

# SOME RESULTS ON INCREMENTS OF THE WIENER PROCESS

A. BAHRAM

**ABSTRACT.** Let  $\lambda_{(T,a_T,\alpha)} = \left\{ 2a_T \left[ \log \frac{T}{a_T} + \alpha \log \log T + (1 - \alpha) \log \log a_T \right] \right\}^{-\frac{1}{2}}$  where  $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$  and  $\{W(t), t \geq 0\}$  be a standard Wiener process. This paper studies the almost sure limiting behaviour of  $\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T - a_T} \lambda_{(T,a_T,\alpha)} |W(t + a_T) - W(t)|$  as  $T \rightarrow \infty$  under varying conditions on  $a_T$  and  $\frac{T}{a_T}$ .

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $\{W(t), t \geq 0\}$  be a standard Wiener process. Suppose that  $a_T$  is a nondecreasing function of  $T$  such that  $0 < a_T \leq T$  and  $\frac{T}{a_T}$  is nondecreasing. Csörgő and Révész [2], [3] established the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $a_T$  for  $T \geq 0$  satisfy*

- (1)  $a_T$  is nondecreasing,
- (2)  $0 < a_T \leq T$ ,
- (3)  $\frac{a_T}{T}$  is nonincreasing.

---

Received June 6, 2003.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 60J65.

*Key words and phrases.* Wiener process, increments of a Wiener process, a law of the iterated logarithm.

Define  $\beta_T = (2a_T(\log \frac{T}{a_T} + \log \log T))^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ . Then

$$(4) \quad \limsup_{T \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T - a_T} \beta_T |W(T + a_T) - W(t)| = 1 \quad a.s.$$

$$(5) \quad \limsup_{T \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T - a_T} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq a_T} \beta_T |W(t + s) - W(t)| = 1 \quad a.s.$$

If, in addition,

$$(6) \quad \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \frac{T}{a_T}}{\log \log T} = \infty,$$

then “limsup” may be replaced by “lim” in both equations (4) and (5).

Here and in the sequel we shall define for each  $u \geq 0$  the functions

$$Lu = \log u = \log(\max(u, 1)),$$

and

$$L_2u = \log \log(\max(u, e)).$$

$\varepsilon$  stands for a positive number given arbitrarily, and  $C$  will be understood as a positive constant independent of  $n$ , which can take different values on each appearance.

To simplify the notation, we will set

$$A(T, a_T, \alpha) = \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T - a_T} \lambda_{(T, a_T, \alpha)} |W(t + a_T) - W(t)|,$$

$$B(T, a_T, \alpha) = \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T - a_T} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq a_T} \lambda_{(T, a_T, \alpha)} |W(t + s) - W(t)|,$$

where

$$\lambda_{(T,a_T,\alpha)} = \left\{ 2a_T \left[ L \frac{T}{a_T} + \alpha L_2 T + (1 - \alpha) L_2 a_T \right] \right\}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \quad \text{and} \quad 0 \leq \alpha \leq 1.$$

## 2. MAIN RESULT

In this section we shall investigate the analogous problem when  $\beta_T$  is replaced by  $\lambda_{(T,a_T,\alpha)}$ . Our goal is to prove the following result.

**Theorem 2.1.** *Under assumptions (2) and (3) of Theorem 1.1, we have*

$$(7) \quad \limsup_{T \rightarrow \infty} A(T, a_T, \alpha) = 1 \quad a.s.,$$

$$(8) \quad \limsup_{T \rightarrow \infty} B(T, a_T, \alpha) = 1 \quad a.s.$$

*If we also have*

$$(*) \quad \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{L \frac{T}{a_T}}{L((LT)^\alpha (La_T)^{1-\alpha})} = \infty,$$

*then*

$$(9) \quad \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} A(T, a_T, \alpha) = 1 \quad a.s.,$$

$$(10) \quad \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} B(T, a_T, \alpha) = 1 \quad a.s.$$

**Remark 2.1.** Let us mention some particular cases .

1. For  $a_T = T$  we obtain the law of iterated logarithm.
2. If  $\alpha = 1$ , we obtain Csörgő-Révész theorem (see Theorem 1.1).

3. If  $\alpha = 0$ , under assumptions (2) and (3) of Theorem 1.1, then we also have

$$(11) \quad \limsup_{T \rightarrow \infty} A(T, a_T, 0) = 1, \quad a.s.,$$

$$(12) \quad \limsup_{T \rightarrow \infty} B(T, a_T, 0) = 1, \quad a.s.$$

If we also have  $\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \frac{T}{a_T}}{\log \log a_T} = \infty$ , then "lim sup" in Equation (11) and (12) may be replaced by "lim".

*Proof of Theorem 2.1.* Our proof will be given in three steps expressed by the following three lemmas.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $a_T$  be a nondecreasing function of  $T$  satisfying conditions (2) and (3) of Theorem 1.1. Then for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  we have*

$$(13) \quad \limsup_{T \rightarrow \infty} A(T, a_T, \alpha) \geq 1 - \varepsilon.$$

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $a_T$  be a nondecreasing function of  $T$  satisfying conditions (2) and (3) of Theorem 1.1. Then for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  we have*

$$(14) \quad \limsup_{T \rightarrow \infty} B(T, a_T, \alpha) \leq 1 + \varepsilon.$$

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let  $a_T$  be a nondecreasing function of  $T$  satisfying conditions (2), (3) of Theorem 1.1 and (\*) of Theorem 2.1. Then for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  we have*

$$(15) \quad \liminf_{T \rightarrow \infty} A(T, a_T, \alpha) \geq 1 - \varepsilon.$$

*Proof of Lemma 2.1.* Let

$$C(T) = \lambda_{(T, a_T, \alpha)} |W(T) - W(T - a_T)|.$$

Using the well known probability inequality

$$(16) \quad \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \left( \frac{1}{x} - \frac{1}{x^3} \right) \exp \left( -\frac{x^2}{2} \right) \leq P(W(1) \geq x) \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi x}} \exp \left( -\frac{x^2}{2} \right),$$

for  $x \geq 0$ , (see, e.g., [4, p.175]), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} P(C(T) \geq 1 - \varepsilon) &\geq \left( \frac{a_T}{T(LT)^\alpha(La_T)^{1-\alpha}} \right)^{1-\varepsilon} \geq \left( \left( \frac{a_T}{TLa_T} \right) \left( \frac{La_T}{LT} \right)^\alpha \right)^{1-\varepsilon} \\ &\geq \left( \left( \frac{a_T}{TLa_T} \right) \left( \frac{La_T}{LT} \right) \right)^{1-\varepsilon} \geq \left( \frac{a_T}{TLL} \right)^{1-\varepsilon} \end{aligned}$$

if  $T$  is big enough. We define the sequence  $\{T_k\}$  as follows: Let  $T_1 = 1$  and define  $T_{k+1}$  by

$$T_{k+1} - a_{T_{k+1}} = T_k \quad \text{if } \rho < 1$$

and

$$T_{k+1} = \theta^{k+1} \quad \text{if } \rho = 1,$$

where  $\theta > 1$  and  $\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a_T}{T} = \rho$ . The conditions (2) and (3) imply that  $a_T$  is a continuous function of  $T$  and that  $\rho = 1$  if and only if  $a_T = T$ . Moreover  $T - a_T$  is a strictly increasing function of  $T$  if  $\rho < 1$ . In the case  $\rho = 1$  we refer to the law of the iterated logarithm. So we assume that  $\rho < 1$ , (13) follows from

$$(17) \quad \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{a_{T_k}}{T_k(LT_k)^{1-\varepsilon}} = \infty,$$

as was shown in Csáki, Csörgő, Földes and Révész [1, Lemma 3.2], and the r.v.  $C(T_k)$  ( $k = 1, 2, \dots$ ) are independent.  $\square$

*Proof of Lemma 2.2.* Let  $a_{T_k} = \theta^k$ ,  $\theta > 1$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Using the inequality

$$(18) \quad P\left\{ \sup_{0 \leq s', s \leq T, 0 \leq s-s' \leq h} h^{-\frac{1}{2}} |W(s) - W(s')| \geq v \right\} \leq \frac{CT}{h} \exp\left\{ \frac{-v^2}{2+\varepsilon} \right\},$$

where  $C$  is a positive constant depending only on  $\varepsilon$  (see in [2, Lemma 1\*]), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} P(B(T_k, a_{T_k}, \alpha) \geq (1+\varepsilon)) \\ & \leq C \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{T_k}{a_{T_k}} \exp\left\{ -2 \frac{(1+\varepsilon)^2}{2+\varepsilon} \left( \log \frac{T_k}{a_{T_k}} (LT_k)^\alpha (La_{T_k})^{(1-\alpha)} \right) \right\} \\ & \leq C \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{a_{T_k}}{T_k} \right)^\varepsilon \left( \frac{1}{(LT_k)^\alpha (La_{T_k})^{(1-\alpha)}} \right)^{1+\varepsilon} \\ & \leq C \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{a_{T_k}}{T_k} \right)^\varepsilon \left( \left( \frac{LT_k}{La_{T_k}} \right)^{1-\alpha} \frac{1}{LT_k} \right)^{1+\varepsilon} \\ & \leq C \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{a_{T_k}}{T_k} \right)^\varepsilon \left( \left( \frac{LT_k}{La_{T_k}} \right) \frac{1}{LT_k} \right)^{1+\varepsilon} \\ & = C \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{a_{T_k}}{T_k} \right)^\varepsilon \frac{1}{(La_{T_k})^{1+\varepsilon}} < \infty \end{aligned}$$

and an application of Borel-Cantelli Lemma gives

$$(19) \quad \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} B(T_k, a_{T_k}, \alpha) \leq 1 \quad a.s.$$

Notice that

$$(20) \quad 1 \leq \frac{\lambda_{(T_k, a_{T_k}, \alpha)}}{\lambda_{(T_{k+1}, a_{T_{k+1}}, \alpha)}} \leq \theta$$

if  $k$  is big enough. When  $T_k \leq T \leq T_{k+1}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{T \rightarrow \infty} B(T, a_T, \alpha) &\leq \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} B(T_{k+1}, a_{T_{k+1}}, \alpha) \frac{\lambda_{(T_k, a_{T_k}, \alpha)}}{\lambda_{(T_{k+1}, a_{T_{k+1}}, \alpha)}} \\ &\leq \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} B(T_{k+1}, a_{T_{k+1}}, \alpha) \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\lambda_{(T_k, a_{T_k}, \alpha)}}{\lambda_{(T_{k+1}, a_{T_{k+1}}, \alpha)}}. \end{aligned}$$

Now choosing  $\theta$  near enough to one, (14) follows from (19) and (20). □

*Proof of Lemma 2.3.* We will set  $D_T = \{A(T, a_T, \alpha) \leq 1 - \varepsilon\}$ . Using inequality (18), for sufficiently large  $T$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} P(D_T) &\leq P\left(\max_{0 \leq i \leq \lfloor \frac{T}{a_T} \rfloor - 1} \lambda_{(T, a_T, \alpha)} |W(i+1)a_T - W(ia_T)| \leq 1 - \varepsilon\right) \\ &\leq \left(1 - \left(\frac{a_T}{T(LT)^\alpha (La_T)^{1-\alpha}}\right)^{1-\varepsilon}\right)^{\lfloor \frac{T}{a_T} \rfloor} \leq 2 \exp \left\{ - \left(\frac{T}{a_T}\right)^\varepsilon \frac{1}{(LT)^\alpha (1-\varepsilon) (La_T)^{(1-\alpha)(1-\varepsilon)}} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, under condition (\*) and for all sufficiently large  $T$ ,

$$\frac{T}{a_T} \geq \{(LT)^\alpha (La_T)^{1-\alpha}\}^{\frac{3-\varepsilon}{\varepsilon}}.$$

Define  $T_k = e^{a_{T_k}} = k$ .

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} P(D_{T_k}) &\leq 2 \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \exp\{-(LT_k)^{2\alpha} (La_{T_k})^{2(1-\alpha)}\} = 2 \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \exp\left\{-\left(\frac{LT_k}{La_{T_k}}\right)^{2\alpha} (La_{T_k})^2\right\} \\ &\leq 2 \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \exp\{-(La_{T_k})^2\} \leq 2 \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_{T_k}^{-2} = 2 \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (Lk)^{-2} < \infty \end{aligned}$$

which implies by Borel-Cantelli lemma that

$$(21) \quad \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} A(T_k, a_{T_k}, \alpha) \geq 1 - \varepsilon, a.s.$$

When  $T_k \leq T \leq T_{k+1}$ , we have  $a_T - a_{T_k} \geq 0$  and by (3), it is easy to see that  $a_T - a_{T_k} \leq \frac{a_{T_k}}{T_k} \leq \delta a_{T_k}$  for any  $\delta > 0$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \liminf_{T \rightarrow \infty} A(T, a_T, \alpha) &\geq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T_k - a_{T_k}} \lambda_{(T_{k+1}, a_{T_{k+1}}, \alpha)} |W(t + a_{T_k}) - W(t)| \\ &\quad - \limsup_{T \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T - \delta a_T} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq \delta a_T} \lambda_{(T, a_T, \alpha)} |W(t + s) - W(t)| \\ &= \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T_k - a_{T_k}} \lambda_{(T_k, a_{T_k}, \alpha)} |W(t + a_{T_k}) - W(t)| \frac{\lambda_{(T_{k+1}, a_{T_{k+1}}, \alpha)}}{\lambda_{(T_k, a_{T_k}, \alpha)}} \\ &\quad - \limsup_{T \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T - \delta a_T} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq \delta a_T} \lambda_{(T, \delta a_T, \alpha)} |W(t + s) - W(t)| \frac{\lambda_{(T, a_T, \alpha)}}{\lambda_{(T, \delta a_T, \alpha)}}. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.2 we have

$$(22) \quad \limsup_{T \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T - \delta a_T} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq \delta a_T} \lambda_{(T, \delta a_T, \alpha)} |W(t + s) - W(t)| \leq 1, a.s.$$



We notice that

$$(23) \quad \limsup_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\lambda_{(T, a_T, \alpha)}}{\lambda_{(T, \delta a_T, \alpha)}} = \delta.$$

The proof of Lemma 2.3 will be completed by combining (21), (22) and (23). □

1. Csáki E., Csörgő M., Földes A. and Révész, P., *How big are the increments of the local time of a Wiener process?* Ann. Probability **11** (1983), 593–608.
2. Csörgő M. and Révész P., *How big are the increments of a Wiener process?* Ann. Probability **7** (1979), 731-737.
3. ———, *Strong approximation in probability and statistics*. Academic Press, New York (1981).
4. Feller W., *An introduction to probability theory and its applications*. Vol 2, 2<sup>nd</sup>. Willy, New York (1968).

A. Bahram, Laboratoire de Mathématiques, Université Djillali Liabès, BP 89, 22000 Sidi Bel Abbès, Algeria, *e-mail*: [Abdelkader\\_bahram@yahoo.fr](mailto:Abdelkader_bahram@yahoo.fr)