

NEW CLASSES OF k -UNIFORMLY CONVEX AND STARLIKE FUNCTIONS WITH RESPECT TO OTHER POINTS

C. SELVARAJ AND K. A. SELVAKUMARAN

ABSTRACT. In this paper we introduce new subclasses of k -uniformly convex and starlike functions with respect to other points. We provide necessary and sufficient conditions, coefficient estimates, distortion bounds, extreme points and radii of close-to-convexity, starlikeness and convexity for these classes. We also obtain integral means inequalities with the extremal functions for these classes.

1. INTRODUCTION, DEFINITIONS AND PRELIMINARIES

Let A denote the class of functions given by

$$(1) \quad f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n$$

which are regular in the unit disc $D = \{z : |z| < 1\}$ and normalized by $f(0) = f'(0) - 1 = 0$. Let S be the subclass of A consisting of functions that are regular and univalent in D . Let S^* be the subclass of S consisting of functions starlike in D . It is known that $f \in S^*$ if and only if $\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right\} > 0$, $z \in D$.

In [6], Sakaguchi defined the class of starlike functions with respect to symmetric points as follows:

Let $f \in S$. Then f is said to be starlike with respect to symmetric points in D if and only if

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z) - f(-z)} \right\} > 0, \quad z \in D.$$

We denote this class by S_s^* . Obviously, it forms a subclass of close-to-convex functions and hence univalent. Moreover, this class includes the class of convex functions and odd starlike functions with respect to the origin, see [6]. EL-Ashwah and Thomas in [2] introduced two other classes, namely the class S_c^* consisting of functions starlike with respect to conjugate points and S_{sc}^* consisting of functions starlike with respect to symmetric conjugate points.

Received January 11, 2008; revised April 09, 2008.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 30C45; Secondary 30C50, 30C75.

Key words and phrases. Regular; univalent; starlike with respect to symmetric points; integral means; subordination.

Motivated by S_s^* , many authors discussed the following class C_s^* of functions convex with respect to symmetric points and its subclasses (See [4, 5, 7, 11]).

Let $f \in S$. Then f is said to be convex with respect to symmetric points in D if and only if

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{(zf'(z))'}{f'(z) + f'(-z)} \right\} > 0, \quad z \in D.$$

Let T denote the class consisting of functions f of the form

$$(2) \quad f(z) = z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n,$$

where a_n is a non-negative real number.

Silverman [8] introduced and investigated the following subclasses of T :

$$T^*(\alpha) := S^*(\alpha) \cap T \quad \text{and} \quad C(\alpha) := K(\alpha) \cap T \quad (0 \leq \alpha < 1).$$

In this paper we introduce the class $S_s(\lambda, k, \beta)$ of functions regular in D given by (1) and defined as follows

Definition 1.1. A function $f(z) \in A$ is said to be in the class $S_s(\lambda, k, \beta)$ if for all $z \in D$,

$$(3) \quad \operatorname{Re} \left[\frac{2zf'(z) + 2\lambda z^2 f''(z)}{(1-\lambda)(f(z) - f(-z)) + \lambda z(f'(z) + f'(-z))} \right] > k \left| \frac{2zf'(z) + 2\lambda z^2 f''(z)}{(1-\lambda)(f(z) - f(-z)) + \lambda z(f'(z) + f'(-z))} - 1 \right| + \beta,$$

for some $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$, $0 \leq \beta < 1$ and $k \geq 0$.

For suitable values of λ, k, β the class of functions $S_s(\lambda, k, \beta)$ reduces to various new classes of regular functions. We also observe that

$$S_s(0, 0, 0) \equiv S_s^* \quad \text{and} \quad S_s(1, 0, 0) \equiv C_s^*.$$

We now let $TS_s(\lambda, k, \beta) = S_s(\lambda, k, \beta) \cap T$.

In the present investigation of the function class $TS_s(\lambda, k, \beta)$ we obtain necessary and sufficient conditions, coefficient estimates, distortion bounds, extreme points, radii of close-to-convexity, starlikeness and convexity. We also obtain integral means inequality for the functions belonging to this class. Analogous results are also obtained for the class of functions $f \in T$ and k -uniformly convex and starlike with respect to conjugate points. The class is defined below:

Definition 1.2. A function $f(z) \in A$ is said to be in the class $S_c(\lambda, k, \beta)$ if for all $z \in D$,

$$(4) \quad \operatorname{Re} \left[\frac{2zf'(z) + 2\lambda z^2 f''(z)}{(1-\lambda)(f(z) + \overline{f(\bar{z})}) + \lambda z(f'(z) + \overline{f'(\bar{z})})} \right] > k \left| \frac{2zf'(z) + 2\lambda z^2 f''(z)}{(1-\lambda)(f(z) + \overline{f(\bar{z})}) + \lambda z(f'(z) + \overline{f'(\bar{z})})} - 1 \right| + \beta,$$

for some $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$, $0 \leq \beta < 1$ and $k \geq 0$.

Here we let $TS_c(\lambda, k, \beta) = S_c(\lambda, k, \beta) \cap T$.

We now state two lemmas which we may need to establish our results in the sequel.

Lemma 1.3. *If β is a real number and w is a complex number, then*

$$\operatorname{Re}(w) \geq \beta \Leftrightarrow |w + (1 - \beta)| - |w - (1 + \beta)| \geq 0.$$

Lemma 1.4. *If w is a complex number and β, k are real numbers, then*

$$\operatorname{Re}(w) \geq k|w - 1| + \beta \Leftrightarrow \operatorname{Re}\{w(1 + k e^{i\theta}) - k e^{i\theta}\} \geq \beta, \quad -\pi \leq \theta \leq \pi.$$

2. COEFFICIENT INEQUALITIES

We employ the technique adopted by Aqlan et al. [1] to find the coefficient estimates for the function class $TS_s(\lambda, k, \beta)$.

Theorem 2.1. *A function $f \in TS_s(\lambda, k, \beta)$ if and only if*

$$(5) \quad \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [2(1 + k)n - (k + \beta)(1 - (-1)^n)](1 - \lambda + \lambda n)a_n \leq 2(1 - \beta)$$

for $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1, 0 \leq \beta < 1$ and $k \geq 0$.

Proof. Let a function $f(z)$ of the form (2) in T satisfy the condition (5). We will show that (3) is satisfied and so $f \in TS_s(\lambda, k, \beta)$. Using Lemma 1.4 it is enough to show that

$$(6) \quad \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{2zf'(z) + 2\lambda z^2 f''(z)}{(1 - \lambda)(f(z) - f(-z)) + \lambda z(f'(z) + f'(-z))} (1 + k e^{i\theta}) - k e^{i\theta} \right\} > \beta, \\ -\pi \leq \theta \leq \pi.$$

That is, $\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{A(z)}{B(z)} \right\} \geq \beta$, where

$$A(z) := [2zf'(z) + 2\lambda z^2 f''(z)](1 + k e^{i\theta}) - k e^{i\theta}[(1 - \lambda)(f(z) - f(-z)) + \lambda z(f'(z) + f'(-z))],$$

$$B(z) := (1 - \lambda)(f(z) - f(-z)) + \lambda z(f'(z) + f'(-z)).$$

In view of Lemma 1.3, we only need to prove that

$$|A(z) + (1 - \beta)B(z)| - |A(z) - (1 + \beta)B(z)| \geq 0.$$

For $A(z)$ and $B(z)$ as above, we have

$$|A(z) + (1 - \beta)B(z)| \\ = \left| (4 - 2\beta)z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [2n + (1 - \beta)(1 - (-1)^n)](1 - \lambda + \lambda n)a_n z^n - k e^{i\theta} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [2n - (1 - (-1)^n)](1 - \lambda + \lambda n)a_n z^n \right|$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\geq (4 - 2\beta)|z| - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [2n + (1 - \beta)(1 - (-1)^n)](1 - \lambda + \lambda n)a_n|z|^n \\ &\quad - k \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [2n - (1 - (-1)^n)](1 - \lambda + \lambda n)a_n|z|^n. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &|A(z) - (1 + \beta)B(z)| \\ &\leq 2\beta|z| + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [2n - (1 + \beta)(1 - (-1)^n)](1 - \lambda + \lambda n)a_n|z|^n \\ &\quad + k \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [2n - (1 - (-1)^n)](1 - \lambda + \lambda n)a_n|z|^n. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &|A(z) + (1 - \beta)B(z)| - |A(z) - (1 + \beta)B(z)| \\ &\geq 4(1 - \beta)|z| - 2 \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [2(1 + k)n - (k + \beta)(1 - (-1)^n)](1 - \lambda + \lambda n)a_n|z|^n \\ &\geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

by the given condition (5). Conversely, suppose $f \in TS_s(\lambda, k, \beta)$. Then by Lemma 1.4 we have (6). Choosing the values of z on the positive real axis the inequality (6) reduces to

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{2(1 - \beta) - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [2n - \beta(1 - (-1)^n)](1 - \lambda + \lambda n)a_n z^{n-1}}{2 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (1 - \lambda + \lambda n)(1 - (-1)^n)a_n z^{n-1}} \right. \\ \left. - \frac{k e^{i\theta} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [2n - (1 - (-1)^n)](1 - \lambda + \lambda n)a_n z^{n-1}}{2 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (1 - \lambda + \lambda n)(1 - (-1)^n)a_n z^{n-1}} \right\} \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

In view of the elementary identity $\operatorname{Re}(-e^{i\theta}) \geq -|e^{i\theta}| = -1$, the above inequality becomes

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{2(1 - \beta) - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [2(1 + k)n - (k + \beta)(1 - (-1)^n)](1 - \lambda + \lambda n)a_n r^{n-1}}{2 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (1 - \lambda + \lambda n)(1 - (-1)^n)a_n r^{n-1}} \right\} \geq 0.$$

Letting $r \rightarrow 1^-$ we get the desired inequality (5). □

The following coefficient estimate for $f \in TS_s(\lambda, k, \beta)$ is an immediate consequence of Theorem 2.1.

Theorem 2.2. *If $f \in TS_s(\lambda, k, \beta)$, then*

$$a_n \leq \frac{2(1 - \beta)}{\Phi(\lambda, k, \beta, n)}, \quad n \geq 2$$

where $\Phi(\lambda, k, \beta, n) = (1 - \lambda + \lambda n)[2(1 + k)n - (k + \beta)(1 - (-1)^n)]$.
 The equality holds for the function

$$f(z) = z - \frac{2(1 - \beta)}{\Phi(\lambda, k, \beta, n)}z^n.$$

We now state coefficient properties for the functions belonging to the class $TS_c(\lambda, k, \beta)$. Method of proving Theorem 2.3 is similar to that of Theorem 2.1.

Theorem 2.3. *A function $f \in TS_c(\lambda, k, \beta)$ if and only if*

$$(7) \quad \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [(1 + k)n - (k + \beta)](1 - \lambda + \lambda n)a_n \leq (1 - \beta)$$

for $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1, 0 \leq \beta < 1$ and $k \geq 0$.

Theorem 2.4. *If $f \in TS_c(\lambda, k, \beta)$, then*

$$a_n \leq \frac{(1 - \beta)}{\Theta(\lambda, k, \beta, n)}, \quad n \geq 2,$$

where $\Theta(\lambda, k, \beta, n) = (1 - \lambda + \lambda n)[(1 + k)n - (k + \beta)]$.
 The equality holds for the function

$$f(z) = z - \frac{(1 - \beta)}{\Theta(\lambda, k, \beta, n)}z^n.$$

3. DISTORTION AND COVERING THEOREMS

Theorem 3.1. *Let f be defined by (2). If $f \in TS_s(\lambda, k, \beta)$ and $|z| = r < 1$, then we have the sharp bounds*

$$(8) \quad r - \frac{1 - \beta}{2(1 + k)(1 + \lambda)}r^2 \leq |f(z)| \leq r + \frac{1 - \beta}{2(1 + k)(1 + \lambda)}r^2$$

and

$$1 - \frac{1 - \beta}{(1 + k)(1 + \lambda)}r \leq |f'(z)| \leq 1 + \frac{1 - \beta}{(1 + k)(1 + \lambda)}r.$$

Proof. We only prove the right side inequality in (8), since the other inequalities can be justified using similar arguments.

First, it is obvious that

$$4(1 + k)(1 + \lambda) \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n \leq \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [2(1 + k)n - (k + \beta)(1 - (-1)^n)](1 - \lambda + \lambda n)a_n$$

and as $f \in TS_s(\lambda, k, \beta)$, the inequality (5) yields

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n \leq \frac{1 - \beta}{2(1 + k)(1 + \lambda)}.$$

From (2) with $|z| = r$ ($r < 1$), we have

$$|f(z)| \leq r + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n r^n \leq r + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n r^2 \leq r + \frac{1-\beta}{2(1+k)(1+\lambda)} r^2.$$

The distortion bounds in Theorem 3.1 are sharp for

$$(9) \quad f(z) = z - \frac{1-\beta}{2(1+k)(1+\lambda)} z^2, \quad z = \pm r.$$

□

Theorem 3.2. *If $f \in TS_s(\lambda, k, \beta)$, then $f \in T^*(\delta)$, where*

$$\delta = 1 - \frac{1-\beta}{2(1+k)(1+\lambda) - (1-\beta)}$$

The result is sharp for the function given by (9).

Proof. It is sufficient to show that (5) implies

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (n-\delta) a_n \leq 1-\delta$$

that is

$$(10) \quad \frac{n-\delta}{1-\delta} \leq \frac{[2(1+k)n - (k+\beta)(1-(-1)^n)](1-\lambda+\lambda n)}{2(1-\beta)}, \quad n \geq 2.$$

Since, (10) is equivalent to

$$\delta \leq 1 - \frac{2(n-1)(1-\beta)}{[2(1+k)n - (k+\beta)(1-(-1)^n)](1-\lambda+\lambda n) - 2(1-\beta)} = \psi(n), \quad n \geq 2$$

and $\psi(n) \leq \psi(2)$, (10) holds true for any $n \geq 2$, $k \geq 0$ and $0 \leq \beta < 1$. This completes the proof of Theorem 3.2. □

For completeness, we now state the following results with regards to the class $TS_c(\lambda, k, \beta)$.

Theorem 3.3. *Let f be defined by (2) and $f \in TS_c(\lambda, k, \beta)$. Then for $\{z : 0 < |z| = r < 1\}$ we have the sharp bounds*

$$(11) \quad r - \frac{1-\beta}{(2+k-\beta)(1+\lambda)} r^2 \leq |f(z)| \leq r + \frac{1-\beta}{(2+k-\beta)(1+\lambda)} r^2$$

and

$$1 - \frac{2(1-\beta)}{(2+k-\beta)(1+\lambda)} r \leq |f'(z)| \leq 1 + \frac{2(1-\beta)}{(2+k-\beta)(1+\lambda)} r.$$

The result in (11) is sharp for the function

$$(12) \quad f(z) = z - \frac{1-\beta}{(2+k-\beta)(1+\lambda)} z^2, \quad z = \pm r.$$

Theorem 3.4. *If $f \in TS_c(\lambda, k, \beta)$, then $f \in T^*(\delta)$, where*

$$\delta = 1 - \frac{1 - \beta}{(2 + k - \beta)(1 + \lambda) - (1 - \beta)}.$$

The result is sharp for the function given by (12).

4. EXTREME POINTS

Theorem 4.1. *Let $f_1(z) = z$ and*

$$f_n(z) = z - \frac{2(1 - \beta)}{\Phi(\lambda, k, \beta, n)} z^n \quad (n \geq 2),$$

where $\Phi(\lambda, k, \beta, n)$ is defined in Theorem 2.2. Then $f(z)$ is in $TS_s(\lambda, k, \beta)$ if and only if it can be expressed in the form $f(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n f_n(z)$ where $\lambda_n \geq 0$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n = 1$.

Proof. Adopting the same technique used by Silverman [8], we first assume that

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n f_n(z) = z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \lambda_n \left[\frac{2(1 - \beta)}{\Phi(\lambda, k, \beta, n)} z^n \right].$$

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \lambda_n \left\{ \frac{2(1 - \beta)}{\Phi(\lambda, k, \beta, n)} \right\} \cdot \left\{ \frac{\Phi(\lambda, k, \beta, n)}{2(1 - \beta)} \right\} = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \lambda_n = 1 - \lambda_1 \leq 1.$$

Therefore by Theorem 2.1, $f \in TS_s(\lambda, k, \beta)$.

Conversely, suppose $f \in TS_s(\lambda, k, \beta)$. Then by Theorem 2.2

$$a_n \leq \frac{2(1 - \beta)}{\Phi(\lambda, k, \beta, n)}, \quad n \geq 2.$$

Now, by letting

$$\lambda_n = \left\{ \frac{\Phi(\lambda, k, \beta, n)}{2(1 - \beta)} \right\} a_n, \quad n \geq 2$$

and $\lambda_1 = 1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \lambda_n$ we conclude the theorem, since

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n f_n = \lambda_1 f_1(z) + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \lambda_n f_n(z).$$

□

Now, we give extreme points for functions belonging to $TS_c(\lambda, k, \beta)$. We omit the proof of Theorem 4.2 as it is similar to that of Theorem 4.1.

Theorem 4.2. *Let $f_1(z) = z$ and*

$$f_n(z) = z - \frac{(1 - \beta)}{\Theta(\lambda, k, \beta, n)} z^n \quad (n \geq 2),$$

where $\Theta(\lambda, k, \beta, n)$ is defined in Theorem 2.4. Then $f(z)$ is in $TS_c(\lambda, k, \beta)$ if and only if it can be expressed in the form $f(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n f_n(z)$ where $\lambda_n \geq 0$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n = 1$.

5. RADII OF CLOSE-TO-CONVEXITY, STARLIKENESS AND CONVEXITY

Theorem 5.1. *If $f(z) \in TS_s(\lambda, k, \beta)$, then f is close-to-convex of order γ ($0 \leq \gamma < 1$) in $|z| < r_1(\lambda, k, \beta, \gamma)$, where*

$$(13) \quad r_1(\lambda, k, \beta, \gamma) = \inf_n \left\{ \frac{(1-\gamma)\Phi(\lambda, k, \beta, n)}{2n(1-\beta)} \right\}^{\frac{1}{n-1}}, \quad n \geq 2$$

and $\Phi(\lambda, k, \beta, n)$ is defined in Theorem 2.2.

Proof. By a computation, we have

$$|f'(z) - 1| = \left| - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} na_n z^{n-1} \right| \leq \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} na_n |z|^{n-1}.$$

Now, f is close-to-convex of order γ if we have the condition

$$(14) \quad \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{n}{1-\gamma} \right) a_n |z|^{n-1} \leq 1.$$

Considering the coefficient conditions required by Theorem 2.1, the above inequality (14) is true if

$$\left(\frac{n}{1-\gamma} \right) |z|^{n-1} \leq \frac{\Phi(\lambda, k, \beta, n)}{2(1-\beta)}$$

or if

$$|z| \leq \left\{ \frac{(1-\gamma)\Phi(\lambda, k, \beta, n)}{2n(1-\beta)} \right\}^{\frac{1}{n-1}}, \quad n \geq 2.$$

This last expression yields the bound required by the above theorem. \square

Theorem 5.2. *If $f(z) \in TS_s(\lambda, k, \beta)$, then f is starlike of order γ ($0 \leq \gamma < 1$) in $|z| < r_2(\lambda, k, \beta, \gamma)$, where*

$$(15) \quad r_2(\lambda, k, \beta, \gamma) = \inf_n \left\{ \frac{(1-\gamma)\Phi(\lambda, k, \beta, n)}{2(n-\gamma)(1-\beta)} \right\}^{\frac{1}{n-1}}, \quad n \geq 2$$

and $\Phi(\lambda, k, \beta, n)$ is defined in Theorem 2.2.

Proof. By a computation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - 1 \right| &= \left| \frac{- \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (n-1)a_n z^{n-1}}{1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^{n-1}} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (n-1)a_n |z|^{n-1}}{1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n |z|^{n-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, f is starlike of order γ if we have the condition

$$(16) \quad \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{n-\gamma}{1-\gamma} \right) a_n |z|^{n-1} \leq 1.$$

Considering the coefficient conditions required by Theorem 2.1, the above inequality (16) is true if

$$\left(\frac{n-\gamma}{1-\gamma} \right) |z|^{n-1} \leq \frac{\Phi(\lambda, k, \beta, n)}{2(1-\beta)}$$

or if

$$|z| \leq \left\{ \frac{(1-\gamma)\Phi(\lambda, k, \beta, n)}{2(n-\gamma)(1-\beta)} \right\}^{\frac{1}{n-1}}, \quad n \geq 2.$$

This last expression yields the bound required by the above theorem. □

Theorem 5.3. *If $f(z) \in TS_s(\lambda, k, \beta)$, then f is convex of order γ ($0 \leq \gamma < 1$) in $|z| < r_3(\lambda, k, \beta, \gamma)$, where*

$$(17) \quad r_3(\lambda, k, \beta, \gamma) = \inf_n \left\{ \frac{(1-\gamma)\Phi(\lambda, k, \beta, n)}{2n(n-\gamma)(1-\beta)} \right\}^{\frac{1}{n-1}}, \quad n \geq 2$$

and $\Phi(\lambda, k, \beta, n)$ is defined in Theorem 2.2.

Proof. By a computation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right| &= \left| \frac{-\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n(n-1)a_n z^{n-1}}{1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} na_n z^{n-1}} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n(n-1)a_n |z|^{n-1}}{1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} na_n |z|^{n-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, f is convex of order γ if we have the condition

$$(18) \quad \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{n(n-\gamma)}{1-\gamma} a_n |z|^{n-1} \leq 1.$$

Considering the coefficient conditions required by Theorem 2.1, the above inequality (18) is true if

$$\left(\frac{n(n-\gamma)}{1-\gamma} \right) |z|^{n-1} \leq \frac{\Phi(\lambda, k, \beta, n)}{2(1-\beta)}$$

or if

$$|z| \leq \left\{ \frac{(1-\gamma)\Phi(\lambda, k, \beta, n)}{2n(n-\gamma)(1-\beta)} \right\}^{\frac{1}{n-1}}, \quad n \geq 2.$$

This last expression yields the bound required by the above theorem. □

For completeness, we give, without proof, theorem concerning the radii of close-to-convexity, starlikeness and convexity for the class $TS_c(\lambda, k, \beta)$.

Theorem 5.4. *If $f(z) \in TS_c(\lambda, k, \beta)$, then f is close-to-convex of order γ ($0 \leq \gamma < 1$) in $|z| < r_4(\lambda, k, \beta, \gamma)$, where*

$$(19) \quad r_4(\lambda, k, \beta, \gamma) = \inf_n \left\{ \frac{(1-\gamma)\Theta(\lambda, k, \beta, n)}{n(1-\beta)} \right\}^{\frac{1}{n-1}}, \quad n \geq 2$$

and $\Theta(\lambda, k, \beta, n)$ is defined in Theorem 2.4.

Theorem 5.5. *If $f(z) \in TS_c(\lambda, k, \beta)$, then f is starlike of order γ ($0 \leq \gamma < 1$) in $|z| < r_5(\lambda, k, \beta, \gamma)$, where*

$$(20) \quad r_5(\lambda, k, \beta, \gamma) = \inf_n \left\{ \frac{(1-\gamma)\Theta(\lambda, k, \beta, n)}{(n-\gamma)(1-\beta)} \right\}^{\frac{1}{n-1}}, \quad n \geq 2$$

and $\Theta(\lambda, k, \beta, n)$ is defined in Theorem 2.4.

Theorem 5.6. *If $f(z) \in TS_c(\lambda, k, \beta)$, then f is convex of order γ ($0 \leq \gamma < 1$) in $|z| < r_6(\lambda, k, \beta, \gamma)$, where*

$$(21) \quad r_6(\lambda, k, \beta, \gamma) = \inf_n \left\{ \frac{(1-\gamma)\Theta(\lambda, k, \beta, n)}{n(n-\gamma)(1-\beta)} \right\}^{\frac{1}{n-1}}, \quad n \geq 2$$

and $\Theta(\lambda, k, \beta, n)$ is defined in Theorem 2.4.

6. INTEGRAL MEANS INEQUALITIES

In [8], Silverman found that the function $f_2(z) = z - \frac{z^2}{2}$ is often extremal over the family T . He applied this function to resolve his integral means inequality, conjectured in [9] and settled in [10], that

$$\int_0^{2\pi} |f(re^{i\theta})|^\eta d\theta \leq \int_0^{2\pi} |f_2(re^{i\theta})|^\eta d\theta,$$

for all $f \in T$, $\eta > 0$ and $0 < r < 1$. In [10], he also proved his conjecture for the subclasses $T^*(\alpha)$ and $C(\alpha)$ of T .

Now, we prove Silverman's conjecture for the class of functions $TS_s(\lambda, k, \beta)$. An analogous result is also obtained for the family of functions $TS_c(\lambda, k, \beta)$.

We need the concept of subordination between analytic functions and a subordination theorem of Littlewood [3].

Two given functions f and g , which are analytic in D , the function f is said to be subordinate to g in D if there exists a function w analytic in D with

$$w(0) = 0, \quad |w(z)| < 1 \quad (z \in D),$$

such that

$$f(z) = g(w(z)) \quad (z \in D).$$

We denote this subordination by $f(z) \prec g(z)$.

Lemma 6.1. *If the functions f and g are analytic in D with $f(z) \prec g(z)$, then for $\eta > 0$ and $z = r e^{i\theta}$ ($0 < r < 1$)*

$$\int_0^{2\pi} |g(r e^{i\theta})|^\eta d\theta \leq \int_0^{2\pi} |f(r e^{i\theta})|^\eta d\theta.$$

Now, we discuss the integral means inequalities for functions f in $TS_s(\lambda, k, \beta)$.

Theorem 6.2. *Let $f \in TS_s(\lambda, k, \beta)$, $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$, $0 \leq \beta < 1$, $k \geq 0$ and $f_2(z)$ be defined by*

$$f_2(z) = z - \frac{2(1-\beta)}{\Phi(\lambda, k, \beta, 2)} z^2,$$

where $\Phi(k, \beta, \lambda, n)$ is defined in Theorem 2.2. Then for $z = r e^{i\theta}$, $0 < r < 1$, we have

$$(22) \quad \int_0^{2\pi} |f(z)|^\eta d\theta \leq \int_0^{2\pi} |f_2(z)|^\eta d\theta.$$

Proof. For $f(z) = z - \sum_{n=2}^\infty a_n z^n$, (22) is equivalent to

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \left| 1 - \sum_{n=2}^\infty a_n z^{n-1} \right|^\eta d\theta \leq \int_0^{2\pi} \left| 1 - \frac{2(1-\beta)}{\Phi(\lambda, k, \beta, 2)} z \right|^\eta d\theta.$$

By Lemma 6.1, it is enough to prove that

$$1 - \sum_{n=2}^\infty a_n z^{n-1} \prec 1 - \frac{2(1-\beta)}{\Phi(\lambda, k, \beta, 2)} z.$$

Assuming

$$1 - \sum_{n=2}^\infty a_n z^{n-1} = 1 - \frac{2(1-\beta)}{\Phi(\lambda, k, \beta, 2)} w(z),$$

and using (5), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |w(z)| &= \left| \sum_{n=2}^\infty \frac{\Phi(\lambda, k, \beta, 2)}{2(1-\beta)} a_n z^{n-1} \right| \\ &\leq |z| \sum_{n=2}^\infty \frac{\Phi(\lambda, k, \beta, n)}{2(1-\beta)} a_n \\ &\leq |z|. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof by Theorem 2.1. □

For completeness, we now give the integral means inequality for the class $TS_c(\lambda, k, \beta)$. The method of proving Theorem 6.3 is similar as that of Theorem 6.2.

Theorem 6.3. Let $f \in TS_c(\lambda, k, \beta)$, $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$, $0 \leq \beta < 1$, $k \geq 0$ and $f_2(z)$ be defined by

$$f_2(z) = z - \frac{(1-\beta)}{\Theta(\lambda, k, \beta, 2)} z^2,$$

where $\Theta(\lambda, k, \beta, n)$ is defined in Theorem 2.4. Then for $z = r e^{i\theta}$, $0 < r < 1$, we have

$$(23) \quad \int_0^{2\pi} |f(z)|^n d\theta \leq \int_0^{2\pi} |f_2(z)|^n d\theta.$$

REFERENCES

1. Aqlan E., Jahangiri J. M. and Kulkarni S. R., *New classes of k -uniformly convex and starlike functions*, Tamkang J. Math. **35(3)** (2004), 261–266.
2. El-Ashwah R. Md. and Thomas D. K., *Some subclasses of close-to-convex functions*, J. Ramanujan Math. Soc. **2(1)** (1987), 85–100.
3. Littlewood J. E., *On inequalities in the theory of functions*, Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society, **23(1)** (1925), 481–519.
4. Parvatham R. and Radha S., *On α -starlike and α -close-to-convex functions with respect to n -symmetric points*, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. **17(9)** (1986), 1114–1122.
5. Ravichandran V., *Starlike and convex functions with respect to conjugate points*, Acta Math. Acad. Paedagog. Nyházi. (N.S.) **20(1)** (2004), 31–37.
6. Sakaguchi K., *On a certain univalent mapping*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **11** (1959), 72–75.
7. Shanmugam T. N., Ramachandran C. and Ravichandran V., *Fekete-Szegő problem for subclasses of starlike functions with respect to symmetric points*, Bull. Korean Math. Soc. **43(3)** (2006), 589–598.
8. Silverman H., *Univalent functions with negative coefficients*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **51** (1975), 109–116.
9. ———, *A survey with open problems on univalent functions whose coefficients are negative*, Rocky Mountain J. Math. **21(3)** (1991), 1099–1125.
10. ———, *Integral means for univalent functions with negative coefficients*, Houston J. Math. **23(1)** (1997), 169–174.
11. Wang Z.-G., *A new subclass of quasi-convex functions with respect to k -symmetric points*, Lobachevskii J. Math. **19** (2005), 41–50.

C. Selvaraj, Department of Mathematics, Presidency College (Autonomous), Chennai-600 005, India, e-mail: pamc9439@yahoo.co.in

K. A. Selvakumaran, Department of Mathematics, R.M.K. Engg. College, Kavaraipettai-601 206, India, e-mail: selvaa1826@gmail.com