and only if $M_R^m(or(M_m)_R)$ is PQ-injective if and only if $l_M r_R(N) = N$ for any m-generated submodule N of ${}_SM$;*
(4) *M_R is finitely-quasi-injective if and only if $l_{M^n} r_{R_n}(\alpha) = S\alpha$ for all positive integers n and any $\alpha \in M^n$ if and only if $r_{R_n}(A) \subseteq r_{R_n}(B)$ where $A, B \in M^n$ implies $B \in SA$ for all positive integers n.*

Theorem 1.5. *Let ${}_SM_R$ be a left balanced bimodule. Then the following conditions are equivalent.*

(1) *M_R is (m,n)-quasi-injective.*
(2) *M_R is $(m,1)$-quasi-injective and $l_{S^m}(I \cap K) = l_{S^m}(I) + l_{S^m}(K)$, where I, K are submodules of $(M_m)_R$ such that $I + K$ is n-generated.*
(3) *M_R is $(m,1)$-quasi-injective and $l_{S^m}(I \cap K) = l_{S^m}(I) + l_{S^m}(K)$, where I, K are submodules of $(M_m)_R$ such that I is cyclic and K is $(n-1)$-generated ($K = 0$ if $n = 1$).*

Proof. (1) ⇒ (2). It is obvious that M_R is $(m,1)$-quasi-injective and $l_{S^m}(I \cap K) \supseteq l_{S^m}(I) + l_{S^m}(K)$. Conversely, let $x \in l_{S^m}(I \cap K)$ and define $f : I + K \to M$ by $f(c + b) = xc$ for all $c \in I$ and $b \in K$. Then f is a right R-homomorphism. Since M_R is (m,n)-quasi-injective and ${}_SM_R$ is left balanced, $f = y\cdot$ for some $y \in S^m$. Therefore, for any $c \in I$ and $b \in K$, we have $yc = f(c) = xc$ and $yb = f(b) = 0$. This means that

$$x = (x - y) + y \in l_{S^m}(I) + l_{S^m}(K).$$

(2) ⇒ (3) is obvious.

(3) ⇒ (1). We proceed by induction on n. Let $K = \alpha_1 R + \alpha_2 R + \cdots + \alpha_n R$ be an n-generated submodule of $(M_m)_R$ and $f : K \to M$ be a right R-homomorphism. Write $K_1 = \alpha_1 R$, $K_2 = \alpha_2 R + \cdots + \alpha_n R$. By induction hypothesis, $f|_{K_1} = y_1\cdot$ and $f|_{K_2} = y_2\cdot$ for some $y_1, y_2 \in S^m$. Clearly,

$$y_1 - y_2 \in l_{S^m}(K_1 \cap K_2) = l_{S^m}(K_1) + l_{S^m}(K_2).$$

Suppose $y_1 - y_2 = z_1 + z_2$ with $z_i \in l_{S^m}(K_i)$ $(i = 1, 2)$ and let $y = y_1 - z_1 = y_2 + z_2$. Then for any $x = x_1 + x_2 \in K$ with $x_i \in K_i$ $(i = 1, 2)$,

$$f(x) = f(x_1) + f(x_2) = y_1 x_1 + y_2 x_2 = (y_1 - z_1)x_1 + (y_2 + z_2)x_2 = y(x_1 + x_2) = yx.$$

So $f = y\cdot$ and (1) follows. □

Corollary 1.6. *Given a left balanced bimodule ${}_SM_R$.*

(1) *The following statements are equivalent:*
   (i) *M_R is n-quasi-injective.*
   (ii) *M_R is PQ-injective and $l_S(I \cap K) = l_S(I) + l_S(K)$, where I, K are submodule of M_R and $I + K$ is n-generated.*
   (iii) *M_R is PQ-injective and $l_S(I \cap K) = l_S(I) + l_S(K)$, where I is a cyclic submodules of M_R and K is an $(n-1)$-generated submodule of M_R.*

(2) *M_R is finitely quasi-injective if and only if $l_M r_R(x) = Sx$ for all $x \in M$ and $l_S(I \cap K) = l_S(I) + l_S(K)$ for any finitely generated submodules I and K of M_R.*

(3) *M_R is $(m, 2)$-quasi-injective if and only if $(M_m)_R$ is PQ-injective and*

$$l_{S^m}(\alpha R \cap \beta R) = l_{S^m}(\alpha) + l_{S^m}(\beta)$$

for all $\alpha, \beta \in M_m$. In particular, M_R is 2-quasi-injective if and only if M_R is PQ-injective and

$$l_S(xR \cap yR) = l_S(x) + l_S(y)$$

for all $x, y \in M$.

Lemma 1.7. *Let M be a right R-module. If $f \in \mathrm{End}(M^{m\times n}_{R^{n\times n}})$, then*

(1) *$\pi_{ij} f(X) = \pi_{ij} f(\sum_{k=1}^{m} l_{kj}(x_{kj}))$ for each $X = (x_{ij}) \in M^{m\times n}$ and all $1 \le i \le m$, $1 \le j \le n$.*

(2) *$\pi_{ij} f l_{kj} = \pi_{i1} f l_{k1}$ for all $1 \le i \le m$, $1 \le j \le n$ and $1 \le k \le m$.*

Proof. (1) Since

$$f\left(\sum_{k=1}^{m} l_{kt}(x_{kt})\right) = f(XE_{tt}) = f(X)E_{tt} = \sum_{k=1}^{m} l_{kt}(\pi_{kt} f(X)),$$

we have $\pi_{ij} f\left(\sum_{k=1}^{m} l_{kt}(x_{kt})\right) = 0$ in case $t \neq j$. Thus

$$\pi_{ij} f(X) = \pi_{ij}\left[\sum_{t=1}^{n} f(\sum_{k=1}^{m} l_{kt}(x_{kt}))\right] = \pi_{ij} f\left(\sum_{k=1}^{m} l_{kj}(x_{kj})\right).$$

(2) For any $x \in M$,

$$\pi_{ij} f l_{kj}(x) = \pi_{ij} f(l_{k1}(x)P(1,j)) = \pi_{ij}[f(l_{k1}(x))P(1,j)] = \pi_{i1} f l_{k1}(x).$$

So

$$\pi_{ij} f l_{kj} = \pi_{i1} f l_{k1}.$$

□

Corollary 1.8. *Given a module M_R with $S = \mathrm{End}(M_R)$. Then a map $f : M^{m\times n} \to M^{m\times n}$ is a right $R^{n\times n}$-homomorphism if and only if $f = C\cdot$ for some $C \in S^{m\times m}$.*

Proof. ($\Rightarrow$) Suppose $f \in \mathrm{End}(M_{R^{n\times n}}^{m\times n})$ and take $C = (\pi_{i1} f l_{k1})_{m\times m} \in S^{m\times m}$. Then for each $X = (x_{ij})_{m\times n} \in M^{m\times n}$ and all $1 \le i \le m$, $1 \le j \le n$, by Lemma 1.7, we have

$$\pi_{ij} f(X) = \pi_{ij} f\left(\sum_{k=1}^{m} l_{kj}(x_{kj})\right) = \sum_{k=1}^{m} \pi_{ij} f l_{kj}(x_{kj}) = \sum_{k=1}^{m} \pi_{i1} f l_{k1}(x_{kj}) = \pi_{ij}(CX).$$

Therefore

$$f(X) = CX.$$

($\Leftarrow$) It is clear. □

Theorem 1.9. *Given a module M_R with $S = \mathrm{End}(M_R)$. M_R is (m,n)-quasi-injective if and only if the right $R^{n\times n}$-module $M^{m\times n}$ is PQ-injective.*

Proof. ($\Rightarrow$). Let $A, B \in M^{m\times n}$ with $r_{R^{n\times n}}(A) \subseteq r_{R^{n\times n}}(B)$ and write

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} B_1 \\ \vdots \\ B_m \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then for each $i = 1, 2, \cdots, m$, $r_{R^{n\times n}}(A) \subseteq r_{R^{n\times n}}(B_i)$. Consequently $r_{R_n}(A) \subseteq r_{R_n}(B_i)$. Since M_R is (m,n)-quasi-injective, by Theorem 1.3(4), $B_i \in S^m A$ ($i = 1, 2, \cdots, m$). So $B = CA$ for some $C \in S^{m\times m}$. Now we define $f : M^{m\times n} \to M^{m\times n}$ by $f(X) = CX$. Then $f \in \mathrm{End}(M_{R^{n\times n}}^{m\times n})$ and $B = f(A)$, whence $M_{R^{n\times n}}^{m\times n}$ is PQ-injective by Corollary 1.4(1).

($\Leftarrow$) Suppose $z \in M^n$, $A \in M^{m\times n}$ and $r_{R_n}(A) \subseteq r_{R_n}(z)$. Let $B = \begin{pmatrix} z \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \in M^{m\times n}$. Then $r_{R^{n\times n}}(A) \subseteq r_{R^{n\times n}}(B)$. Since $M_{R^{n\times n}}^{m\times n}$ is PQ-injective, $B = CA$ for some $C \in S^{m\times m}$ by Corollary 1.4(1) and Corollary 1.8. It follows that $z \in S^m A$. By Theorem 1.3(4), we see that M_R is (m,n)-quasi-injective. □

Corollary 1.10. *A ring R is right (m,n)-injective if and only if the right $R^{n\times n}$-module $R^{m\times n}$ is PQ-injective. In particular, R is right (n,n)-injective if and only if $M_n(R)$ is P-injective.*

By Theorem 1.9, Corollary 1.4 and Corollary 1.8, we have

Corollary 1.11. *M_R is finitely quasi-injective if and only if the right $R^{n\times n}$-module M^n is PQ-injective for all positive integers n if and only if $l_{M^n} r_{R^{n\times n}}(x) = Sx$ for all positive integers n and all $x \in M^n$, where $S = \mathrm{End}(M_R)$.*

2. Properties of (m,n)-quasi-injective modules

In this section, some known results on PQ-injective modules and principally injective rings are extended to (m,n)-quasi-injective modules.

We begin with the following theorem, which extends [**5**, Proposition 1.2].

Theorem 2.1. *Given a left balanced bimodule ${}_S M_R$ with M_R (m,n)-quasi-injective and $A, B \in M^{m\times n}$.*

(1) *If* $(BR_n)_R$ *embeds in* $(AR_n)_R$, *then* ${}_S(S^mB)$ *is an image of* ${}_S(S^mA)$.
(2) *If* $(AR_n)_R$ *is an image of* $(BR_n)_R$, *then* ${}_S(S^mA)$ *embeds in* ${}_S(S^mB)$.
(3) *If* $(BR_n)_R \cong (AR_n)_R$, *then* ${}_S(S^mA) \cong {}_S(S^mB)$.

Proof. If $\sigma : BR_n \to AR_n$ is a right R-homomorphism, then the (m,n)-quasi-injectivity of M_R forces $\sigma = g|_{BR_n}$ for some $g \in \mathrm{End}((M_m)_R)$. Let $D=(\pi_i g l_j)_{m\times m}$. Then $g = D\cdot$. But ${}_SM_R$ is let balanced, so $g = C\cdot$ for some $C \in S^{m\times m}$. Choose $u_1, u_2, \cdots, u_n \in R_n$ such that $\sigma(Be_i)=Au_i$, where $e_i=(0,\cdots,0,1,0,\cdots,0)^T \in R_n$ (with 1 in the ith position and 0's in all the other positions), $i = 1, 2, \cdots, n$. Let $U = (u_1, u_2, \cdots, u_n)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} AU = (Au_1, Au_2, \cdots, Au_n) &= (\sigma(Be_1), \sigma(Be_2), \cdots, \sigma(Be_n)) \\ &= (CBe_1, CBe_2, \cdots, CBe_n) = CB. \end{aligned}$$

Now we define $\varphi : S^mA \to S^mB$ by $yA \mapsto yAU$. Then φ is a left S-homomorphism.

(1) If σ is a monomorphism, then for any $x = (x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n)^T \in r_{R_n}(AU)$,

$$\sigma(Bx) = \sigma\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} Be_i x_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sigma(Be_i)x_i = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (Au_i)x_i = 0$$

follows that

$$Bx = 0.$$

Thus $r_{R_n}(AU) \subseteq r_{R_n}(B)$. By Theorem 1.3(3), $S^mB \subseteq S^mAU$. But $S^mAU = S^mCB \subseteq S^mB$, so $S^mB = S^mAU$. Hence φ is an epimorphism.

(2) Suppose σ is an epimorphism. Let $Ae_i = \sigma(Bv_i)$, $v_i \in R_n$, $i = 1, 2, \cdots, n$, and write $V=(v_1, v_2, \cdots, v_n)$. Then $V \in R^{n\times n}$ and $A=CBV$. Thus, if $\varphi(yA) = 0$, then $yAU = 0$, i.e., $yCB = 0$, whence $yA = yCBV = 0$. Therefore φ is a monomorphism.

(3) By (1) and (2). □

The next theorem extends [**5**, Lemma 1.2].

Theorem 2.2. *Suppose that* ${}_SM_R$ *is left balanced and* M_R *is* (m,n)*-quasi-injective. Then*

$$l_{S^k}[r_{M_k}(A) \cap BR_n] = S^mA + l_{S^k}(B)$$

for all positive integers k, $A \in S^{m\times k}$ *and* $B \in M^{k\times n}$.

Proof. Let $x \in l_{S^k}[r_{M_k}(A) \cap BR_n]$. For all $y \in r_{R_n}(AB)$, we have $ABy = 0$. This implies that $By \in r_{M_k}(A) \cap BR_n$. So $xBy = 0$, i.e., $y \in r_{R_n}(xB)$. Thus $r_{R_n}(AB) \subseteq r_{R_n}(xB)$. Since M_R is (m,n)-quasi-injective, by Theorem 1.3(4), $xB = u(AB)$ for some $u \in S^m$. Then $x - uA \in l_{S^k}(B)$. Hence

$$x = uA + (x - uA) \in S^mA + l_{S^k}(B).$$

Therefore

$$l_{S^k}[r_{M_k}(A) \cap BR_n] \subseteq S^mA + l_{S^k}(B).$$

The inverse inclusion is obvious. □

Corollary 2.3. *Let M_R be (m,n)-quasi-injective. If $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_m \in S = \mathrm{End}(M_R)$, $x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n \in M$, then*

$$l_S\left[(\bigcap_{i=1}^{m} \mathrm{Ker}\,\alpha_i) \cap \sum_{j=1}^{n} x_j R)\right] = \sum_{i=1}^{m} S\alpha_i + \bigcap_{j=1}^{n} l_S(x_j).$$

Proof. Take $k = 1$, $A = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_m)^T$ and $B = (x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n)$ in Theorem 2.2 and then the result follows. □

Corollary 2.4. *Let M_R be an n-generated (m,n)-quasi-injective module with $S = \mathrm{End}(M_R)$. Then*

(1) $l_S\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{m} \mathrm{Ker}\,\alpha_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} S\alpha_i$ *for any $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_m \in S$.*

(2) *If $\alpha_i, \beta_i \in S$ $(i = 1, 2, \cdots, m)$ satisfy $\bigcap_{i=1}^{m} \mathrm{Ker}\,\alpha_i \subseteq \bigcap_{i=1}^{m} \mathrm{Ker}\,\beta_i$, then $\beta_i \in \sum_{i=1}^{m} S\alpha_i$ $(i = 1, 2, \cdots, m)$.*

Take $M_R = xR$, $k = n$, $A = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ \vdots \\ \alpha_m \end{pmatrix}$ and $B = \begin{pmatrix} x & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & x \end{pmatrix}_{n\times n}$ in Theorem 2.2. Then we have the following corollary.

Corollary 2.5. *Let M_R be a cyclic (m,n)-quasi-injective module with $S = \mathrm{End}(M_R)$. Then*

$$l_{S^n} r_{M_n}\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \cdots, \alpha_m\} = \sum_{i=1}^{m} S\alpha_i$$

for any α_1, α_2, $\cdots, \alpha_m \in S^n$.

Let M_R be a module with $S = \mathrm{End}(M_R)$, write $W(S) = \{w \in S | \mathrm{Ker}(w) \trianglelefteq M\}$. Then $W(S) = J(S)$ in case M_R is a cyclic PQ-injective module [**5**, Proposition 2.4]. For the case of n-quasi-injective modules, we have

Lemma 2.6. *If M_R is n-quasi-injective and n-generated, then $W(S) = J(S)$, where $S = \mathrm{End}(M_R)$.*

Proof. If $a \in W(S)$, then $r_M(a) = \mathrm{Ker}\,a \trianglelefteq M$, and this forces $r_M(1-a) = 0$, i.e., $l_S r_M(1-a) = S$. Since M_R is n-quasi-injective and n-generated, we have $S(1-a) = S$ by Corollary 2.4. This means that $W(S) \subseteq J(S)$. Conversely, let $a \in J(S)$. For any $x \in M$, if $r_M(a) \cap xR = 0$, then $l_S[r_M(a) \cap xR] = S$. So we have $Sa + l_S(x) = S$ by Corollary 2.3. It follows that $l_S(x) = S$, i.e., $x = 0$. Therefore $r_M(a) \trianglelefteq M$, that is, $a \in W(S)$. □

Given a module M_R. We call $U(\neq 0) \in M^{m\times n}$ a ***right uniform element*** if UR_n is a uniform submodule of $(M_m)_R$, and write $M_U = \{x \in S^m | r_{M_m}(x) \cap UR_n \neq 0\}$.

Lemma 2.7. *Let M_R be (m,n)-quasi-injective with $S = \mathrm{End}(M_R)$. If $U \in M^{m\times n}$ is a right uniform element, then M_U is the unique maximal submodule of ${}_SS^m$ which contains $l_{S^m}(U)$.*

Proof. Since UR_n is a uniform submodule of $(M_m)_R$, M_U is a submodule of ${}_SS^m$. It is easy to see that $l_{S^m}(U) \subseteq M_U \neq S^m$. If $A \in S^m \setminus M_U$, then $r_{M_m}(A) \cap UR_n = 0$. So $l_{S^m}(r_{M_m}(A) \cap UR_n) = S^m$. Let $\overline{A} = \begin{pmatrix} A \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \in S^{m\times m}$. Then $r_{M_m}(\overline{A}) = r_{M_m}(A)$ and $S^m\overline{A} = SA$. But M_R is (m,n)-quasi-injective, by Theorem 2.2, $SA + l_{S^m}(U) = S^m$. Hence $SA + M_U = S^m$. Therefore M_U is a maximal submodule of ${}_SS^m$ which contains $l_{S^m}(U)$. Now, if $l_{S^m}(U) \subseteq {}_SL \subsetneqq S^m$, then $L \subseteq M_U$ (otherwise, if $A \in L \setminus M_U$, then $l_{S^m}(U) + SA = S^m$ as before. So we have $L = S^m$, a contradiction). This completes the proof. □

Lemma 2.8. *Let M_R be (m,n)-quasi-injective with $S = \mathrm{End}(M_R)$ and $W = U_1R_n \oplus \cdots \oplus U_tR_n$, where $U_i \in M^{m\times n}$ are right uniform elements, $i = 1, 2, \cdots, t$. If ${}_SL$ is a maximal submodule of ${}_SS^m$ not of the form M_U for any right uniform element $U \in M^{m\times n}$, then $r_{M_m}(E_m - A) \cap W \trianglelefteq W$ for some $A \in L_m$.*

Proof. Since $L \neq M_{U_1}$, so $r_{M_m}(x) \cap U_1R_n = 0$ for some $x \in L$, thus $r_{R_n}(xU_1) \subseteq r_{R_n}(U_1)$. Let $B = (xU_1, 0)^T \in M^{m\times n}$. Then $r_{R_n}(B) = r_{R_n}(xU_1) \subseteq r_{R_n}(U_1)$. Since M_R is (m,n)-quasi-injective, $S^mU_1 \subseteq S^mB$ by Theorem 1.3(3). Let $\varepsilon_1 = (1, 0, \cdots, 0)$, $\varepsilon_2 = (0, 1, 0, \cdots, 0)$, $\cdots$, $\varepsilon_m = (0, \cdots, 0, 1) \in S^m$ and suppose $\varepsilon_iU_1 = s_ixU_1$ for some $s_i \in S$ $(i = 1, 2, \cdots, m)$. Write $A_1 = (s_1x, \ldots, s_mx)^T$. Then $A_1 \in L_m$ and $(E_m - A_1)U_1 = 0$. So $r_{M_m}(E_m - A_1) \cap U_1R_n \neq 0$. If $r_{M_m}(E_m - A_1) \cap U_2R_n = 0$, then $(E_m - A_1)U_2R_n \cong U_2R_n$ is a unform right R-module. Hence $(E_m - A_2)(E_m - A_1)U_2 = 0$ for some $A_2 \in L_m$. Let $A_3 = A_1 + A_2 - A_2A_1$. Then $(E_m - A_3)U_1 = (E_m - A_3)U_2 = 0$. Thus $r_{M_m}(E_m - A_3) \cap U_iR_n \neq 0$, $i = 1, 2$. Continue in this way to obtain $A \in L_m$ such that $r_{M_m}(E_m - A) \cap W \trianglelefteq W$. □

The following theorem extends [**6**, Theorem 3.3]. We complete this section with it and two corollaries.

Theorem 2.9. *Let M_R be an n-generated n-quasi-injective and finite dimensional module with $S = \mathrm{End}(M_R)$.*

(1) *If $L \subseteq S$ is a maximal left ideal, then $L = M_U$ for some right uniform element $U \in M^n$.*
(2) *$S/J(S)$ is semisimple artinian.*

Proof. Since M_R is finite dimensional, we may assume $W = U_1R_n \oplus \cdots \oplus U_tR_n \trianglelefteq M_R$, where $U_1, \cdots, U_t \in M^n$ and each U_iR_n is uniform [**4**, Proposition 3.19]. If ${}_SL$ is a maximal left ideal of ${}_SS$ not of the form M_U for any right uniform element $U \in M^n$, then $r_M(1 - a) \cap W \trianglelefteq W$ for some $a \in L$ by Lemma 2.8. So $1 - a \in J(S) \subseteq L$ by Lemma 2.6, a contradiction. Thus (1) follows. As to (2), if $a \in M_{U_1} \cap M_{U_2} \cap \cdots \cap M_{U_t}$, then $r_M(a) \cap U_iR_n \neq 0$, $i = 1, 2, \cdots, t$. Hence

$$\bigoplus_{i=1}^{t} [r_M(a) \cap U_iR_n] \trianglelefteq M_R$$

because each U_iR_n is uniform. This means $r_M(a) \trianglelefteq M_R$. By Lemma 2.6, $a \in J(S)$. But each M_{U_i} is maximal in ${}_SS$ by Lemma 2.7, so

$$J(S) = M_{U_1} \cap M_{U_2} \cap \cdots \cap M_{U_t}.$$

Therefore $S/J(S)$ is semisimple artinian. □

Corollary 2.10. *If M_R is finitely quasi-injective finite dimensional and finitely generated, then $S/J(S)$ is semisimple artinian, where $S = \mathrm{End}(M_R)$.*

Corollary 2.11. *If M_R is an n-quasi-injective and n-generated uniform module, then $S = \mathrm{End}(M_R)$ is local.*

3. (m,n)-QUASI-INJECTIVE KASCH MODULES

Following Albu and Wisbauer [**1**], a right R-module M_R is called a ***Kasch*** module if any simple module in $\sigma[M_R]$ embeds in M_R, where $\sigma[M]$ is the category consisting of all M-subgenerated right R-modules [**9**, p. 118]. In this section, we study some properties of (m,n)-quasi-injective (in particular, n-quasi-injective) Kasch modules.

Recall that a bimodule ${}_SM_R$ is said to be ***faithfully balanced*** [**2**] in case the canonical ring homomorphisms $\lambda : S \to \mathrm{End}(M_R)$ and $\rho : R \to \mathrm{End}({}_SM)$ are isomorphisms.

Proposition 3.1. *If ${}_SM_R$ is faithfully balanced and M_R is an $(n, m+1)$-quasi-injective Kasch module, then ${}_SM$ is (m,n)-quasi-injective.*

Proof. Let $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \cdots, \alpha_m \in M_n$. Then

$$N = \alpha_1 R + \cdots + \alpha_m R \subseteq r_{M_n} l_{S^n}\{\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_m\}.$$

Assume $\beta \in r_{M_n} l_{S^n}\{\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_m\}$ but $\beta \overline{\in} N$. Then $N_R \subseteq L_R$ for some maximal submodule L_R of $\beta R + N_R$. Since $(\beta R + N)/L$ is a simple module in $\sigma[M_R]$, there exists a monomorphism $\delta : (\beta R + N)/L \to M_R$. Define $f : \beta R + N \to M_R$ by $f(x) = \delta(x + L)$. Then $f(\alpha_i) = 0$ for all $i = 1, 2, \cdots, m$, but $f(\beta) \neq 0$. Note that M_R is $(n, m+1)$-quasi-injective and $\beta R + N$ is an $(m+1)$-generated submodule of $(M_n)_R$, so $f(x) = ux$ for some $u \in (\mathrm{End}(M_R))^n$. And hence there exists $v \in S^n$ such that $f(x) = vx$ for ${}_SM_R$ is balanced. Thus $v\alpha_i = 0$, $i = 1, 2, \cdots, m$, i.e., $v \in l_{S^n}\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \cdots, \alpha_m\}$. This implies that $f(\beta) = v\beta = 0$, a contradiction. So $N = r_{M_n} l_{S^n}\{\alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_m\}$, whence ${}_SM$ is (m,n)-quasi-injective. □

Corollary 3.2. [**3**, Theorem 2.7] *If R is right Kasch and right $(n, m+1)$--injective, then R is left (m,n)-injective.*

Our next theorem extends [**6**, Lemma 2.3].

Theorem 3.3. *Given a left balanced bimodule ${}_SM_R$. If M_R is l-generated and ln-quasi-injective and Kasch, then $l_{S^n}(J_n) \trianglelefteq_S S^n$, where $J = \mathrm{Rad}(M_R)$.*

Proof. If $0 \neq a \in S^n$, then choose a maximal submodule A of the right R-module aM_n. Let $\sigma : aM_n/A \to M_R$ be a monomorphism and define $\alpha : aM_n \to M_R$

by $\alpha(x) = \sigma(x + A)$. Since aM_n is an ln-generated submodule of the ln-quasi-injective module M_R, α extends to an endomorphism of M. Then $\alpha = s_0\cdot$ for some $s_0 \in S$ because ${}_SM_R$ is left balanced. Choose $y \in M_n$ such that $ay\overline{\in}A$. Then $s_0ay = \alpha(ay) = \sigma(ay + A) \neq 0$. So $s_0a \neq 0$. If $aJ_n \nsubseteq A$, then $aT_n + A = aM_n$. Now, let $a = (s_1, \cdots, s_n)$. Then $s_i(\mathrm{Rad}(M_R)) \ll s_iM$ $(i = 1, 2, \cdots, n)$ for M_R is finitely generated. This follows that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} s_i(\mathrm{Rad}\, M_R) \ll \sum_{i=1}^{n} s_i(M_R), \quad \text{i.e.,} \quad aJ_n \ll aM_n.$$

Hence $A = aM_n$, a contradiction. Thus $aJ_n \subseteq A$ and it implies that

$$(s_0a)J_n = \alpha(aJ_n) = \sigma(0) = 0.$$

So $0 \neq s_0a \in Sa \cap l_{S^n}(J_n)$. Therefore $l_{S^n}(J_n) \trianglelefteq_S S^n$. □

Corollary 3.4. *Given a cyclic module M_R with $S = \mathrm{End}(M_R)$, if M_R is PQ--injective and Kasch, then $l_S(J) \trianglelefteq_S S$, where $J = \mathrm{Rad}(M_R)$.*

Corollary 3.5. *Given a finitely generated module M_R with $S = \mathrm{End}(M_R)$. If M_R is finitely quasi-injective and Kasch, then $l_{S^n}(J_n) \trianglelefteq_S S^n$ for all positive integers n, where $J = \mathrm{Rad}(M_R)$.*

Lemma 3.6. *Given a module M_R with $S = \mathrm{End}(M_R)$. If $\mathrm{Rad}(M_R) \neq M_R$ and consider the following conditions:*

(1) *M_R is a Kasch module.*
(2) *$l_{S^n}(T) \neq 0$ for all positive integers n and for any maximal submodule T of $(M_n)_R$.*
(3) *$l_{S^n}(T) \neq 0$ for some positive integer n and for any maximal submodule T of $(M_n)_R$.*
(4) *$l_S(T) \neq 0$ for any maximal submodule T of M_R.*

Then we always have the following implications:

$$(1) \Rightarrow (2) \Rightarrow (3) \Rightarrow (4).$$

If M_R generates all simple modules in $\sigma[M]$ (in particular, if M_R is a generator in $\sigma[M]$), then we have $(4) \Rightarrow (1)$.

Proof. Since $\mathrm{Rad}(M) \neq M$, so M (and hence M_n) has maximal submodules.

(1) $\Rightarrow$ (2). Let $\varphi : M_n/T \to M_R$ be a monomorphism, define $f : M_n \to M$ by $x \mapsto \varphi(x + T)$, and write $a = (fl_1, fl_2, \cdots, fl_n)$. Then $0 \neq a \in S^n$ and $aT = f(T) = 0$. So $l_{S^n}(T) \neq 0$.

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (3) is clear.

(3) $\Rightarrow$ (4). If $n = 1$, the implication holds. Now we assume $n > 1$. Let T be any maximal submodule of M, write $K = \begin{pmatrix} T \\ M_{n-1} \end{pmatrix}$, and define $\varphi : M_n/K \to M/T$ via $\begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} + K \mapsto x + T$, where $x \in M$, $y \in M_{n-1}$. Then φ is a right R-isomorphism. This means that K is a maximal submodule of M_n. Hence

$l_{S^n}(K) \neq 0$. Suppose $0 \neq (u,v) \in l_{S^n}(K)$, where $u \in S$ and $v \in S^{n-1}$. Then $0 \neq u \in l_S(T)$.

Lastly, assume M generates all simple R-modules in $\sigma[M]$ and (4) holds. Then for every simple module A_R in $\sigma[M]$, there exists a maximal submodule T of M such that $A \cong M/T$. Suppose $0 \neq s_0 \in l_S(T)$. Then $T \subseteq r_M(s_0) \neq M$. Hence $T = r_M(s_0)$. Now we define $\varphi : M/T \to M$ by $x + T \mapsto s_0 x$. Then it is easy to see that φ is an R-monomorphism. □

The following theorem is an extension of [**7**, Theorem 1.2].

Theorem 3.7. *Let M_R be an n-quasi-injective cyclic Kasch module with $S = \mathrm{End}(M_R)$. Then the map $K \mapsto r_{M_n}(K)$ and $T \mapsto l_{S^n}(T)$ are mutually inverse bijections between the set of all minimal submodules of ${}_SS^n$ and the set of all maximal submodules of $(M_n)_R$. In particular,*

(1) *$l_{S^n} r_{M_n}(K) = K$ for all minimal submodules K of ${}_SS^n$.*
(2) *$r_{M_n} l_{S^n}(T) = T$ for all maximal submodules T of $(M_n)_R$.*

Proof. (1) follows from Corollary 2.5. As to (2), observe that $T \subseteq r_{M_n} l_{S^n}(T)$ and that $r_{M_n} l_{S^n}(T) \neq M_n$ by Lemma 3.6. The proof is completed by establishing the following claims. □

Claim 1. *$r_{M_n}(K)$ is a maximal submodule of $(M_n)_R$ for each minimal submodule K of ${}_SS^n$.*

Proof. Let $r_{M_n}(K) \subseteq T$, where T is a maximal submodule of M_n. Then $0 \neq l_{S^n}(T) \subseteq l_{S^n} r_{M_n}(K) = K$ by (1). So $l_{S^n}(T) = K$ because K is minimal in ${}_SS^n$. Hence $r_{M_n}(K) = r_{M_n} l_{S^n}(T) = T$ by (2). □

Claim 2. *$l_{S^n}(T)$ is a minimal submodule of ${}_SS^n$ for all maximal submodules T of $(M_n)_R$.*

Proof. Since M_R is Kasch, by Lemma 3.6(2), we may choose $0 \neq x \in l_{S^n}(T)$. Then $T \subseteq r_{M_n}(x) \neq M_n$, whence $T = r_{M_n}(x)$. As M_R is n-quasi-injective and cyclic, this gives $l_{S^n}(T) = l_{S^n} r_{M_n}(x) = Sx$ by Corollary 2.5 and it follows that $l_{S^n}(T)$ is a minimal submodule of ${}_SS^n$. □

Acknowledgment. This work was supported in part by the Teaching and Research Award Program for Outstanding Young Teachers in Higher Education Institutions of MOE, China, and the Foundation of Graduate Creative Program of JiangSu (No. xm04-10),

References

1. Albu T. and Wisbauer R., *Kasch Modules, in Advances in Ring Theory*, edited: Jain, S. K. and Rizvi, S. T., Birkhäuser, 1997, 1–16.
2. Anderson F. W. and Fuller K. R., *Rings and Categories of Modules*, GTM13, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1974.
3. Chen J. L., Ding N. Q., Li Y. L. and Zhou Y. Q., *On (m,n)-injectivity of Modules*. Comm. Algebra **29**(12) (2001), 5589–5603.
4. Goodearl K. R., *Ring Theory: Nonsingular Rings and Modules*, Marcel Dekker, 1976.

5. Nicholson W. K., Park J. K. and Yousif M. F., *Principally Quasi-injective Modules*, Comm. Algebra. **27**(4) (1999), 1683–1693.
6. Nicholson W. K. and Yousif M. F., *Principally Injective Rings*, J. Algebra. **174** (1995), 7–93.
7. _____, *On a Theorem of Camillo*, Comm. Algebra. **23**(14) (1995), 5309–5314.
8. Ramamurthi V. S. and Rangaswamy K. M., *On Finitely Injective Modules*, J. Austral. Math. Soc. **16** (1973), 239–248.
9. Wisbauer R., *Foundation of Module and Ring Theory*, Gordon and Breach, Reading, 1991.

Z. M. Zhu, Department of Mathematics, Jiaxing University, Jiaxing, Zhejiang 314001, P. R. China,
e-mail: zhanmin_zhu@hotmail.com

J. L. Chen, Department of Mathematics, Southeast University Nanjing 210096, P. R. China,
e-mail: jlchen@seu.edu.cn

X. X. Zhang, Department of Mathematics, Southeast University Nanjing 210096, P. R. China,
e-mail: z990303@seu.edu.cn