

WEAKLY ω -CONTINUOUS FUNCTIONS

K. AL-ZOUBI AND H. AL-JARAH

ABSTRACT. The purpose of this paper is to introduce a new class of functions called weakly ω -continuous which contains the class of ω -continuous functions and to investigate their basic properties.

0. INTRODUCTION

Throughout this work a space will always mean a topological space on which no separation axiom is assumed unless explicitly stated. Let (X, τ) be a space and A be a subset of X . A point $x \in X$ is called a condensation point of A if for each $U \in \tau$ with $x \in U$, the set $U \cap A$ is uncountable. A is called ω -closed [7] if it contains all its condensation points. The complement of an ω -closed set is called ω -open. It is well known that a subset W of a space (X, τ) is ω -open if and only if for each $x \in W$ there exists $U \in \tau$ such that $x \in U$ and $U - W$ is countable. The family of all ω -open subsets of a space (X, τ) , denoted by τ_ω , forms a topology on X finer than τ . Let (X, τ) be a space and A be a subset of X . The closure of A , the interior of A and the relative topology on A will be denoted by $\text{cl}_\tau(A)$, $\text{int}_\tau(A)$ and τ_A , respectively. The ω -interior (ω -closure) of a subset A of a space (X, τ) is the interior (closure) of A in the space (X, τ_ω) and is denoted by $\text{int}_{\tau_\omega}(A)$ ($\text{cl}_{\tau_\omega}(A)$).

Weak continuity due to Levine [8] is one of the most important weak forms of continuity in topological spaces. It is well-known that if $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is a function from a space (X, τ)

Received January 28, 2010.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 54C08, 54C10, 54C15, 54D20.

Key words and phrases. ω -open sets; ω -continuous function; weakly continuous function; weakly ω -continuous function; ω -irresolute function.




Go back

Full Screen

Close

Quit



into a regular space (Y, σ) , then f is continuous iff it is weakly continuous. In [6], Hdeib introduced the notion of ω -continuous functions and in [3, Theorem 3.12], Al-Zoubi showed that a function $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ from an anti-locally countable space (X, τ) into a regular space (Y, σ) is continuous iff it is ω -continuous iff for each $x \in X$ and each open set V in (Y, σ) with $f(x) \in V$, there exists an ω -open set U in (X, τ) such that $x \in U$ and $f(U) \subseteq \text{cl}_\sigma(V)$.

In Section 1 of the present work we use the family of ω -open subsets to define weakly ω -continuous functions. We obtain characterizations of this type of functions and also we study its relation to other known classes of generalized continuous functions, namely the classes of ω -continuous functions, and weakly continuous functions.

In Section 2, basic properties of weakly ω -continuous functions such as composition, product, restriction, ... etc are given.

For a nonempty set X , τ_{ind} , respectively, τ_{dis} will denote, the indiscrete, respectively, the discrete topologies on X . \mathbb{R} , \mathbb{Q} and \mathbb{N} denote the sets of all real numbers, rational numbers, and natural numbers, respectively. By τ_u we denote the usual topology on \mathbb{R} . Finally, if (X, τ) and (Y, ρ) are two spaces, then $\tau \times \rho$ will denote the product topology on $X \times Y$.

Now we recall some known notions, definitions and results which will be used in the work.

Definition 0.1. A space (X, τ) is called

- (a) *Locally countable* [9] if each point $x \in X$ has a countable open neighborhood.
- (b) *Anti-locally countable* [4] if each non-empty open set is uncountable.

Definition 0.2. A function $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is called

- (a) *ω -continuous* [6] if $f^{-1}(V)$ is ω -open in (X, τ) for every open set V of (Y, σ) .
- (b) *ω -irresolute* [2] if $f^{-1}(V)$ is ω -open in (X, τ) for every ω -open set V of (Y, σ) .

Lemma 0.3 ([4]). *Let A be a subset of a space (X, τ) . Then*

- (a) $(\tau_\omega)_\omega = \tau_\omega$.




Go back

Full Screen

Close

Quit



$$(b) (\tau_A)_\omega = (\tau_\omega)_A.$$

Lemma 0.4 ([1]). *Let A be a subset of an anti-locally countable space (X, τ) .*

(a) *If $A \in \tau_\omega$, then $\text{cl}_\tau(A) = \text{cl}_{\tau_\omega}(A)$.*

(b) *If A is ω -closed in (X, τ) , then $\text{int}(A) = \text{int}_{\tau_\omega}(A)$.*

Lemma 0.5 ([3]). *Let (X, τ) and (Y, σ) be two topological spaces.*

(a) $(\tau \times \sigma)_\omega \subseteq \tau_\omega \times \sigma_\omega$.

(b) *If $A \subseteq X$ and $B \subseteq Y$, then $\text{cl}_{\tau_\omega}(A) \times \text{cl}_{\sigma_\omega}(B) \subseteq \text{cl}_{(\tau \times \sigma)_\omega}(A \times B)$.*

1. WEAKLY ω -CONTINUOUS FUNCTIONS

Recall that a function $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is called weakly continuous [8] if for each $x \in X$ and each open set V in (Y, σ) containing $f(x)$, there exists an open set U in (X, τ) such that $x \in U$ and $f(U) \subseteq \text{cl}_\sigma(V)$.

Definition 1.1. A function $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is said to be ω^ω -weakly continuous (respectively, ω -weakly continuous, weakly ω -continuous) if for each $x \in X$ and for each $V \in \sigma_\omega$ (respectively, $V \in \sigma$) containing $f(x)$, there exists an ω -open subset U of X containing x such that $f(U) \subseteq \text{cl}_{\sigma_\omega}(V)$ (respectively, $f(U) \subseteq \text{cl}_\sigma(V)$, $f(U) \subseteq \text{cl}_{\sigma_\omega}(V)$).

Observe that if (X, τ) is a locally countable space, then τ_ω is the discrete topology and so every function $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is ω^ω -weakly continuous.

The following diagram follows immediately from the definitions in which none of these implications is reversible.





Go back

Full Screen

Close

Quit



Example 1.2. (a) Let $X = \mathbb{R}$ with the topologies $\tau = \tau_u$, $\sigma = \{\emptyset, \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{Q}\}$ and $\rho = \{\emptyset, \mathbb{R}, \{1\}\}$. Let $f : (\mathbb{R}, \tau) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}, \sigma)$ be the function defined by

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} \sqrt{2} & \text{for } x \in \mathbb{R} - \mathbb{Q} \\ 1 & \text{for } x \in \mathbb{Q} \end{cases}$$

Then f is ω -weakly continuous, but it is not weakly ω -continuous. Note that

$\text{cl}_{\sigma_\omega}(\mathbb{Q}) = \mathbb{Q}$ and if W is an ω -open set in (\mathbb{R}, τ) , then $W \cap (\mathbb{R} - \mathbb{Q}) \neq \emptyset$. On the other hand, the function $g : (\mathbb{R}, \tau) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}, \rho)$ given by

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } x \in \mathbb{R} - \mathbb{Q} \\ 1 & \text{for } x \in \mathbb{Q} \end{cases}$$

is weakly continuous (ω -weakly continuous), but it is neither weakly ω -continuous nor ω^ω -weakly continuous.

(b) Let $X = \mathbb{R}$ with the topologies $\tau = \{U \subseteq \mathbb{R} : U \subseteq \mathbb{R} - \mathbb{Q}\} \cup \{\mathbb{R}\}$ and $\sigma = \{\emptyset, \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{Q}\}$. Let $f : (\mathbb{R}, \tau) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}, \sigma)$ be the function defined by

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } x \in \mathbb{R} - \mathbb{Q} \\ 1 & \text{for } x \in \mathbb{Q} \end{cases}$$

Then f is ω -continuous, but it is not ω^ω -weakly continuous. Note that if we choose $x \in \mathbb{Q}$, then $f(x) = 1 \in V = \{1\} \in \sigma_\omega$. Now if $U \in \tau_\omega$ such that $x \in U$ and $f(U) \subseteq \text{cl}_{\sigma_\omega}(V) = \{1\}$, then $U \subseteq \mathbb{Q}$. But the only open set containing x is \mathbb{R} , therefore $\mathbb{R} - U$ is countable, a contradiction.




Go back

Full Screen

Close

Quit



(c) Let $X = \mathbb{R}$ with the topologies $\tau = \tau_u$ and $\sigma = \{\emptyset, \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R} - \{0\}\}$. Let $f : (\mathbb{R}, \tau) \longrightarrow (\mathbb{R}, \sigma)$ be the function defined by

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } x \in \mathbb{R} - \mathbb{Q} \\ 1 & \text{for } x \in \mathbb{Q} \end{cases}$$

Then f is not ω -continuous since $V = \mathbb{R} - \{0\} \in \sigma$, but $f^{-1}(V) = \mathbb{Q} \notin \tau_\omega$. On the other hand, f is weakly ω -continuous since $\text{cl}_{\sigma_\omega}(\mathbb{R} - \{0\}) = \mathbb{R}$.

Let $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ be a function. Then a function $f_\omega^\omega : (X, \tau_\omega) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_\omega)$ (respectively, $f_\omega : (X, \tau_\omega) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$, $f^\omega : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_\omega)$) associated with f is defined as follows: $f_\omega^\omega(x) = f(x)$ (respectively, $f_\omega(x) = f(x)$, $f^\omega(x) = f(x)$) for each $x \in X$.

The proof of the following results follow immediately from the definitions and Lemma 0.3 part (a).

Remark 1.3. Let $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ be a function.

- (a) f is ω^ω -weakly continuous iff f_ω^ω is weakly continuous.
- (b) f is ω -weakly continuous iff f_ω is weakly continuous.
- (c) f_ω^ω is weakly continuous iff it is ω^ω -weakly continuous iff it is weakly ω -continuous iff it is ω -weakly continuous.
- (d) If (Y, σ) is a locally countable space, then f is ω -continuous iff it is weakly ω -continuous.
- (e) If (Y, σ) is an anti-locally countable space, then f is ω -weakly continuous iff it is weakly ω -continuous.

It follows from Remark 1.3 part (a) and part (b) that the basic properties of ω^ω -weakly continuous and ω -weakly continuous functions follow from the well known properties of weakly continuous functions.

Proposition 1.4. A function $f : (X, \tau) \longrightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is weakly ω -continuous iff $f^{-1}(V) \subset \text{int}_{\tau_\omega}(f^{-1}(\text{cl}_{\sigma_\omega}(V)))$ for every $V \in \sigma$.




Go back

Full Screen

Close

Quit



The easy proof is left to the reader.

2. FUNDAMENTAL PROPERTIES OF WEAKLY ω -CONTINUOUS FUNCTIONS

In this section we obtain several fundamental properties of weakly ω -continuous functions.

The composition $g \circ f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Z, \rho)$ of a continuous function $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ and a weakly ω -continuous function $g : (Y, \sigma) \rightarrow (Z, \rho)$ is not necessarily weakly ω -continuous as the following example shows. Thus, the composition of weakly ω -continuous functions need not be weakly ω -continuous.

Example 2.1. Let $X = \mathbb{R}$ with the topologies $\tau = \tau_u$, and $\sigma = \tau_{\text{ind}}$ and let $Y = \{0, 1\}$ with the topology $\rho = \{\emptyset, Y, \{1\}\}$. Let $f : (\mathbb{R}, \tau) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}, \sigma)$ be the function defined by

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } x \in \mathbb{R} - \mathbb{Q} \\ \sqrt{2} & \text{for } x \in \mathbb{Q} \end{cases}$$

and let $g : (\mathbb{R}, \sigma) \rightarrow (Y, \rho)$ be the function defined by

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } x \in \mathbb{R} - \mathbb{Q} \\ 0 & \text{for } x \in \mathbb{Q} \end{cases}$$

Then f is continuous and g is weakly ω -continuous. However $g \circ f$ is not weakly ω -continuous. Note that if $x \in \mathbb{Q}$, then $(g \circ f)(x) = 1 \in V = \{1\} \in \rho$. Suppose there exists ω -open set W in (\mathbb{R}, τ) such that $x \in W$ and $(g \circ f)(W) \subset \text{cl}_{\sigma_\omega}(V) = \{1\}$. Then $W \subseteq \mathbb{Q}$, i.e. W is countable, a contradiction. Therefore $g \circ f$ is not weakly ω -continuous.

Recall that a function $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is called θ -continuous [5] if for each $x \in X$ and each open set V in (Y, σ) containing $f(x)$, there exists an open set U in (X, τ) such that $x \in U$ and $f(\text{cl}_\tau(U)) \subset \text{cl}_\sigma(V)$.




Go back

Full Screen

Close

Quit



Theorem 2.2. Let $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ and $g : (Y, \sigma) \rightarrow (Z, \rho)$ be two functions. Then the following statement hold

- (a) $g \circ f$ is weakly ω -continuous if g is weakly ω -continuous and f is ω -irresolute.
- (b) $g \circ f$ is weakly ω -continuous if f is weakly ω -continuous and g is ω -irresolute and continuous.
- (c) $g \circ f$ is weakly ω -continuous if g^ω is θ -continuous and f is weakly ω -continuous.
- (d) $g \circ f$ is weakly ω -continuous if g^ω is weakly continuous and f is ω -continuous.
- (e) Let (Z, ρ) be an anti-locally countable space. Then $g \circ f$ is weakly ω -continuous if g is θ -continuous and f is weakly ω -continuous.

The easy proof is left to the reader.

The following examples show that the conditions in Theorem 2.2 are essential.

Example 2.3. Let $X = \mathbb{R}$ with the topologies $\tau = \tau_u$ and $\eta = \{\emptyset, \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R} - \mathbb{Q}\}$ and let $Y = \{1, \sqrt{2}\}$ with the topologies $\sigma = \{\emptyset, Y, \{\sqrt{2}\}\}$ and $\rho = \{\emptyset, Y, \{1\}\}$.

(a) Let $f : (\mathbb{R}, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \rho)$ be the function defined by

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } x \in \mathbb{R} - \mathbb{Q} \\ \sqrt{2} & \text{for } x \in \mathbb{Q} \end{cases}$$

and $g : (Y, \rho) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ be the identity function. Clearly, (Y, ρ) is not anti-locally countable, f is weakly ω -continuous, g is θ -continuous and ω -irresolute, but not continuous. However $g \circ f$ is not weakly ω -continuous.

(b) Define $f : (\mathbb{R}, \tau) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}, \eta)$ and $g : (\mathbb{R}, \eta) \rightarrow (Y, \rho)$ as follows

$$f(x) = g(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } x \in \mathbb{R} - \mathbb{Q} \\ \sqrt{2} & \text{for } x \in \mathbb{Q} \end{cases}$$




Go back

Full Screen

Close

Quit



Then f is weakly ω -continuous since $\text{cl}_{\sigma_\omega}(\mathbb{R} - \mathbb{Q}) = \mathbb{R}$ and g is continuous, but it is not ω -irresolute. However $g \circ f$ is not weakly ω -continuous.

Note that Example 2.3 shows that continuity and ω -irresoluteness are independent notions.

Lemma 2.4 ([3]). *Let $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ be an open surjective function.*

- 1) *If $A \subseteq X$, then $f(\text{int}_{\tau_\omega}(A)) \subseteq \text{int}_{\sigma_\omega} f(A)$.*
- 2) *If $U \in \tau_\omega$, then $f(U) \in \sigma_\omega$.*

Theorem 2.5. *Let $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ be an open surjection and let $g : (Y, \sigma) \rightarrow (Z, \rho)$ such that $g \circ f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Z, \rho)$ is weakly ω -continuous. Then g is weakly ω -continuous.*

Proof. Let $y \in Y$ and let $V \in \rho$ with $g(y) \in V$. Choose $x \in X$ such that $f(x) = y$. Since $g \circ f$ is weakly ω -continuous, there exists $U \in \tau_\omega$ with $x \in U$ and $g(f(U)) \subset \text{cl}_{\sigma_\omega}(V)$. But f is open, therefore by Lemma 2.4, $f(U) \in \sigma_\omega$ with $f(x) \in f(U)$ and the result follows. \square

Theorem 2.6. *Let (X, τ) and (Y, σ) be topological spaces where (Y, σ) is locally countable. Then the projection $p_X : (X \times Y, \tau \times \sigma) \rightarrow (X, \tau)$ is ω -irresolute.*

Proof. Let $(x, y) \in X \times Y$ and let V be an ω -open subset of (X, τ) such that $p_X(x, y) = x \in V$. Choose $U \in \tau$ and a countable open subset W of (Y, σ) such that $y \in W$, $x \in U$ and $U - V$ is countable. Since $U \times W - V \times Y = (U - V) \times W$ is countable, $V \times Y \in (\tau \times \sigma)_\omega$ and so $B = p_X^{-1}(U) \cap (V \times Y) = (U \cap V) \times Y \in (\tau \times \sigma)_\omega$. Now $(x, y) \in B$ and $p_X(B) = U \cap V \subseteq V$. Therefore p_X is ω -irresolute. \square

To show that the condition (Y, σ) is locally countable in Theorem 2.6 is essential we consider the following example.



Go back

Full Screen

Close

Quit



Example 2.7. Consider the projection $p : (\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}, \tau_u \times \tau_u) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}, \tau_u)$ and let $A = \mathbb{R} - \mathbb{Q}$. Then A is ω -open in (\mathbb{R}, τ_u) while $p^{-1}(A) = (\mathbb{R} - \mathbb{Q}) \times \mathbb{R}$ is not ω -open in $(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}, \tau_u \times \tau_u)$. Thus p is not ω -irresolute.

Corollary 2.8. Let Δ be a countable set and let $f_\alpha : (X_\alpha, \tau_\alpha) \rightarrow (Y_\alpha, \sigma_\alpha)$ be a function for each $\alpha \in \Delta$. If the product function $f = \prod_{\alpha \in \Delta} f_\alpha : \prod_{\alpha \in \Delta} X_\alpha \rightarrow \prod_{\alpha \in \Delta} Y_\alpha$ is weakly ω -continuous and $(Y_\alpha, \sigma_\alpha)$ is locally countable for each $\alpha \in \Delta$, then f_α is weakly ω -continuous for each $\alpha \in \Delta$.

Proof. For each $\beta \in \Delta$, we consider the projections $p_\beta : \prod_{\alpha \in \Delta} X_\alpha \rightarrow X_\beta$ and $q_\beta : \prod_{\alpha \in \Delta} Y_\alpha \rightarrow Y_\beta$. Then we have $q_\beta \circ f = f_\beta \circ p_\beta$ for each $\beta \in \Delta$. Since f is weakly ω -continuous and q_β is ω -irresolute (Theorem 2.6) for each $\beta \in \Delta$, $q_\beta \circ f$ is weakly ω -continuous and hence $f_\beta \circ p_\beta$ is weakly ω -continuous. Thus f_β is weakly ω -continuous by Theorem 2.5. \square

The following example shows that the converse of Corollary 2.8 is not true in general.

Example 2.9. Let $X = \mathbb{R}$ with the topology $\tau = \{U : U \subseteq \mathbb{Q}\} \cup \{\mathbb{R}\}$ and let $Y = \{0, 1, 2\}$ with the topology $\sigma = \{\emptyset, Y, \{0\}, \{1, 2\}\}$. Let $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ be the function defined by

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } x \in \mathbb{R} - \mathbb{Q}, \\ 0 & \text{for } x \in \mathbb{Q}. \end{cases}$$

One can easily show that f is weakly ω -continuous. However, the product function $h = f \times f : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow Y \times Y$ defined by $h(x, t) = (f(x), f(t))$ for all $x, t \in \mathbb{R}$ is not weakly ω -continuous. Let $(x, t) \in (\mathbb{R} - \mathbb{Q}) \times (\mathbb{R} - \mathbb{Q})$. Then $h(x, t) = (f(x), f(t)) = (1, 1)$. Take $V = \{1, 2\} \times \{1, 2\}$. Then $V \in \sigma \times \sigma$ with $h(x, t) \in V$. Suppose there exists $U \in (\tau \times \tau)_\omega$ such that $(x, t) \in U$ and $h(U) \subseteq \text{cl}_{\sigma_\omega}(V) = V$. Therefore $U \subseteq (\mathbb{R} - \mathbb{Q}) \times (\mathbb{R} - \mathbb{Q})$. Note that the only open set containing (x, t) is $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ and so $(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}) - U$ is countable. Thus

$$(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Q}) \cup (\mathbb{Q} \times \mathbb{R}) = (\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}) - ((\mathbb{R} - \mathbb{Q}) \times (\mathbb{R} - \mathbb{Q})) \subseteq (\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}) - U,$$

a contradiction.



Go back

Full Screen

Close

Quit



To see that the conditions in Corollary 2.8 are essential we consider the following examples.

Example 2.10. (a) Let $X = \mathbb{R}$ with the topologies $\tau = \tau_u$, $\rho = \{\emptyset, \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R} - \mathbb{Q}\}$ and $\mu = \{\emptyset, \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{Q}\}$. Let $f : (\mathbb{R}, \tau) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}, \rho)$ be the function given by $f(x) = 1$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and let $g : (\mathbb{R}, \tau) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}, \mu)$ be the function defined by

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} \sqrt{2} & \text{for } x \in \mathbb{R} - \mathbb{Q}, \\ 0 & \text{for } x \in \mathbb{Q}. \end{cases}$$

One can easily show that f is weakly ω -continuous while g is not. To show that $f \times g$ is weakly ω -continuous, let $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ and let $W \in \sigma \times \mu$ such that $(f \times g)(x, y) \in W$. There exists a basic open set V in $(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}, \rho \times \mu)$ such that $(f \times g)(x, y) \in \{(1, 0), (1, \sqrt{2})\} \subseteq V \subseteq W$. Therefore $V \in \{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Q}\}$. To complete the proof it is enough to show that $\text{cl}_{(\rho \times \mu)_\omega}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Q}) = \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$. Suppose there exists $(s, t) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} - \text{cl}_{(\rho \times \mu)_\omega}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Q})$. Then there exist $W \in (\sigma \times \mu)_\omega$ and a basic open set U in $(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}, \rho \times \mu)$ such that $(s, t) \in W \cap U$, $W \cap (\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Q}) = \emptyset$ and $U - W$ is countable. Therefore $W \subseteq \mathbb{R} \times (\mathbb{R} - \mathbb{Q})$ and $U \in \{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}, (\mathbb{R} - \mathbb{Q}) \times \mathbb{R}\}$. Thus $U - (\mathbb{R} \times (\mathbb{R} - \mathbb{Q}))$ is countable, a contradiction.

(b) Let $X = \mathbb{R}$ with the topology $\tau = \tau_u$ and $Y = \{1, \sqrt{2}\}$ with the topology $\sigma = \{\emptyset, Y, \{1\}\}$. Let $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ be the function defined by

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} \sqrt{2} & \text{for } x \in \mathbb{R} - \mathbb{Q}, \\ 0 & \text{for } x \in \mathbb{Q}. \end{cases}$$

Then f is not weakly ω -continuous. Let Δ be an uncountable set and let $X_\alpha = X$ and $Y_\alpha = Y$ for all $\alpha \in \Delta$. Then the product function

$$h = \prod_{\alpha \in \Delta} f_\alpha : \prod_{\alpha \in \Delta} X_\alpha \rightarrow \prod_{\alpha \in \Delta} Y_\alpha$$



Go back

Full Screen

Close

Quit



is weakly ω -continuous where $f_\alpha = f$ for all $\alpha \in \Delta$. We show that if B is a basic open set in $\prod_{\alpha \in \Delta} Y_\alpha$, then $\text{cl}_{(\sigma_p)_\omega}(B) = \prod_{\alpha \in \Delta} Y_\alpha$, where σ_p is the product topology on $\prod_{\alpha \in \Delta} Y_\alpha$. Suppose by contrary that there exists $y \in \prod_{\alpha \in \Delta} Y_\alpha - \text{cl}_{(\sigma_p)_\omega}(B)$. Note that $B = \prod_{\alpha \in \Delta} B_\alpha$ where $B_\alpha = Y_\alpha$ for all but finitely many $\alpha \in \Delta$, say $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n$. Therefore

$$B_{\alpha_1} = B_{\alpha_2} = \dots = B_{\alpha_n} = \{1\}.$$

Now choose $W \in (\sigma_p)_\omega$ and a basic open set $V = \prod_{\alpha \in \Delta} V_\alpha$ in $\prod_{\alpha \in \Delta} Y_\alpha$ such that $x \in W \cap V$, $W \cap B = \emptyset$, and $V - W$ is countable. Thus

$$\emptyset \neq B \cap V = \prod_{\alpha \in \Delta} (B_\alpha \cap V_\alpha) \subseteq V - W,$$

a contradiction.

Theorem 2.11. *Let $f : (X, \tau) \longrightarrow (Y_1 \times Y_2, \sigma_1 \times \sigma_2)$ be a weakly ω -continuous function, where (X, τ) , (Y_1, σ_1) and (Y_2, σ_2) are topological spaces. Let $f_i : (X, \tau) \longrightarrow (Y_i, \sigma_i)$ be defined as $f_i = P_i \circ f$ for $i = 1, 2$.*

- (a) *If f_i is weakly ω -continuous for $i = 1, 2$, then f is weakly ω -continuous.*
- (b) *If (Y_1, σ_1) and (Y_2, σ_2) are locally countable spaces and f is weakly ω -continuous, then f_i is weakly ω -continuous for $i = 1, 2$.*

Proof. (a) Let $x \in X$ and let V be an open in $(Y_1 \times Y_2, \sigma_1 \times \sigma_2)$ such that $f(x) \in V$. There exist $V_1 \in \sigma_1$ and $V_2 \in \sigma_2$ such that

$$f(x) = (f_1(x), f_2(x)) \in V_1 \times V_2 \subseteq V.$$

Now

$$(P_i \circ f)(x) = P_i(f_1(x), f_2(x)) = f_i(x) \in V_i \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2$$



Go back

Full Screen

Close

Quit



and so there exist $U_1, U_2 \in \tau_\omega$ such that

$$f_i(U_i) = (P_i \circ f)(U_i) \subseteq \text{cl}_{\sigma_\omega}(V_i).$$

Put $U = U_1 \cap U_2$. Then $U \in \tau_\omega$ such that $x \in U$ and

$$f(U) = (f_1(U), f_2(U)) \subseteq \text{cl}_{(\sigma_1)_\omega}(V_1) \times \text{cl}_{(\sigma_2)_\omega}(V_2) \subseteq \text{cl}_{(\sigma_1 \times \sigma_2)_\omega}(V)$$

by Lemma 0.5. Thus f is weakly ω -continuous.

(b) This follows from Theorem 2.6 and Theorem 2.2. □

To see that the condition put on (Y_1, σ_1) and (Y_2, σ_2) to be locally countable in Theorem 2.11 part (b) is essential we consider the functions f and g as given in Example 2.10 part (a). Then the function $h : (\mathbb{R}, \tau) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}, \mu \times \rho)$ defined by $h(x) = (f(x), g(x))$ is weakly ω -continuous while g is not.

Theorem 2.12. *Let $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ be a function with $g : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (X \times Y, \tau \times \sigma)$ denoting the graph function of f defined by $g(x) = (x, f(x))$ for every point $x \in X$. If f is weakly ω -continuous, then g is weakly ω -continuous.*

Proof. Let $x \in X$ and let $W \in \tau \times \sigma$ with $g(x) \in W$. Then there exist $U \in \tau$ and $V \in \sigma$ such that $g(x) = (x, f(x)) \in U \times V \subseteq W$. Since f is weakly ω -continuous there exists $U_1 \in \tau_\omega$ with $x \in U_1$ and $f(U_1) \subseteq \text{cl}_{\sigma_\omega}(V)$. Put $U = U \cap U_1$. Then $U \in \tau_\omega$ with $x \in U$ and

$$\begin{aligned} g(U) &= g(U \cap U_1) = (U \cap U_1, f(U \cap U_1)) \subseteq U \times f(U_1) \\ &\subseteq \text{cl}_{\tau_\omega}(U) \times \text{cl}_{\sigma_\omega}(V) \subseteq \text{cl}_{(\tau \times \sigma)_\omega}(U \times V) \subseteq \text{cl}_{(\tau \times \sigma)_\omega}(W) \end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 0.5. □

The following example shows that the converse of Theorem 2.12 is not true in general.




Go back

Full Screen

Close

Quit



Example 2.13. Let $X = Y = \mathbb{R}$ with the topologies $\tau = \{\emptyset, \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R} - \mathbb{Q}\}$, and $\sigma = \{\emptyset, \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{Q}\}$. Let $f : (\mathbb{R}, \tau) \longrightarrow (\mathbb{R}, \sigma)$ be the function defined by

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} \sqrt{2} & \text{for } x \in \mathbb{R} - \mathbb{Q}, \\ 0 & \text{for } x \in \mathbb{Q}. \end{cases}$$

Then f is not weakly ω -continuous. On the other hand, the graph function g is weakly ω -continuous since $\text{cl}_{(\tau \times \sigma)_\omega}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Q}) = \text{cl}_{(\tau \times \sigma)_\omega}((\mathbb{R} - \mathbb{Q}) \times \mathbb{R}) = \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ (see Example 2.10 part (a))

The following results follow immediately from the definitions and Lemma 0.3.

Theorem 2.14. Let $f : (X, \tau) \longrightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ be a function.

- (a) If f is weakly ω -continuous and A a subset of X , then the restriction $f|_A : (A, \tau_A) \longrightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is weakly ω -continuous.
- (b) Let $x \in X$. If there exists an ω -open subset A of X containing x such that $f|_A : (A, \tau_A) \longrightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is weakly ω -continuous at x , then f is weakly ω -continuous at x .
- (c) If $U = \{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$ is an ω -open cover of X , then f is weakly ω -continuous if and only if $f|_{U_\alpha}$ is weakly ω -continuous for all $\alpha \in \Delta$.

The following example shows that the assumption A is ω -open in Theorem 2.14 part (b) can not be replaced by the statement A is ω -closed.

Example 2.15. Let $X = \mathbb{R}$ with the topology τ_u and let $Y = \{0, 1\}$ with the topology $\sigma = \{\emptyset, Y, \{1\}\}$. Let $f : (X, \tau) \longrightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ be the function defined by

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } x \in \mathbb{R} - \mathbb{Q}, \\ 1 & \text{for } x \in \mathbb{Q}. \end{cases}$$

Then $f|_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is weakly ω -continuous, but f is not.




Go back

Full Screen

Close

Quit



Theorem 2.16. *Let (X, τ) be an anti-locally countable space. Then (X, τ) is Hausdroff if and only if (X, τ_ω) is Hausdroff.*

Proof. We need to show the sufficiency part only. Let $x, y \in X$ with $x \neq y$. Since (X, τ_ω) is a Hausdroff space, there exist $W_x, W_y \in \tau_\omega$ such that $x \in W_x$, $y \in W_y$ and $W_x \cap W_y = \emptyset$. Choose $V_x, V_y \in \tau$ such that $x \in V_x$, $y \in V_y$, $V_x - W_x = C_x$, and $V_y - W_y = C_y$ where C_x and C_y are countable sets. Thus

$$V_x \cap V_y \subseteq (C_x \cup W_x) \cap (C_y \cup W_y) \subseteq C_x \cup C_y.$$

Since (X, τ) is anti-locally countable, then $V_x \cap V_y = \emptyset$ and the result follows. \square

Theorem 2.16 is no longer true if the assumption of being anti-locally countable is omitted. To see that we consider the space $(\mathbb{N}, \tau_{\text{cof}})$ where τ_{cof} is the cofinite topology. Then $(\mathbb{N}, \tau_{\text{cof}})$ is not anti-locally countable. On the other hand, $(\mathbb{N}, (\tau_{\text{cof}})_\omega) = (\mathbb{N}, \tau_{\text{dis}})$ is a Hausdroff space, but $(\mathbb{N}, \tau_{\text{cof}})$ is not.

Theorem 2.17. *Let (A, τ_A) be a subspace of a space (X, τ) . If the retraction function $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (A, \tau_A)$ defined by $f(x) = x$ for all $x \in A$ is weakly ω -continuous and (X, τ) is a Hausdroff space, then A is ω -closed.*

Proof. Suppose A is not ω -closed. Then, there exists $x \in \text{cl}_{\tau_\omega}(A) - A$. Since f is a retraction function, $x \neq f(x)$ and so there exist two disjoint open sets U and V in (X, τ) such that $x \in U$ and $f(x) \in V$. Thus $U \cap \text{cl}_{\tau_\omega}(V) \subseteq U \cap \text{cl}(V) = \emptyset$. Now let W be an ω -open set in (X, τ) such that $x \in W$. Then $U \cap W$ is an ω -open set in (X, τ) containing x and so $U \cap W \cap A \neq \emptyset$. Choose $y \in U \cap W \cap A$. Then $y = f(y) \in U$ and so $f(y) \notin \text{cl}_{\tau_\omega}(V)$, i.e. $f(W)$ is not a subset of $\text{cl}_{\tau_\omega}(V)$. Thus f is not weakly ω -continuous at x , a contradiction. Thus A is ω -closed. \square

Theorem 2.18. *If (X, τ) is a connected anti-locally countable space and $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is a weakly ω -continuous surjection function, then (Y, σ) is connected.*



Go back

Full Screen

Close

Quit



Proof. At first we show that if V is a clopen subset of (Y, σ) , then $f^{-1}(V)$ is clopen in (X, τ) . Let V be a clopen subset of (Y, σ) . Then by Proposition 1.4,

$$f^{-1}(V) \subset \text{int}_{\tau_\omega}(f^{-1}(\text{cl}_{\sigma_\omega}(V))) \subseteq \text{int}_{\tau_\omega}(f^{-1}(\text{cl}_\sigma(V))) = \text{int}_{\tau_\omega}(f^{-1}(V)).$$

Thus $f^{-1}(V)$ is ω -open in (X, τ) and so, by Lemma 0.4,

$$\text{cl}_\tau(f^{-1}(V)) = \text{cl}_{\tau_\omega}(f^{-1}(V)).$$

Now we show that $f^{-1}(V)$ is ω -closed in (X, τ) . Suppose by contrary that there exists $x \in \text{cl}_{\tau_\omega}(f^{-1}(V)) - f^{-1}(V)$. Since f is weakly ω -continuous and $Y - V$ is an open set in (Y, σ) containing $f(x)$, there exists $U \in \tau_\omega$ such that $x \in U$ and

$$f(U) \subseteq \text{cl}_{\sigma_\omega}(Y - V) = Y - V.$$

But $x \in \text{cl}_{\tau_\omega}(f^{-1}(V))$ and so $U \cap f^{-1}(V) \neq \emptyset$. Therefore,

$$\emptyset \neq f(U) \cap V \subseteq V \cap (Y - V),$$

a contradiction. Thus $f^{-1}(V)$ is ω -closed in (X, τ) and so

$$\text{cl}_\tau(f^{-1}(V)) = \text{cl}_{\tau_\omega}(f^{-1}(V)) = f^{-1}(V),$$

i.e., $f^{-1}(V)$ is closed in (X, τ) . Also by using Lemma 0.4,

$$\text{int}_\tau f^{-1}(V) = \text{int}_{\tau_\omega}(f^{-1}(V)) = f^{-1}(V),$$

i.e., $f^{-1}(V)$ is open in (X, τ) .

Now suppose that (Y, σ) is not connected. Then, there exist nonempty open sets V_1 and V_2 in (Y, σ) such that $V_1 \cap V_2 = \emptyset$ and $V_1 \cup V_2 = Y$. Hence we have $f^{-1}(V_1) \cap f^{-1}(V_2) = \emptyset$ and $f^{-1}(V_1) \cup f^{-1}(V_2) = X$. Since f is surjective, $f^{-1}(V_j) \neq \emptyset$ for $j = 1, 2$. Since V_j is clopen in (Y, σ) , then $f^{-1}(V_j)$ is open in (X, τ) for $j = 1, 2$. This implies that (X, τ) is not connected, a contradiction. Therefore, (Y, σ) is connected. \square




Go back

Full Screen

Close

Quit



Theorem 2.18 is no longer true if the assumption of being anti-locally countable is omitted. To see that we consider the following example.

Example 2.19. Let $X = \mathbb{R}$ with the topology $\tau = \{U \subseteq \mathbb{R} : \mathbb{Q} \subseteq U\} \cup \{\emptyset\}$ and let $Y = \{0, 1, 2\}$ with the topology $\rho = \{\emptyset, Y, \{1\}, \{0, 2\}\}$. Let $f : (\mathbb{R}, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \rho)$ be the function defined by

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } x \in \mathbb{R} - \mathbb{Q}, \\ 2 & \text{for } x \in \mathbb{Q} - \{0\}, \\ 0 & \text{for } x = 0. \end{cases}$$

Then f is weakly ω -continuous surjection, (X, τ) is connected but not anti-locally countable, and (Y, ρ) is not connected.

Recall that a space (X, τ) is called almost Lindelöf [10] if whenever $\mathcal{U} = \{U_\alpha : \alpha \in I\}$ is an open cover of (X, τ) there exists a countable subset I_0 of I such that $X = \bigcup_{\alpha \in I_0} \text{cl}(U_\alpha)$.

In [7, Theorem 4.1], Hdeib shows that a space (X, τ) is Lindelöf if and only if (X, τ_ω) is Lindelöf.

Theorem 2.20. *For any space (X, τ) , the following items are equivalent*

- (a) (X, τ_ω) is almost Lindelöf.
- (b) For every open cover $\mathcal{W} = \{W_\alpha : \alpha \in I\}$ of (X, τ) there exists a countable subset I_0 of I such that $X = \bigcup_{\alpha \in I_0} \text{cl}_{\tau_\omega}(W_\alpha)$.

Proof. We need to prove (b) implies (a). Let \mathcal{W} be an open cover of (X, τ_ω) . For each $x \in X$ we choose $W_x \in \mathcal{W}$ and an open set U_x in (X, τ) such that $x \in W_x$ and $U_x - W_x = C_x$ is countable. Therefore the collection $\mathcal{U} = \{U_x : x \in X\}$ is an open cover of (X, τ) and so, by assumption, it contains a countable subfamily $\mathcal{U}^* = \{U_{x_n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ such that $X = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \text{cl}_{\tau_\omega}(U_{x_n})$. But $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} C_{x_n}$ is a countable subset of X and we can choose a countable subfamily \mathcal{W}^* of \mathcal{W} such that

$$\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} C_{x_n} = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \text{cl}_{\tau_\omega}(C_{x_n}) \subseteq \cup \{W : W \in \mathcal{W}^*\}.$$




Go back

Full Screen

Close

Quit



Then

$$\begin{aligned} X &= \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \text{cl}_{\tau_\omega}(U_{xn}) \subseteq \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \text{cl}_{\tau_\omega}(W_{xn} \cup C_{xn}) \\ &= \left(\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \text{cl}_{\tau_\omega}(W_{xn}) \right) \cup \left(\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \text{cl}_{\tau_\omega}(C_{xn}) \right) \\ &\subseteq \left(\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \text{cl}_{\tau_\omega}(W_{xn}) \right) \cup \left(\bigcup_{W \in \mathcal{W}^*} W \right) \\ &\subseteq \left(\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \text{cl}_{\tau_\omega}(W_{xn}) \right) \cup \left(\bigcup_{W \in \mathcal{W}^*} \text{cl}_{\tau_\omega}(W) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Thus (X, τ_ω) is almost Lindelöf.

It is clear that if (X, τ_ω) is almost Lindelöf, then (X, τ) is almost Lindelöf. To see that the converse is not true, in general; we consider the space (X, τ) where $X = \mathbb{R}$ and $\tau = \{U : \mathbb{Q} \subseteq U\} \cup \{\emptyset\}$. Then (X, τ) is almost Lindelöf since $\text{cl}(\mathbb{Q}) = \mathbb{R}$. On the other hand, $\tau_\omega = \tau_{\text{disc}}$ and so (X, τ_ω) is not almost Lindelöf. \square

Corollary 2.21. *Let (X, τ) be an anti-locally countable space. Then (X, τ) is almost Lindelöf if and only if (X, τ_ω) is almost Lindelöf.*

Theorem 2.22. *Let $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ be a weakly ω -continuous function from a Lindelöf space (X, τ) onto a space (Y, σ) . Then (Y, σ_ω) is almost Lindelöf.*

Proof. Let \mathcal{V} be an open cover of (Y, σ) . For each $x \in X$ choose $V_x \in \mathcal{V}$ such that $f(x) \in V_x$. Since f is weakly ω -continuous, there exists an ω -open set U_x in (X, τ) such that $x \in U_x$ and $f(U_x) \subseteq \text{cl}_{\sigma_\omega}(V_x)$. Therefore the collection $\mathcal{U} = \{U_x : x \in X\}$ is an ω -open cover of the




Go back

Full Screen

Close

Quit



Lindelöf space (X, τ) , and so it contains a countable subfamily $\mathcal{U}^* = \{U_{xn} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ such that $X = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} U_{xn}$.

Thus

$$Y = f(X) = f\left(\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} U_{xn}\right) = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} f(U_{xn}) \subseteq \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \text{cl}_{\sigma_\omega}(V_{xn}).$$

Therefore (Y, σ_ω) is almost Lindelöf by Theorem 2.20. □

1. Al-Ghour S., *Certain covering properties related to paracompactness*, Ph. D. thesis, University of Jordan 1999.
2. Al-Zoubi K., *Semi ω -continuous functions*, Abhath Al-Yarmouk, **12 (1)** (2003), 119–131.
3. ———, *On generalized ω -closed sets*, Internat. J. Math. & Math. Sci., **13** (2005), 2011–2021.
4. Al-Zoubi K. and Al-Nashef B., *The topology of ω -open subsets*, Al-Manarah, **9(2)** (2003), 169–179.
5. Fomin S. V., *Extensions of topological spaces*, Ann. of Math. **44** (1943), 471–480.
6. Hdeib H., *ω -continuous functions*, Dirasat 16 (1989), 136–142.
7. Hdeib H., *ω -closed mappings*, Revista Colomb. De Matem. **XVI** (1982), 65–78.
8. Levine N., *A decomposition of continuity in topological spaces*, Amer. Math. Monthly **68** (1961), 44–46.
9. Pareek C. M., *Hereditarily Lindelof and hereditarily almost Lindelof spaces*, Math. Japonica, **30(4)** (1985), 635–639.
10. Willard S. and Dissanayake U. N. B., *The almost Lindelöf degree*, Canad. Math. Bull., **27(4)** (1984).

K. Al-Zoubi, Department of Mathematics, Faculty of science, Yarmouk University, Irbid-Jordan, *e-mail*: Khalidz@yu.edu.jo

H. Al-Jarah, Department of Mathematics, Faculty of science, Yarmouk University, Irbid-Jordan, *e-mail*: hiamaljarah@yahoo.com



Go back

Full Screen

Close

Quit