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#### Abstract

In this note, we consider a second order multivalued iterative equation, and the result on decreasing solutions is given.


## 1. Introduction

Let $X$ be a topological space and for integer $n \geq 0$ the $n$-th iterate of a mapping $f$ is defined by $f^{n}=f \circ f^{n-1}$ and $f^{0}=\mathbf{i d}$, where $\circ$ denotes the composition of mappings and id denotes the identity mapping. As an important class of functional equations $[\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}]$, the polynomial-like iterative equation is a linear combination of iterates, which is of the general form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{1} f(x)+\lambda_{2} f^{2}(x)+\ldots+\lambda_{n} f^{n}(x)=F(x), \quad x \in X \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $X$ is a Banach space or its closed subset, where $F$ is a given mapping, $f$ is an unknown mapping, and $\lambda_{i}(i=1, \ldots, n)$ are real constants. Equation (1) has been studied extensively on the existence, uniqueness and stability of its solutions $[\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}]$, it was also considered in the class of multifunctions [3].

Let $I=[a, b]$ be a given interval and $c c(I)$ denote the family of all nonempty convex compact subsets of $I$. This family endowed with the Hausdorff distance is defined by

$$
h(A, B)=\max \{\sup \{d(a, B): a \in A\}, \sup \{d(b, A): b \in B\}\}
$$

where $d(a, B)=\inf \{|a-b|: b \in B\}$.
A multifunction $F: I \rightarrow c c(I)$ is decreasing (resp. strictly decreasing) if $\max F(x) \leq \min F(y)($ resp. $\max F(x)<\min F(y))$ for every $x, y \in I$ with $x>y$.

Let $\Gamma(I)$ be the family of all multifunctions $F: I \rightarrow c c(I)$ and $\Phi(I)$ be defined by

$$
\Phi(I)=\{F \in \Gamma(I): \text { is USC, increasing, } F(a)=\{a\}, F(b)=\{b\}\}
$$

and endowed with the metric

$$
D\left(F_{1}, F_{2}\right)=\sup \left\{h\left(F_{1}(x), F_{2}(x)\right): x \in I\right\}, \quad \forall F_{1}, F_{2} \in \Phi(I) .
$$

In [3], the authors investigated the second order multivalued iterative equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{1} F(x)+\lambda_{2} F^{2}(x)=G(x), \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$
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in an interval $I=[a, b]$, and the following results were obtained:
Lemma 1. ([3, Lemma 1.]) The metric space $(\Phi(I), D)$ is complete.
Lemma 2. ([3, Lemma 2.]) If $F, G \in \Phi$ and $F(x) \subset G(x)$ for all $x \in I$, then $F=G$.

Lemma 3. ([3, Theorem 1.]) Let $G \in \Phi(I), \lambda_{1}>\lambda_{2} \geq 0$ and $\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}=1$. Then the equation (2) has a unique solution $F \in \Phi(I)$.

In this paper, as in [3], we are still interested in a multivalued solution of the equation (2), and the decreasing solutions are given.

## 2. Main Result

Let $I=[-a, a]$ be a given interval and $\Psi(I)$ be defined by

$$
\Psi(I)=\{F: I \rightarrow c c(I), \text { is USC, decreasing, } F(-a)=\{a\}, F(a)=\{-a\}\}
$$

We endow $\Psi(I)$ with the metric

$$
D\left(F_{1}, F_{2}\right)=\sup \left\{h\left(F_{1}(x), F\left(x_{2}\right)\right): x \in I\right\}, \quad \forall F_{1}, F_{2} \in \Psi(I)
$$

Obviously, by Lemma 1 the metric space $(\Psi(I), D)$ is complete.
Lemma 4. If $G, F \in \Psi(I)$ and $F(x) \subset G(x)$ for all $x \in I$, then $F=G$.
This Lemma follows from Lemma 2. More concretely, we should consider the monotonicity of decreasing.

Theorem 1. Let $G \in \Psi(I), \lambda_{1}>1 / 2, \lambda_{2}<0$ and $\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2}=1$. Then the equation (2) has a unique solution $F \in \Psi(I)$.

Proof. Define the mapping $L: \Psi(I) \rightarrow \Gamma(I)$

$$
L F(x)=\lambda_{1} x+\lambda_{2} F(x), \quad \forall x \in I,
$$

where $F \in \Psi(I)$. Obviously, $L F$ is USC and $L F(-a)=-\lambda_{1} a+\lambda_{2} a=\{-a\}$, $L F(a)=\lambda_{1} a-\lambda_{2} a=\{a\}$. Moreover, for any $x_{2}>x_{1}$ in $I$, we have $\max F\left(x_{2}\right)-$ $\min F\left(x_{1}\right) \leq 0$ since $F$ is decreasing. Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\min L F\left(x_{2}\right)-\max L F\left(x_{1}\right) & =\lambda_{1}\left(x_{2}-x_{1}\right)+\lambda_{2}\left(\min F\left(x_{2}\right)-\max F\left(x_{1}\right)\right) \\
& \geq \lambda_{1}\left(x_{2}-x_{1}\right)>0
\end{aligned}
$$

for $\lambda_{1}>0$ and $\lambda_{2}<0$, which implies that $L F$ is strictly increasing and the multifunction $(L F)^{-1}$ defined by $(L F)^{-1}(y)=\{x \in I: y \in L F(x)\}$ is singlevalued and continuous.

Define the mapping $\Upsilon: \Psi(I) \rightarrow \Gamma(I)$ as

$$
\Upsilon F(x)=(L F)^{-1}(G(x)), \quad \forall F \in \Psi(I), \quad \forall x \in I,
$$

Hence, $\Upsilon F$ is also USC and $\Upsilon F(-a)=(L F)^{-1}(G(-a))=\{a\}, \Upsilon F(a)=$ $(L F)^{-1}(G(a))=\{-a\}$. Moreover, $\Upsilon F$ is decreasing since $(L F)^{-1}$ is increasing and $G$ is decreasing.

Finally, by (c.f. [3, pp. 431-432]), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
D\left(\Upsilon F_{1}, \Upsilon F_{2}\right) & =\sup _{x \in I} h\left(\left(L F_{1}\right)^{-1}(G(x)),\left(L F_{2}\right)^{-1}(G(x))\right) \\
& \leq \frac{1}{\lambda_{1}} \sup _{x \in I} h\left(L F_{1}(x), L F_{2}(x)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

for every $x \in I$ and $F_{1}, F_{2} \in \Psi(I)$. Hence, we obtain that

$$
\begin{aligned}
D\left(\Upsilon F_{1}, \Upsilon F_{2}\right) & \leq \frac{1}{\lambda_{1}} \sup _{x \in I} h\left(L F_{1}(x), L F_{2}(x)\right) \\
& \leq \frac{1}{\lambda_{1}} \sup _{x \in I} h\left(\lambda_{1} x+\lambda_{2} F_{1}(x), \lambda_{1} x+\lambda_{2} F_{2}(x)\right) \\
& =\frac{\left|\lambda_{2}\right|}{\lambda_{1}} \sup _{x \in I} h\left(F_{1}(x), F_{2}(x)\right) \\
& \leq \frac{\left|\lambda_{2}\right|}{\lambda_{1}} D\left(F_{1}, F_{2}\right) \\
& =\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_{1}}-1\right) D\left(F_{1}, F_{2}\right) \\
& <D\left(F_{1}, F_{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies that $\Upsilon$ is a contraction. Therefore, by the Banach fixed point principle, $\Upsilon$ has a unique fixed point $F$ in $\Psi(I)$, i.e.

$$
(L F)^{-1}(G(x))=F(x), \quad \forall x \in I
$$

Consequently, by Lemma 4, we have

$$
\lambda_{1} F(x)+\lambda_{2} F^{2}(x)=G(x), \quad \forall x \in I
$$

The proof is completed.
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