UNIVERSAL BOUNDS FOR POSITIVE SOLUTIONS
OF DOUBLY DEGENERATE PARABOLIC EQUATIONS
WITH A SOURCE

A. F. TEDEEV

Abstract. We consider a doubly degenerate parabolic equation with a source term
of the form
\[ \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \text{div} \left( |\nabla u|^{\lambda-1} \nabla u \right) + u^p \quad \text{where} \quad 0 < \beta \leq \lambda < p. \]
For a positive solution of the equation we prove universal bounds and provide blow-up rate estimates under suitable assumptions on \( p < p_0(\lambda, \beta, N) \). In particular, we extend some of the recent results by K. Ammar and Ph. Souplet concerning the blow-up estimates for porous media equations with a source. Our proofs are based on a generalized version of the Bochner-Weitzenböck formula and local energy estimates.

1. Introduction

We study the doubly degenerate parabolic equation with a nonlinear source of the form
\[ u_t^\beta = \Delta_\lambda u + u^p \quad \text{in} \quad Q_T = \mathbb{R}^N \times (0, T), \quad N \geq 2, \]
where \( \Delta_\lambda u = \text{div} \left( |\nabla u|^{\lambda-1} \nabla u \right) \). Here and thereafter we assume that
\[ 0 < \beta \leq \lambda < p. \]

Definition 1.1. We say that \( u \geq 0 \) is a weak solution of (1.1) in \( Q_T \) if it is locally bounded in \( Q_T \), \( u \in C((0, T); L^{\beta+1}_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^N)) \), \( |\nabla u|^{\lambda+1} \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(Q_T) \) and satisfies (1.1) in the sense of the integral identity
\[ \iint_{Q_T} (-u^\beta \eta_t + |\nabla u|^{\lambda-1} \nabla u \nabla \eta) \, dx \, dt = \iint_{Q_T} u^p \eta \, dx \, dt \]
for any \( \eta \in C_0^1(Q_T) \).

The existence of local solutions of (1.1) follows, for example, from [22], and the uniqueness of an energy solution follows from [29]. Moreover, weak solutions are locally Hölder continuous [23, 31]. We also refer to the survey [24], [37] and
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the books [14, 25, 10, 38] for various local and global properties of solutions of doubly degenerate parabolic equations.

The main purpose of the present paper is to obtain universal bounds of blow-up solutions of (1.1), that is, bounds that are independent of initial data. The paper is motivated by recent results of K. Ammar and Ph. Souplet [3] (see also [33] and earlier results [39]) concerning universal blow-up behaviour of a porous medium equation with a source. We extend some of these results for a solution of the equation (1.1). One of the main tools in the proof of universal estimates in [3] is the following Bochner-Weitzenböck formula

\[ \frac{1}{2} \Delta (|\nabla v|^2) = |D^2 v|^2 + (\nabla \Delta v) \cdot \nabla v \]  

(1.3)

with \( |D^2 v|^2 = \sum_{i,j=1}^{N} (v_{x_i x_j})^2 \). Below we use the generalized version of (1.3) (see (2.1)) in order to obtain some integral gradient estimates which together with the local \( L^q - L^\infty \) estimates of [8] give the universal blow-up estimate of supremum norm of a solution to (1.1).

Let

\[ \theta = \frac{(N-1)(1-\beta)}{N\beta}, \quad \delta = \frac{\lambda - 1}{\lambda + 1}, \quad \delta_1 = \frac{\delta}{\beta}, \quad A = 2(\theta + \delta_1) + (1 + \delta_1)^2, \]

\[ p_0(\beta, \lambda, N) = \frac{N (N + \lambda + 1)}{(\lambda + 1)(N - 1)(2N\delta + N - 1)} \left( 1 + \delta_1 + \theta + \sqrt{A} \right). \]  

The main result of the paper is as follows.

**Theorem 1.1.** Let \( u \geq 0 \) be a weak solution of (1.1) in \( Q_T = \mathbb{R}^N \times (0,T) \). Assume that

\[ p < p_0(\beta, \lambda, N). \]

Then there exists a constant \( C = C(N, \beta, \lambda, p) \) such that

\[ u(x, t) \leq C(T - t)^{-1/(p - \beta)} \]  

(1.4)

for all \( x \in \mathbb{R}^N \) and \( t \in (T/2, T) \).

**Remark 1.1.** For the porous medium equation with a source

\[ v_t = \Delta v^m + v^q, \]

(1.4) follows from the results in [3]. Namely, as it can be seen in this case \( \beta = 1/m \) and \( q = pm, \lambda = 1 \). Thus

\[ p_0 = \frac{N(N + 2)}{2(N - 1)^2} (1 + \theta + \sqrt{A}) \]  

with \( A = 1 + 2\theta, \quad \theta = \frac{(m - 1)(N - 1)}{N} \)  

which coincides with the exponent found in [3]. While if in (1.5) \( m = 1 \), we get the exponent

\[ p_0 = \frac{N(N + 2)}{(N - 1)^2}, \]
which was discovered in [11]. Finally, if \( \beta = 1 \) in (1.1), that is, (1.1) is the nonstationary \( \lambda \)-Laplacian with a source, then

\[
p_0 = p_0(\beta, \lambda, N) = \frac{2(1 + \delta)N(N + \lambda + 1)}{(\lambda + 1)(N - 1)(2N\delta + N - 1)}.
\]

To the best of our knowledge our result is still new in this case.

**Remark 1.2.** Notice that \( p_0(\beta, \lambda, N) \) is less than the Sobolev exponent \( p_S = (N\lambda + \lambda + 1)/(N - \lambda - 1) \) for \( \lambda + 1 < N \). However \( p_0(\beta, \lambda, N) \) is bigger than the Fujita exponent \( p_F \)

\[
p_0(\beta, \lambda, N) > p_F = \lambda + \frac{\lambda + 1}{N}.
\]

Let us recall that the Fujita exponent \( p_F \) gives the threshold between the global existence and blow-up. Namely, if \( 1 < p \leq p_F \), then there is no positive global solution of (1.1), while if \( p > p_F \), then there exist some positive global solutions (see the survey by Deng and Levine [13]). The Sobolev exponent \( p_S \) is known to be critical for the existence of positive steady states of the stationary solution

\[
\Delta_\lambda u + u^p = 0 \quad \text{on} \quad \mathbb{R}^N
\]

(see [35], [12] and references therein).

We also refer the reader for the Fujita type results for the porous medium equation and nonstationary \( \lambda \)-Laplacian with sources to the book [17], the survey [18] and [4]. For more general doubly degenerate parabolic equations with a source, the Fujita problem was recently treated in [6, 7, 1, 2, 9, 26], where the authors discussed dependence of the critical Fujita exponent on the geometry of the domain (see [6, 7]), on the behaviour of the initial data (see [1]), on the various forms of sources (see [7, 9]) and on the behaviour of the coefficients (see [26]). About the universal bounds near the blow-up time under the subcritical Fujita exponent we refer also to [8] and [26] for a wide class of doubly degenerate parabolic equations with a blow-up term. The problem of the optimal blow-up rate and universal bounds of both global and blow-up solutions for semilinear parabolic equations were investigated in [5, 19, 20, 21, 27, 28, 30, 32] (see also the book [33] and references therein).

The rest of the paper is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1.1.

2. **Proof of Theorem 1.1**

Turning to the proof of the theorem let us remark that since the solution to (1.1) is not regular enough, the standard way to proceed is to apply some kind of regularization to the equation before obtaining the integral estimates, and then subsequently pass to the limit with respect to the regularization parameter. This process is quite standard by now, it is described in details, for example, in [15]. Therefore without going into details we assume that our solution is sufficiently regular (see [15]).
One of the main parts in the proof of the theorem is the universal bound of the integral

\[
\int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{B_R(x_0)} u^{2\mu+1-\beta} \, dx \, dt
\]

for any \(0 < t_1 < t_2 < T\), \(R > 0\) and any \(x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^N\). In order to do this, the starting point is the following formula

\[
(2.1) \quad \left[ (|\nabla v|^{1-\lambda} v_{x_i}) \right]_{x_j} \left[ |\nabla v|^{1-\lambda} v_{x_j} \right]_{x_i} = \left( (|\nabla v|^{1-\lambda} v_{x_i}) \right]_{x_j} \left( (|\nabla v|^{1-\lambda} v_{x_j}) \right]_{x_i} + (\Delta v)_{x_j} |\nabla v|^{1-\lambda} v_{x_j},
\]

This formula is obtained by the direct differentiation and changing the order of the derivatives

\[
\left[ (|\nabla v|^{1-\lambda} v_{x_i}) \right]_{x_j} \left[ |\nabla v|^{1-\lambda} v_{x_j} \right]_{x_i} = \left( (|\nabla v|^{1-\lambda} v_{x_i}) \right]_{x_j} \left( (|\nabla v|^{1-\lambda} v_{x_j}) \right]_{x_i} + (\Delta v)_{x_j} |\nabla v|^{1-\lambda} v_{x_j},
\]

Here and thereafter the summation on repeating indices is assumed and \(v\) will be smooth enough. Formula (2.1) is a natural generalization of (1.3) and coincides with the latter when \(\lambda = 1\).

Next lemma is similar to [35, Proposition 6.2]. The proof we give here uses similar arguments to those used in [35]. We reproduce the proof here for the readers’ convenience.

**Lemma 2.1.** Let \(G\) be any domain in \(\mathbb{R}^N\). Then for any sufficiently smooth function \(v(x)\) and any nonnegative \(\zeta \in D(G)\), for \(s > 0\) large enough and any \(d, \mu \in R\), it holds

\[
-\mu \frac{2\lambda + 1}{\lambda + 1} \int \zeta^s \nu^{(\Delta v)^2} + 2s \int \zeta^{s-1} \nu^{(\Delta v)^2} \, dx \leq \frac{N - 1}{N} \int \zeta^{s-1} \nu^{(\Delta v)^2} + 2s \int \zeta^{s-1} \nu^{(\Delta v)^2} \, dx \leq \frac{N - 1}{N} \int \zeta^{s-1} \nu^{(\Delta v)^2} + 2s \int \zeta^{s-1} \nu^{(\Delta v)^2} \, dx + \frac{2s\mu\lambda}{\lambda + 1} \int \zeta^{s-1} \nu^{(\Delta v)^2} \, dx + s \int \zeta^{s-1} \nu^{(\Delta v)^2} \, dx,
\]

(2.2)
Proof. Multiplying both sides of (2.1) by $\zeta^s v^\mu$ and integrating by parts, we get

$$I_1 = \int v^\mu \zeta^s \left[ (|\nabla v|^{\lambda-1} v_{x_j})_{x_j} |\nabla v|^{\lambda-1} v_{x_i} \right]_{x_i}$$

$$= \int \zeta^s v^\mu (\Delta \lambda v)_{x_j} |\nabla v|^{\lambda-1} v_{x_j} + \int \zeta^s v^\mu \left( (|\nabla v|^{\lambda-1} v_{x_j})_{x_j} (|\nabla v|^{\lambda-1} v_{x_i}) \right)_{x_i}$$

$$= - \int \zeta^s v^\mu (\Delta \lambda v)^2 - \mu \int \zeta^s v^{\mu-1} \Delta \lambda v |\nabla v|^{\lambda+1} - s \int \zeta^s v^{\mu-1} \Delta \lambda v |\nabla v|^{\lambda-1} v_{x_i} \zeta_{x_i}$$

Using the algebraic inequality (see, for instance, [15, 16], [35])

$$\left( |\nabla v|^{\lambda-1} v_{x_i} \right)_{x_j} \left( |\nabla v|^{\lambda-1} v_{x_j} \right)_{x_i} \geq \frac{1}{N} (\Delta \lambda v)^2,$$

we obtain

$$I_1 \geq - \frac{N-1}{N} \int \zeta^s v^\mu (\Delta \lambda v)^2 - \mu \int \zeta^s v^{\mu-1} \Delta \lambda v |\nabla v|^{\lambda+1}$$

$$- s \int \zeta^s v^{\mu-1} \Delta \lambda v |\nabla v|^{\lambda-1} v_{x_i} \zeta_{x_i}.$$

On the other hand, integrating by parts twice, we have

$$I_1 = - \int (\zeta^s v^\mu)_{x_j} \left( (|\nabla v|^{\lambda-1} v_{x_j})_{x_j} |\nabla v|^{\lambda-1} v_{x_i} \right)_{x_j}$$

$$= \int (\zeta^s v^\mu)_{x_j} \left( (|\nabla v|^{\lambda-1} v_{x_j})_{x_j} |\nabla v|^{\lambda-1} v_{x_i} \right)_{x_j}$$

$$= \int \Delta \lambda v (\zeta^s v^\mu)_{x_j} |\nabla v|^{\lambda-1} v_{x_j} + \int (\zeta^s v^\mu)_{x_j,x_j} |\nabla v|^{\lambda-1} v_{x_j} |\nabla v|^{\lambda-1} v_{x_i}$$

$$= \mu \int \zeta^s v^{\mu-1} \Delta \lambda v |\nabla v|^{\lambda+1} + s \int \zeta^s v^{\mu-1} \Delta \lambda v |\nabla v|^{\lambda-1} v_{x_i} \zeta_{x_i},$$

$$+ \mu (\mu - 1) \int \zeta^s v^{\mu-2} |\nabla v|^{2(\lambda+1)}$$

$$+ 2 s \mu \int \zeta^s v^{\mu-1} |\nabla v|^{\lambda+1} |\nabla v|^{\lambda-1} v_{x_j} \zeta_{x_j}$$

$$+ s \int \zeta^s v^{\mu-1} |\nabla v|^{\lambda-1} v_{x_j} |\nabla v|^{\lambda-1} v_{x_i} v_{x_j}$$

$$+ \mu \int \zeta^s v^{\mu-1} |\nabla v|^{\lambda-1} v_{x_j} |\nabla v|^{\lambda-1} v_{x_i} v_{x_j}$$

$$= \mu I_2 + s I_3 + \mu (\mu - 1) I_4 + 2 s \mu I_5 + s I_6 + \mu I_7.$$

We have

$$I_7 = \frac{1}{2} \int \zeta^s v^{\mu-1} |\nabla v|^{\lambda-1} v_{x_i} |\nabla v|^{\lambda-1} (|\nabla v|^2)_{x_i}$$

$$= - \frac{1}{2} I_2 - \frac{1}{2} (\mu - 1) I_4 - \frac{\lambda - 1}{2} I_7 - s I_5.$$
Thus
\[ I_7 = -\frac{1}{\lambda + 1} I_2 - \frac{\mu - 1}{\lambda + 1} I_4 - \frac{2s}{\lambda + 1} I_5 \]
and (2.3) implies
\[ (2.5) \quad I_1 = \frac{\mu \lambda}{\lambda + 1} I_2 + \frac{\mu(\mu - 1)\lambda}{\lambda + 1} I_4 + \frac{2s\mu \lambda}{\lambda + 1} I_5 + sI_3 + I_6. \]
Now combining (2.3) with (2.5), we derive
\[ \begin{align*}
- \left( \frac{\mu(2\lambda + 1)}{\lambda + 1} I_2 + \frac{\mu(\mu - 1)\lambda}{\lambda + 1} I_4 \right) \\
&\leq \frac{N - 1}{N} \int \xi^s v^\mu (\Delta \lambda v)^2 + 2sI_3 + 2s\mu \lambda I_5 + sI_6 + \mu I_7.
\end{align*} \]
Lemma 2.1 is proved.

Denote
\[ \begin{align*}
a &= -\frac{2d \{ N(d(\lambda - 1) - 2\lambda) + (1 - \beta)(\lambda + 1) \} + (\lambda + 1)(N - 1)((1 - \beta)^2 + d^2)}{4N(\lambda + 1)}, \\
b &= \frac{d(N + \lambda + 1)}{N(\lambda + 1)} - p\frac{N - 1}{N}.
\end{align*} \]

Lemma 2.2. Assume that \( a > 0 \) and \( b > 0 \). Then for a sufficiently small \( \varepsilon > 0 \) there holds
\[ \begin{align*}
(\varepsilon - 5\varepsilon) \int \xi^s u^{1-\beta} |\nabla u|^{2(\lambda + 1)} + (b - \varepsilon) \int \xi^s u^p - \beta |\nabla u|^{\lambda + 1}
\end{align*} \]
\[ (2.6) \leq C(\varepsilon) \left( \int \xi^s |\nabla \xi|^{\lambda + 1} u^{\beta - 1} u^2 + \int \xi^{s-2}\xi^2 u^{1+\beta} \\
+ \int \xi^{s-2(\lambda + 1)} |\nabla \xi|^{2(\lambda + 1)} u^{1-\beta + 2\lambda} + \int \xi^{s-\lambda - 1} |\nabla \xi|^{\lambda + 1} u^{p+1+\lambda - \beta} \right),
\]
where integrals are taken over \( G \times (t_1, t_2) \) with \( 0 < t_1 < t_2 < T \) and \( \xi(x, t) \) is a smooth cutoff function of \( G \times (t_1, t_2) \).

Proof. In (2.2), set \( v = u^\alpha \) with some \( \alpha \in \mathbb{R} \). Then
\[ \begin{align*}
I_2 &= \alpha^{2\lambda + 1}((\alpha - 1)I_8 + I_9), \\
I_4 &= \alpha^{2\lambda + 1}I_8, \\
\int \xi^s v^\mu (\Delta \lambda v)^2 &= \alpha^{2\lambda}((\alpha - 1)^2\lambda^2 I_8 + 2(\alpha - 1)\lambda I_9 + I_{10}).
\end{align*} \]
Here
\[ \begin{align*}
I_8 &= \int \xi^s u^{h-2} |\nabla u|^{2(\lambda + 1)}, \\
I_9 &= \int \xi^s u^{h-1} \Delta \lambda u |\nabla u|^{\lambda + 1}, \\
I_{10} &= \int \xi^s u^h (\Delta \lambda u)^2 \\
h &= 2(\alpha - 1)\lambda + \alpha \mu.
\end{align*} \]
Therefore, (2.2) implies that

\[(2.7) \quad - C_1 I_8 - C_2 I_9 \leq \frac{N - 1}{N} I_{10} + 2 s I_{11} + 2 s \lambda \left(\alpha - 1 + \frac{\mu \alpha}{\lambda + 1}\right) I_{12} + I_{13}. \]

Here

\[I_{11} = \int \zeta^{s-1} u^h \Delta \lambda u \left|\nabla u\right|^\lambda u_{x_i} \zeta_{x_i},\]
\[I_{12} = \int \zeta^{s-1} u^{h-1} \left|\nabla u\right|^\lambda + 1 \left|\nabla u\right|^\lambda u_{x_i} \zeta_{x_i},\]
\[I_{13} = \int u^h \left|\nabla u\right|^\lambda u_{x_i} \zeta^{s-1} u_{x_i} \zeta_{x_i}.\]

Then replacing \( \zeta \) by \( \xi \) and integrating (2.7) from \( t_1 \) to \( t_2 \), we get with \( d = \alpha \mu \)

\[(2.8) \quad - C_3 J_8 - C_4 J_9 \leq \frac{N - 1}{N} J_{10} + 2 s J_{11} + 2 s \lambda \left(\alpha - 1 + \frac{d}{\lambda + 1}\right) J_{12} + s J_{13}, \]

where

\[J_1 = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} I_i(t) \, dt,\]
\[C_3 = \frac{2 d [N(d(\lambda - 1) - 2 \lambda) + h(\lambda + 1)] + (\lambda + 1)(N - 1)(h^2 + d^2)}{4N(\lambda + 1)},\]
\[C_4 = \frac{h(N - 1)(\lambda + 1) + d(N + \lambda + 1)}{N(\lambda + 1)}.\]

By (1.1) we have

\[J_{10} = \iint \xi^s u^h (\Delta \lambda u)^2 = \iint \xi^s u^h \Delta \lambda u (u_{i}^\beta - u^p) \]
\[= \iint \xi^s u^h u_{i}^\beta \Delta \lambda u - \iint \xi^s u^{h+p} \Delta \lambda u. \]

Integrating by parts, we obtain

\[\iint \xi^s u^{h+p} \Delta \lambda u = (h + p) \iint \xi^s u^{h+p-1} |\nabla u|^\lambda + 1 \]
\[+ s \iint \xi^{s-1} u^{h+p} |\nabla u|^\lambda u_{x_i} \xi_{x_i} \]
\[= (h + p) J_{14} + s J_{15}, \]

(2.10)
\[
\int\int \xi^s u^h u_t^β \Delta_\lambda u = \beta \int\int \xi^s u^{h+β-1} u_t \Delta_\lambda u \\
= -\frac{β}{λ+1} \int\int \xi^s u^{h+β-1} (|\nabla u|^{λ+1})_t \\
- β(h + β - 1) \int\int \xi^s u^{h+β-2} |\nabla u|^{λ+1} u_t \\
- βs \int\int \xi^{s-1} u^{h+β-1} |\nabla u|^{λ-1} u_x, ξ_x, u_t \\
(2.11)
\]

Next, by (1.1) we have

\[
J_9 = \int\int \xi^s u^{h-1} \Delta_\lambda u |\nabla u|^{λ+1} \\
= \int\int \xi^s u^{h-1} (u_t^β - u_t^p) |\nabla u|^{λ+1} \\
- \int\int \xi^s u^{h+p-1} |\nabla u|^{λ+1} + \beta \int\int \xi^s u^{h+p-2} |\nabla u|^{λ+1} u_t \\
= - J_{14} + βJ_{16},
\]

\[
J_{11} = \int\int \xi^{s-1} u^{h} \Delta_\lambda u |\nabla u|^{λ-1} u_x, ξ_x \\
= \int\int \xi^{s-1} u^{h} (u_t^β - u_t^p) |\nabla u|^{λ-1} u_x, ξ_x, \\
- \int\int \xi^{s-1} u^{p+h} |\nabla u|^{λ-1} u_x, ξ_x, \\
+ β \int\int \xi^{s-1} u^{h+β-1} u_t |\nabla u|^{λ-1} u_x, ξ_x = - J_{15} + βJ_{18}.
\]
Denote $E = J_8$, $F = J_{14}$. Then combining (2.9)–(2.13), from (2.8) we get

$$-C_3E + (C_4 - \frac{N-1}{N}(p+h))F$$

\begin{equation}
\leq -s \frac{N+1}{N} J_{15} + \beta(C_4 - \frac{(N-1)(h+\beta-1)\lambda}{N(\lambda+1)}) J_{16}
\end{equation}

$$- (N-1)\beta s J_{17} + \beta s \frac{N+1}{N} J_{18} + 2s\lambda(\alpha - 1 + d/(\lambda+1)) J_{12}.$$

Let $d$ and $h$ be chosen as follows

$$C_3 < 0, \quad C_4 - \frac{N-1}{N}(p+h) > 0.$$

Applying the Young inequality, we get

$$|J_{15}| = \left| \int \xi^{s-1}u^{p+h}|\nabla u|^\lambda u_{xi} \xi_i \right|$$

\begin{equation}
\leq \varepsilon F + C(\varepsilon) \int \xi^{s-\lambda-1}u^{p+h+\lambda}|\nabla \xi|^{\lambda+1},
\end{equation}

$$|J_{16}| = \left| \int \xi^s u^{h+\beta-2}|\nabla u|^{\lambda+1} u_t \right|$$

\begin{equation}
\leq \varepsilon E + C(\varepsilon) \int \xi^s u^{h+2\beta-2}|\nabla \xi|^{\lambda+1} u_t^2,
\end{equation}

$$|J_{17}| = \left| \int \xi^{s-1}u_t^{h+\beta-1}|\nabla u|^{\lambda+1} \right|$$

\begin{equation}
\leq \varepsilon E + C(\varepsilon) \int \xi^{s-2}\xi_t u^{h+2\beta},
\end{equation}

$$|J_{18}| = \left| \int \xi^{s-1}u^{h+\beta-1}|\nabla u|^{\lambda-1} u_{xi} \xi_x \right|$$

\begin{equation}
\leq \varepsilon \int \xi^{s-2}u^{h}|\nabla u|^2|\nabla \xi|^2 + C(\varepsilon) \int \xi^s u^{h+2\beta-2} u_t^2
\end{equation}

$$\leq \varepsilon E + C(\varepsilon) \int \xi^s u^{h+2\beta-2} u_t^2

\quad + C(\varepsilon) \int \xi^{s-2(\lambda+1)} u^{h+2\lambda} |\nabla \xi|^{2(\lambda+1)}.$$

$$|J_{19}| = \left| \int \xi^{s-1}u^{h-1}|\nabla u|^{2\lambda} u_{xi} \xi_x \right|$$

\begin{equation}
\leq \varepsilon E + C(\varepsilon) \int \xi^{s-2(\lambda+1)} u^{h+2\lambda} |\nabla \xi|^{2(\lambda+1)}.
\end{equation}

Now we choose $h = 1 - \beta$. Then (2.15) holds true if $a$ and $b$ are positive which is the case. Lemma 2.2 is proved. \square
Notice that assumptions \( a > 0 \) and \( b > 0 \) are equivalent to
\[
d^2 - 2d \frac{N\delta + N - 1 + \beta}{2N\delta + N - 1} + \frac{(N - 1)(1 - \beta)^2}{2N\delta + N - 1} < 0,
\]
\[
d > \frac{p(\lambda + 1)(N - 1)}{N + \lambda + 1}.
\]
The first of these inequalities is satisfied if
\[
\frac{N\beta}{2N\delta + N - 1}(1 + \delta_1 + \theta - \sqrt{A}) < d < \frac{N\beta}{2N\delta + N - 1}(1 + \delta_1 + \theta + \sqrt{A}).
\]
Therefore, both inequalities hold if \( p < p_0(\beta, \lambda, N) \) which coincides with our assumption.

Next we need to bound the integral
\[
J_{19} = \iint \xi^s u^{\beta - 1} u_t^2.
\]

**Lemma 2.3.** The following inequality holds true
\[
J_{19} \leq 4\varepsilon E + C(\varepsilon) \int \int \xi^{s-2(\lambda+1)} u^{2\lambda+1-\beta} |\nabla \xi|^{\beta(\lambda+1)}
\]
\[
+ C(\varepsilon) \int \int \xi^{s-2} u^{1+\beta} \xi_t^2 + C(\varepsilon) \int \int \xi^{s-1} u^{p+1} |\xi_t|.
\]

**Proof.** Multiply both sides of (1.1) by \( u_t \xi^s \) and integrate by parts to get
\[
\beta J_{19} = - \frac{1}{\lambda + 1} \int \int \xi^s (|\nabla u|^{\beta+1})_t + \frac{1}{p + 1} \int \int \xi^s (u^{p+1})_t
\]
\[
- s \int \int \xi^{s-1} |\nabla u|^{\beta-1} u_t u_x, \xi_x.
\]
The right-hand side is equal to
\[
\frac{s}{\lambda + 1} \int \int \xi^{s-1} \xi_t |\nabla u|^{\beta+1} - \frac{s}{p + 1} \int \int \xi^{s-1} \xi_t u^{p+1} - s \int \int \xi^{s-1} |\nabla u|^{\beta-1} u_t u_x, \xi_x.
\]
By Young’s inequality we have
\[
\frac{s}{\lambda + 1} \int \int \xi^{s-1} \xi_t |\nabla u|^{\beta+1} \leq \varepsilon E + C(\varepsilon) \int \int \xi^{s-2} u^{1+\beta} \xi_t^2,
\]
\[
s \int \int \xi^{s-1} |\nabla u|^{\beta-1} u_t u_x, \xi_x, \leq \frac{1}{2} J_{19} + \frac{1}{2} \int \int u^{1-\beta} \xi^{s-2} |\nabla \xi| \xi^2 |\nabla u|^{\lambda}
\]
\[
\leq \frac{1}{2} J_{19} + \varepsilon E + C(\varepsilon) \int \int \xi^{s-2(\lambda+1)} u^{2\lambda+1-\beta} |\nabla \xi|^{\beta(\lambda+1)}.
\]
Therefore, from (2.22) we arrive at the desired result.
We continue the proof of Theorem 1.1. From Lemma 2.2 and (2.15)-(2.21), one gets
\begin{equation}
(a - 9\varepsilon)E + (b - 2\varepsilon)F \leq \gamma(\varepsilon) \int \int \xi^{s-\lambda-1}u^{p+\lambda+1-\beta} |\nabla \xi|^{\lambda+1} \\
+ \gamma(\varepsilon) \int \int \xi^{s-2(\lambda+1)}u^{2\lambda+1-\beta} |\nabla \xi|^{2(\lambda+1)} \\
+ \gamma(\varepsilon) \int \int \xi^{s-2}u^{1+\beta} \xi_t^2 + \int \int \xi^{s-1}u^{p+1} |\xi_t|.
\end{equation}
\tag{2.23}

Denote
\begin{align*}
M_1 &= \int \int \xi^{s-(\lambda+1){2p+1-\beta}} |\nabla \xi|^{(\lambda+1){2p+1-\beta}}, \\
M_2 &= \int \int \xi^{s-{2p+1-\beta}} |\xi_t|^{2p+1-\beta}.
\end{align*}

Applying the Young inequality, we have
\begin{align*}
\int \int \xi^{s-\lambda-1}u^{p+\lambda+1-\beta} |\nabla \xi|^{\lambda+1} &\leq \frac{p + \lambda + 1 - \beta}{2p + 1 - \beta} L + \frac{p - \lambda}{2p + 1 - \beta} M_1, \\
\int \int \xi^{s-2(\lambda+1)}u^{2\lambda+1-\beta} |\nabla \xi|^{2(\lambda+1)} &\leq \frac{2\lambda + 1 - \beta}{2p + 1 - \beta} L + \frac{2(p - \lambda)}{2p + 1 - \beta} M_1, \\
\int \int \xi^{s-2}u^{1+\beta} \xi_t^2 &\leq \frac{1 + \beta}{2p + 1 - \beta} L + \frac{2(p - \beta)}{2p + 1 - \beta} M_2, \\
\int \int \xi^{s-1}u^{p+1} |\xi_t| &\leq \frac{p + 1}{2p + 1 - \beta} L + \frac{p - \beta}{2p + 1 - \beta} M_2,
\end{align*}
\tag{2.24}

where
\begin{equation*}
L = \int \int \xi^{s}u^{2p+1-\beta}.
\end{equation*}

In order to estimate the last integral we multiply both sides of (1.1) by \(u^{p+1-\beta}\xi^s\) and integrate by parts, apply also Young’s inequality to get
\begin{align*}
L &= \frac{\beta}{\lambda + 1} \int \int \xi^s(u^{p+1}) + (p + 1 - \beta)F + s \int \int \xi^{s-1}u^{p+1-\beta} |\nabla u|^{\lambda-1} u_x \xi_t \\
&\leq \frac{s\beta}{p + 1} \int \int \xi^{s-1} |\xi_t| u^{p+1} + (p + 1 - \beta + \frac{s(\lambda+1)/\lambda}{\lambda + 1}) F \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{\lambda + 1} \int \int \xi^{s-\lambda-1}u^{p+\lambda+1-\beta} |\nabla \xi|^{\lambda+1} \\
&\leq \frac{s\beta}{p + 1} \varepsilon_1 L + \left( p + 1 - \beta + \frac{s(\lambda+1)/\lambda}{\lambda + 1} \right) F \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{\lambda + 1} \varepsilon_1 L + \left( \frac{s\beta}{p + 1} + \frac{1}{\lambda + 1} \right) C(\varepsilon_1)(M_1 + M_2). 
\end{align*}
Therefore for a sufficiently small $\varepsilon_1$, we get
\[
L \leq \gamma(p, \beta, \lambda)(F + M_1 + M_2)
\]
and together with (2.23) and (2.24) with a suitable $\varepsilon$ this gives
\[
(2.25) \quad L + F \leq \gamma(M_1 + M_2).
\]
Let $G = B_R(x_0)$ for any fixed $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^N$, $t_1 = T_1$, $t_2 = t$ and for $0 < T_1 < T_2 < t$, $\xi$ is so that $|\nabla \xi| \leq c R^{-1}$, $|\xi_r| \leq c(T_2 - T_1)^{-1}$ for $0 < T_1 < \tau < t < T$ and any $R > 0$. Then
\[
(2.26) \quad M_1 + M_2 \leq c R^N \left( (T_2 - T_1) R^{-\frac{(\lambda+1)(2p+1-\beta)}{p-\lambda}} + (T_2 - T_1)^{\frac{2p+1-\beta}{p-\lambda}} \right).
\]
We have
\[
\sup_{T_1 < \tau < t} \int_{B_R(x_0)} u^{p+1} \, dx \leq c(L + F).
\]
Indeed, this follows from Lemma 2.3, (2.24) and (2.25) observing that
\[
\int_{B_R(x_0)} \xi^s(x, \tau) u^{p+1} \, dx = (p+1) \int_{T_1}^{\tau} \int_{B_R(x_0)} \xi^s u^p u_t + s \int_{T_1}^{\tau} \int_{B_R(x_0)} \xi^{s-1} u_t u^{p+1} \, dx \leq (p+1) \left( \int_{T_1}^{\tau} \int_{B_R(x_0)} \xi^s u^{p+1} \, dx \right)^{1/2} + s \int_{T_1}^{\tau} \int_{B_R(x_0)} \xi^{s-1} \, |\xi| \, u^{p+1} \, dx.
\]
Therefore from (2.25) and (2.26), we have
\[
(2.27) \quad \sup_{T_1 < \tau < t} \int_{B_R(x_0)} u^{p+1} \, dx \leq c R^N \left( (T_2 - T_1) R^{-\frac{(\lambda+1)(2p+1-\beta)}{p-\lambda}} + (T_2 - T_1)^{\frac{2p+1-\beta}{p-\lambda}} \right).
\]
Now we are in a position to complete the proof of Theorem 1.1. The final step of the proof is to utilize the local estimate of Lemma 3.3 from \cite{8} which we write in the suitable form
\[
\|u\|_{\infty, B_R(x_0) \times (T_2, t)} \leq (T_2 - T_1)^{-1/(p-\beta)} + (R/2)^{-\frac{(\lambda+1)}{(p-\lambda)}}
\]
\[
+ c(t - T_1)^{1/(\omega-p)} \left( \sup_{T_1 < \tau < t} \int_{B_R(x_0)} u^{p+1} \, dx \right)^{\mu/(\omega-p)}
\]
\[
(2.28) \quad \text{for all } 0 < T_1 < T_2 < t < T \text{ provided } p < p_S \text{ and } \omega > p + 1 \text{ is a free parameter,}
\]
\[
\mu = \frac{(\lambda + 1)(\omega - p - 1)\beta + p}{(p+1)\beta(\lambda+1) - (p-\lambda)N}.
\]
Finally, in (2.27) and (2.28) choosing $T_2 = t - (T - t)/2$, $T_1 = t - (T - t)$,
$R = (T - t)/(p - 2)/(p + 1)/(p - 2)$ with $t \in (T/2, T)$ and noting that $x_0$ is an arbitrary
point of $\mathbb{R}^N$, we arrive at the desired result.

The proof of Theorem 1.1 is complete.
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