

ON THE VOLUME OF THE TRAJECTORY SURFACES UNDER THE HOMOTHETIC MOTIONS

M. DÜLDÜL AND N. KURUOĞLU

ABSTRACT. The volumes of the surfaces of 3-dimensional Euclidean Space which are traced by a fixed point as a trajectory surface during 3-parametric motions are given by H. R. Müller [3], [4], [5] and W. Blaschke [1].

In this paper, the volumes of the trajectory surfaces of fixed points under 3-parametric homothetic motions are computed. Also, using a certain pseudo-Euclidean metric we generalized the well-known classical Holditch Theorem, [2], to the trajectory surfaces.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let R and R' be moving and fixed spaces and $\{O; \mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{e}_3\}$ and $\{O'; \mathbf{e}'_1, \mathbf{e}'_2, \mathbf{e}'_3\}$ be their orthonormal coordinate systems, respectively. If $\mathbf{e}_j = \mathbf{e}_j(t_1, t_2, t_3)$ and $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{u}(t_1, t_2, t_3)$, then a 3-parameter motion B_3 of R with respect to R' is defined, where $\mathbf{u} = \overrightarrow{O'O}$ and t_1, t_2, t_3 are the real parameters. For the rotation part of B_3 , we have the anti-symmetric system of differentiation equations (Ableitungsgleichungen)

$$d\mathbf{e}_i = \mathbf{e}_k \omega_j - \mathbf{e}_j \omega_k, \quad i, j, k = 1, 2, 3 \text{ (cyclic)}$$

with the conditions of integration (Integrierbarkeitsbedingungen)

$$d\omega_i = \omega_j \wedge \omega_k,$$

where “d” is the exterior derivative and “ \wedge ” is the wedge product of the differential forms. For the translation part of B_3

$$d\overrightarrow{O'O} = \boldsymbol{\sigma} = \sigma_1 \mathbf{e}_1 + \sigma_2 \mathbf{e}_2 + \sigma_3 \mathbf{e}_3,$$

where the conditions of integration are

$$d\sigma_i = \sigma_j \wedge \omega_k - \sigma_k \wedge \omega_j.$$

During B_3 , ω_i and σ_i are the linear differential forms with respect to t_1, t_2, t_3 . We assume that ω_i , $i = 1, 2, 3$ are linear independent, i.e., $\omega_1 \wedge \omega_2 \wedge \omega_3 \neq 0$.

Received April 05, 2006.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 53A17.

Key words and phrases. homothetic motion, Holditch theorem, volume of trajectory surface.

The author thanks TÜBİTAK-BAYG for their financial supports during his doctorate studies.

2. THE VOLUME OF THE TRAJECTORY SURFACE
UNDER THE HOMOTHETIC MOTIONS

I.

Now, let us consider the 3-parametric homothetic motion of the fixed point $X = (x_i)$ with respect to arbitrary moving Euclidean space. We may write

$$\mathbf{x}' = \mathbf{u} + h\mathbf{x},$$

where \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{x}' are the position vectors of the point X with respect to the moving and fixed coordinate systems, respectively, and $h = h(t_1, t_2, t_3)$ is the homothetic scale of the motion. Then, we get

$$d\mathbf{x}' = \boldsymbol{\sigma} + \mathbf{x}dh + h\mathbf{x} \times \boldsymbol{\omega},$$

where $\boldsymbol{\omega} = \sum \omega_i \mathbf{e}_i$ is the rotation vector and “ \times ” denotes the vector product.

If we write $d\mathbf{x}' = \sum \tau_i \mathbf{e}_i$, we get

$$(1) \quad \tau_i = \sigma_i + x_i dh + h(x_j \omega_k - x_k \omega_j).$$

The volume element of the trajectory surface of X is

$$(2) \quad dJ_X = \tau_1 \wedge \tau_2 \wedge \tau_3.$$

Thus, the integration of the volume element over the region G of the parameter space yields the volume of the trajectory surface, i.e., $J_X = \int_G dJ_X$. Let Γ be the closed and orientated edge surface of G .

If we replace (1) in (2), for the volume of the trajectory surface of X we get

$$(3) \quad J_X = J_O + \sum_{i=1}^3 \tilde{A}_i x_i^2 + \sum_{i \neq j} A_{ij} x_i x_j + \sum_{i=1}^3 B_i x_i + \left(\sum_{i=1}^3 x_i^2 \right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^3 C_i x_i \right),$$

where

$$(4) \quad \begin{aligned} \tilde{A}_i &= \int_G (h^2 \sigma_i \wedge \omega_j \wedge \omega_k + h dh \wedge \sigma_j \wedge \omega_j + h dh \wedge \sigma_k \wedge \omega_k) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma} (h^2 \sigma_j \wedge \omega_j + h^2 \sigma_k \wedge \omega_k), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} A_{ij} &= \int_G (h dh \wedge \omega_i \wedge \sigma_j + h dh \wedge \omega_j \wedge \sigma_i + h^2 \sigma_j \wedge \omega_j \wedge \omega_k + h^2 \sigma_i \wedge \omega_k \wedge \omega_i) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma} (h^2 \omega_i \wedge \sigma_j + h^2 \omega_j \wedge \sigma_i), \end{aligned}$$

$$B_i = \int_G (h \sigma_i \wedge \sigma_k \wedge \omega_k + dh \wedge \sigma_j \wedge \sigma_k + h \sigma_i \wedge \sigma_j \wedge \omega_j) = \int_{\Gamma} h \sigma_j \wedge \sigma_k,$$

$$C_i = \int_G h^2 dh \wedge \omega_j \wedge \omega_k = \frac{1}{3} \int_{\Gamma} h^3 \omega_j \wedge \omega_k$$

and $J_O = \int_G \sigma_1 \wedge \sigma_2 \wedge \sigma_3$ is the volume of the trajectory surface of the origin point O .

Let us suppose that $\sigma_i \wedge \omega_i, i = 1, 2, 3$, have the same sign when integrated over any consistently orientated simplex from Γ . Then, using the mean-value theorem for double integrals, we obtain

$$(5) \quad \int_{\Gamma} h^2 \sigma_i \wedge \omega_i = h^2(u_i, v_i) \int_{\Gamma} \sigma_i \wedge \omega_i, \quad i = 1, 2, 3,$$

where u_i and v_i are the parameters. If we assume that

$$h^2(u_1, v_1) = h^2(u_2, v_2) = h^2(u_3, v_3),$$

then using (4) and (5) we can find the parameters u_0 and v_0 such that

$$(6) \quad J_X = J_O + h^2(u_0, v_0) \sum_{i=1}^3 A_i x_i^2 + \sum_{i \neq j} A_{ij} x_i x_j + \sum_{i=1}^3 B_i x_i + \left(\sum_{i=1}^3 x_i^2 \right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^3 C_i x_i \right),$$

where

$$A_i = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma} (\sigma_j \wedge \omega_j + \sigma_k \wedge \omega_k).$$

Now, let us consider the plane $\mathbf{P} : C_1x + C_2y + C_3z = 0$. The volumes of the trajectory surfaces of points on \mathbf{P} are quadratic polynomial with respect to x_i . If we choose the moving coordinate system such that the coefficients of the mixture quadratic terms vanish, i.e. $A_{ij} = 0$, then we get for a point $X \in \mathbf{P}$

$$(7) \quad J_X = J_O + h^2(u_0, v_0) \sum_{i=1}^3 A_i x_i^2 + \sum_{i=1}^3 B_i x_i.$$

Hence, we may give the following theorem:

Theorem 1. *All the fixed points of \mathbf{P} whose trajectory surfaces have equal volume during the homothetic motion lie on the same quadric.*

II.

Let X and Y be two fixed points on \mathbf{P} and Z be another point on the line segment XY , that is,

$$z_i = \lambda x_i + \mu y_i, \quad \lambda + \mu = 1.$$

Using (7), we get

$$(8) \quad J_Z = \lambda^2 J_X + 2\lambda\mu J_{XY} + \mu^2 J_Y,$$

where

$$J_{XY} = J_{YX} = J_O + h^2(u_0, v_0) \sum_{i=1}^3 A_i x_i y_i + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^3 B_i (x_i + y_i)$$

is called the *mixture trajectory surface volume*. It is clearly seen that $J_{XX} = J_X$. Since

$$(9) \quad J_X - 2J_{XY} + J_Y = h^2(u_0, v_0) \sum_{i=1}^3 A_i(x_i - y_i)^2,$$

we can rewrite (8) as follows:

$$(10) \quad J_Z = \lambda J_X + \mu J_Y - h^2(u_0, v_0) \lambda \mu \sum_{i=1}^3 A_i(x_i - y_i)^2.$$

We will define the distance $D(X, Y)$ between the points X, Y of \mathbf{P} by

$$(11) \quad D^2(X, Y) = \varepsilon \sum_{i=1}^3 A_i(x_i - y_i)^2, \quad \varepsilon = \pm 1, \quad [4].$$

By the orientation of the line XY we will distinguish $D(X, Y) = -D(Y, X)$. Therefore, from (10) we have

$$(12) \quad J_Z = \lambda J_X + \mu J_Y - \varepsilon h^2(u_0, v_0) \lambda \mu D^2(X, Y).$$

Since X, Y and Z are collinear, we may write

$$D(X, Z) + D(Z, Y) = D(X, Y).$$

Thus, if we denote

$$\lambda = \frac{D(Z, Y)}{D(X, Y)}, \quad \mu = \frac{D(X, Z)}{D(X, Y)},$$

from (12) we get

$$(13) \quad J_Z = \frac{1}{D(X, Y)} [D(Z, Y)J_X + D(X, Z)J_Y - \varepsilon h^2(u_0, v_0) D(X, Z)D(Z, Y)].$$

Now, we consider that the points X and Y trace the same trajectory surface. In this case, we get $J_X = J_Y$. Then, from (13) we obtain

$$(14) \quad J_X - J_Z = \varepsilon h^2(u_0, v_0) D(X, Z)D(Z, Y)$$

which is the generalization of Holditch's result, [2], for trajectory surfaces during the homothetic motions. (14) is also equivalent to the result given by [6]. We may give the following theorem:

Theorem 2. *Let XY be a line segment with the constant length on \mathbf{P} and the endpoints of this line segment have the same trajectory surface. Then, the point Z on this line segment traces another trajectory surface. The volume between these trajectory surfaces depends on the distances (in the sense of (11)) of Z from the endpoints and the homothetic scale h .*

Special case: In the case of $h \equiv 1$, we have the result given by H. R. Müller, [3].

III.

Let $X_1 = (x_i), X_2 = (y_i)$ and $X_3 = (z_i), i=1,2,3$ be noncollinear points on \mathbf{P} and $Q = (q_i)$ be another point on \mathbf{P} (Fig. 1). Then, we may write

$$q_i = \lambda_1 x_i + \lambda_2 y_i + \lambda_3 z_i, \quad \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3 = 1.$$




Figure 1.

If we use (7), we obtain

$$J_Q = \lambda_1^2 J_{X_1} + \lambda_2^2 J_{X_2} + \lambda_3^2 J_{X_3} + 2\lambda_1 \lambda_2 J_{X_1 X_2} + 2\lambda_1 \lambda_3 J_{X_1 X_3} + 2\lambda_2 \lambda_3 J_{X_2 X_3}.$$

After eliminating the mixture trajectory surface volumes by using (9), we get

$$(15) \quad J_Q = \lambda_1 J_{X_1} + \lambda_2 J_{X_2} + \lambda_3 J_{X_3} - h^2(u_0, v_0) \cdot \{ \varepsilon_{12} \lambda_1 \lambda_2 D^2(X_1, X_2) + \varepsilon_{13} \lambda_1 \lambda_3 D^2(X_1, X_3) + \varepsilon_{23} \lambda_2 \lambda_3 D^2(X_2, X_3) \}.$$

On the other hand, if we consider the point $Q_1 = (a_i)$, we may write

$$a_i = \mu_1 y_i + \mu_2 z_i, \quad q_i = \mu_3 x_i + \mu_4 a_i, \quad \mu_1 + \mu_2 = \mu_3 + \mu_4 = 1.$$

Thus, we have $\lambda_1 = \mu_3, \lambda_2 = \mu_1 \mu_4, \lambda_3 = \mu_2 \mu_4$ i.e.

$$\lambda_1 = \frac{D(Q, Q_1)}{D(X_1, Q_1)}, \quad \lambda_2 = \frac{D(X_1, Q)D(Q_1, X_3)}{D(X_1, Q_1)D(X_2, X_3)}, \quad \lambda_3 = \frac{D(X_1, Q)D(X_2, Q_1)}{D(X_1, Q_1)D(X_2, X_3)}.$$

Similarly, considering the points Q_2 and Q_3 , respectively, we find

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_i &= \frac{D(Q, Q_i)}{D(X_i, Q_i)} = \frac{D(X_j, Q)D(X_k, Q_j)}{D(X_j, Q_j)D(X_k, X_i)} \\ &= \frac{D(X_k, Q)D(Q_k, X_j)}{D(X_k, Q_k)D(X_i, X_j)}, \quad i, j, k = 1, 2, 3 \text{ (cyclic)}. \end{aligned}$$

Then, from (15) the generalization of (12) is found as

$$J_Q = \sum \frac{D(Q, Q_i)}{D(X_i, Q_i)} J_{X_i} - h^2(u_0, v_0) \sum \varepsilon_{ij} \left(\frac{D(X_k, Q)}{D(X_k, Q_k)} \right)^2 D(Q_k, X_j) D(X_i, Q_k).$$

If X_1, X_2, X_3 trace the same trajectory surface, then the difference between the volumes is

$$J_{X_1} - J_Q = h^2(u_0, v_0) \sum \varepsilon_{ij} \left(\frac{D(X_k, Q)}{D(X_k, Q_k)} \right)^2 D(Q_k, X_j) D(X_i, Q_k).$$

Then, we can give the following theorem:

Theorem 3. *Let us consider a triangle on the plane \mathbf{P} . If the vertices of this triangle trace the same trajectory surface, then a different point on \mathbf{P} traces another surface. The volume between these trajectory surfaces depends on the distances (in the sense of (11)) of the moving triangle and the homothetic scale h .*

Acknowledgment. The authors are very grateful to the referee for the helpful comments and valuable suggestions.

REFERENCES

1. Blaschke W., *Über Integrale in der Kinematik*, Arch. Math. **1** (1948), 18–22.
2. Holditch H., *Geometrical Theorem*, Q. J. Pure Appl. Math. **2** (1858), 38.
3. Müller H. R., *Räumliche Gegenstücke zum Satz von Holditch*, Abh. Braunsch. Wiss. Ges. **30** (1979), 54–61.
4. ———, *Über den Rauminhalt kinematisch erzeugter, geschlossener Flächen*, Arch. Math. **38** (1982), 43–49.
5. ———, *Ein Holditch Satz für Flächenstücke im R_3* , Abh. Braunsch. Wiss. Ges. **39** (1987), 37–42.
6. Tutar A. and Kuruoğlu, N., *The Steiner formula and the Holditch theorem for the homothetic motions on the planar kinematics*, Mech. Mach. Theory **34** (1999), 1–6.

M. Döldül, Sinop University, Science and Arts Faculty, Department of Mathematics, 57000, Sinop, Turkey, *e-mail*: mduldul@omu.edu.tr

N. Kuruoğlu, Bahçeşehir University, Science and Arts Faculty, Department of Mathematics and Computer Sciences, Bahçeşehir 34538, Istanbul, Turkey, *e-mail*: kuruoglu@bahcesehir.edu.tr