

ON BANACH AND KANNAN TYPE RESULTS IN CONE $b_v(s)$ -METRIC SPACES OVER BANACH ALGEBRA

Z. D. MITROVIĆ, H. AYDI AND S. RADENOVIĆ

ABSTRACT. In this paper, the concept of a cone $b_v(s)$ -metric space over Banach algebra is introduced as a generalization of metric spaces, rectangular metric spaces, b -metric spaces, rectangular b -metric spaces, v -generalized metric spaces, cone b -metric spaces over Banach algebra and rectangular cone b -metric spaces over Banach algebra. We also give Banach and Kannan fixed point results in cone $b_v(s)$ -metric spaces over Banach algebra. Some examples are provided as well.

1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

Bakhtin [5] and Czerwik [8] introduced b -metric spaces (a generalization of metric spaces) and proved the Banach contraction principle in this framework. In the last period, many authors obtained fixed point results for single-valued or set-valued functions in the setting of b -metric spaces, see [2, 3, 4, 18].

In a b -metric space (X, d) with coefficient $s \geq 1$, the modified triangular inequality is

$$d(x, z) \leq s[d(x, y) + d(y, z)]$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$. In 2000, Branciari [6] introduced the concept of rectangular metric spaces (in short RMS) by replacing the sum on the right hand-side of the triangular inequality in the definition of a metric space by a three-term expression and proved an analogue of the Banach Contraction Principle on such spaces. Here, $d(x, y) \leq d(x, u) + d(u, v) + d(v, y)$ for all $x, y \in X$ and all distinct points $u, v \in X \setminus \{x, y\}$. In [11], George et al. introduced the concept of a rectangular b -metric space, generalizing the concept of rectangular metric spaces and b -metric spaces. Here, there exists a real number $s \geq 1$ such that $d(x, y) \leq s[d(x, u) + d(u, v) + d(v, y)]$ for all $x, y \in X$ and all distinct points $u, v \in X \setminus \{x, y\}$. Note that a rectangular b -metric space is not necessarily Hausdorff. Moreover, the analogue of Banach contraction principle on rectangular b -metric spaces was provided by Mitrović [21]. Branciari [6] also introduced the following concept.

Received October 21, 2018; revised November 16, 2018.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 47H10; Secondary 55M20.

Key words and phrases. Fixed points; b -metric space; rectangular metric space; rectangular b -metric space; rectangular cone b -metric space over Banach algebra.

Definition 1.1 ([6]). Let X be a set, d be a function from $X \times X$ into $[0, \infty)$, and $v \in \mathbb{N}$. Then (X, d) is said to be a v -generalized metric space if the following hold:

- (N1) $d(x, y) = 0$ if and only if $x = y$,
- (N2) $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$ for all $x, y \in X$,
- (N3) $d(x, y) \leq d(x, u_1) + d(u_1, u_2) + \cdots + d(u_v, y)$ for all $x, u_1, u_2, \dots, u_v, y \in X$ such that $x, u_1, u_2, \dots, u_v, y$ are all different.

Recently, Suzuki et al. [26] gave the analogue of the Banach contraction principle in v -generalized metric spaces. Very recently, Mitrović and Radenović [22] introduced the notion of $b_v(s)$ -metric spaces and established some fixed point theorems in such spaces.

Definition 1.2 ([22]). Let X be a non-empty set. Let d be a function from $X \times X$ into $[0, \infty)$ and $v \in \mathbb{N}$. Then (X, d) is said to be a $b_v(s)$ -metric space if for all $x, y \in X$ and for all distinct points $u_1, u_2, \dots, u_v \in X$, each of them different from x and y the following holds:

- (B1) $d(x, y) = 0$ if and only if $x = y$,
- (B2) $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$,
- (B3) there exists a real number $s \geq 1$ such that

$$d(x, y) \leq s[d(x, u_1) + d(u_1, u_2) + \cdots + d(u_v, y)].$$

Note that

- a $b_1(1)$ -metric space is an usual metric space,
- a $b_1(s)$ -metric space is a b -metric space with coefficient s of Bakhtin and Czerwik,
- a $b_2(1)$ -metric space is a rectangular metric space,
- a $b_2(s)$ -metric space is a rectangular b -metric space with coefficient s of George et al.,
- a $b_v(1)$ -metric space is a v -generalized metric space of Branciari.

On the other hand, by replacing the set of real numbers which forms the domain of distance functions with a complete normed space, Huang and Zang [15] obtained cone metric spaces. Further, some generalizations of cone metric spaces, also appeared in literature. Recently, Liu and Xu [19] reported the concept of cone metric spaces over Banach algebra and proved the contraction principle in such spaces. The concept defined by Liu and Xu [19] was further generalized by Huang and Radenović [13, 14] by introduction of cone b -metric spaces over Banach algebra. This concept was again generalized by George et al. [10] by introduction of rectangular cone b -metric spaces over Banach algebra.

In the following, we always suppose that \mathcal{A} is a Banach algebra with a unit e , P is a solid cone in \mathcal{A} , and \preceq is a partial ordering with respect to P .

Definition 1.3 ([27]). Let P be a solid cone in a Banach algebra \mathcal{A} . A sequence $\{x_n\} \subset P$ is said to be a c -sequence if for each $c \gg \theta$, there exists a natural number n_0 such that $x_n \ll c$ for all $n \geq n_0$.

Lemma 1.4 ([13]). *Let $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ be two c -sequences in a solid cone P . If $a, b \in P$ are two given vectors, then $\{ax_n + by_n\}$ is also a c -sequence.*

Lemma 1.5 ([24]). *Let \mathcal{A} be a Banach algebra with a unit e and $k \in \mathcal{A}$, then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|k^n\|^{\frac{1}{n}}$ exists and the spectral radius $r(k)$ satisfies*

$$r(k) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|k^n\|^{\frac{1}{n}} = \inf_{n \geq 1} \|k^n\|^{\frac{1}{n}}.$$

If $r(k) < |\lambda|$, then $\lambda e - k$ is invertible in \mathcal{A} . Moreover,

$$(\lambda e - k)^{-1} = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{k^i}{\lambda^{i+1}},$$

where λ is a constant.

Lemma 1.6 ([25]). *Let $P \subset \mathcal{A}$ be a cone.*

- (a) *If $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$, $c \in P$ and $a \preceq b$, then $ca \preceq cb$.*
- (b) *If $a, k \in P$ are such that $r(k) < 1$, and $a \preceq ka$, then $a = \theta$.*
- (c) *If $k \in P$ and $r(k) < 1$, then for any fixed $m \in \mathbb{N}$ we have $r(k^m) < 1$.*

Lemma 1.7 ([16]). *If $u \preceq v$ and $v \ll w$, then $u \ll w$.*

Lemma 1.8 ([13]). *Let \mathcal{A} be a Banach algebra with a unit e . Let $k \in \mathcal{A}$ and $r(k) < 1$. Then $\{k^n\}$ is a c -sequence.*

Lemma 1.9 ([24]). *Let \mathcal{A} be a Banach algebra with a unit e and $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$. If a commutes with b , then*

$$r(a + b) \leq r(a) + r(b), \quad r(ab) \leq r(a)r(b).$$

Lemma 1.10 ([14]). *Let \mathcal{A} be a Banach algebra with a unit e and $k \in \mathcal{A}$. If λ is a constant and $r(k) < |\lambda|$, then*

$$r((\lambda e - k)^{-1}) \leq \frac{1}{|\lambda| - r(k)}.$$

The concept defined by Liu and Xu [19] was further generalized by Huang and Radenović [13, 14] by introduction of cone b -metric spaces over Banach algebra.

Definition 1.11 ([14]). *Let X be a nonempty set and $s \in P$ with $e \preceq s$. Suppose that the mapping $d: X \times X \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ satisfies:*

- (i) $\theta \prec d(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in X$, with $x \neq y$, and $d(x, y) = \theta$ if and only if $x = y$,
- (ii) $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$ for all $x, y \in X$,
- (iii) $d(x, y) \preceq s[d(x, z) + d(z, y)]$ for all $x, y, z \in X$. Then d is called a cone b -metric on X and (X, d) is called a cone b -metric space over Banach algebra \mathcal{A} .

In [12], the following theorem was proved.

Theorem 1.12 ([12]). *Let (X, d) be a b -complete cone b -metric space over Banach algebra with $s \in P$ and $e \preceq s$. Suppose that $T: X \rightarrow X$ is a mapping such that for all $x, y \in X$,*

$$d(Tx, Ty) \preceq kd(x, y),$$

where $k \in P$ is a generalized Lipschitz constant with $r(k) < 1$. Then T has a unique fixed point in X .

The rectangular cone b -metric space over Banach algebra was introduced by George et al. [10] as a generalization of a metric space and many of its generalizations (b -metric space, rectangular metric space, rectangular b -metric space, cone metric space, rectangular cone metric space, cone b -metric space over Banach algebra).

Definition 1.13 ([10]). Let X be a nonempty set. Let $d: X \times X \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ satisfies

- (RCbM1) $d(x, y) = \theta$ if and only if $x = y$,
- (RCbM2) $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$ for all $x, y \in X$,
- (RCbM3) there exists $s \in P$ with $e \preceq s$ such that

$$d(x, y) \preceq s[d(x, u) + d(u, v) + d(v, y)]$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and all distinct points $u, v \in X \setminus \{x, y\}$.

Then d is called a rectangular cone b -metric on X and (X, d) is called a rectangular cone b -metric space over Banach algebra (in short, RCbMS-BA) with coefficient s . If $s = e$, we say that (X, d) is a rectangular cone metric space over Banach algebra (in short, RCMS-BA).

Theorem 1.14 ([10]). Let (X, d) be a complete RCbMS-BA over \mathcal{A} with $s \in P$ such that $e \preceq s$. Given $T: X \rightarrow X$. If there exist $\lambda \in P$ and $r(\lambda) < 1$ such that

$$d(Tx, Ty) \preceq \lambda d(x, y)$$

for all $x, y \in X$, then T has a unique fixed point.

Remark 1.15. Note that in Theorem 3.5. in the paper [10], there is a printing error. Namely, instead of $\theta \preceq s$, there must be condition $s \geq e$.

In this paper, we initiate the concept of cone $b_v(s)$ -metric spaces over Banach algebra. We also provide some related fixed point results for Banach and Kannan contractions types.

2. MAIN RESULTS

The concept of cone $b_v(s)$ -metric spaces over Banach algebra is given as follows.

Definition 2.1. Let X be a nonempty set. Let $d: X \times X \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ satisfies

- (Cb_v1) $\theta \preceq d(x, y)$ and $d(x, y) = \theta$ if and only if $x = y$,
- (Cb_v2) $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$ for all $x, y \in X$,
- (Cb_v3) there exists $s \in P$ with $e \preceq s$ such that

$$d(x, y) \preceq s[d(x, u_1) + d(u_1, u_2) + \cdots + d(u_v, y)]$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and all distinct elements $u_1, u_2, \dots, u_v \in X \setminus \{x, y\}$.

Then (X, d) is called a cone $b_v(s)$ -metric space over Banach algebra with coefficient s such that $s \in P$ and $e \preceq s$. In the case that $s = e$, we say that (X, d) is a Branciari cone metric space over Banach algebra.

Definitions of convergent sequence, Cauchy sequence and completeness in cone $b_v(s)$ -metric space over Banach algebra go on the same line as those one of cone b -metric spaces over Banach algebra given in the papers [13, 14] of Huang and Radenović.

Definition 2.2. Let (X, d) be a cone $b_v(s)$ -metric space over Banach algebra \mathcal{A} , $x \in X$, and $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in X . Then

- (i) $\{x_n\}$ converges to x whenever for every $c \gg \theta$, there is a natural number N such that $d(x_n, x) \ll c$ for all $n \geq N$,
- (ii) $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence whenever for every $c \gg \theta$, there is a natural number N such that $d(x_n, x_m) \ll c$ for all $n, m \geq N$,
- (iii) (X, d) is complete if every Cauchy sequence is convergent.

Our first main result is the analogue of the Banach contraction principle on cone $b_v(s)$ -metric spaces over Banach algebra.

Theorem 2.3. Let (X, d) be a complete cone $b_v(s)$ -metric space over Banach algebra \mathcal{A} . Let $k \in P$ be such that k commutes with s and $r(k) < 1$. Let $T: X \rightarrow X$ be such that

$$(2.1) \quad d(Tx, Ty) \preceq kd(x, y)$$

for all $x, y \in X$, then T has a unique fixed point.

Proof. Let $x_0 \in X$ be arbitrary and $x_n = Tx_{n-1} = T^n x_0$. From (2.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_{m+p}, x_{n+p}) &= d(Tx_{m+p-1}, Tx_{n+p-1}) \\ &\preceq kd(x_{m+p-1}, x_{n+p-1}) \preceq k^2 d(x_{m+p-2}, x_{n+p-2}) \\ &\vdots \\ &\preceq k^p d(x_m, x_n). \end{aligned}$$

So,

$$(2.2) \quad d(x_{m+p}, x_{n+p}) \preceq k^p d(x_m, x_n)$$

for all $m, n, p \in \mathbb{N}$.

If $x_n = x_{n+1}$, then x_n is a fixed point of T and the proof holds. Now, suppose that $x_n \neq x_{n+1}$ for all $n \geq 0$. We will prove that $x_n \neq x_{n+p}$ for all $n \geq 0, p \geq 1$. Namely, if $x_n = x_{n+p}$ for some $n \geq 0$ and $p \geq 1$, we have $Tx_n = Tx_{n+p}$ and $x_{n+1} = x_{n+p+1}$. Then (2.1) implies that

$$d(x_{n+1}, x_n) = d(x_{n+p+1}, x_{n+p}) \preceq k^p d(x_{n+1}, x_n).$$

From Lemma 1.6, we obtain that $d(x_{n+1}, x_n) = \theta$, i.e., $x_{n+1} = x_n$ is a contradiction. Thus, we obtain that $x_n \neq x_m$ for all distinct $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$. Let us consider the following three cases:

- $v = 1$,
- $v = 2$,
- $v \geq 3$.

Let $v = 1$. Then (X, d) is a cone $b_1(s)$ -metric space over Banach algebra. From condition (Cb₁3), we have

$$(2.3) \quad d(x, y) \preceq s[d(x, u_1) + d(u_1, y)]$$

for all $x, y, u_1 \in X$. From (2.3), we obtain

$$(2.4) \quad d(x, y) \preceq s[d(x, u_1) + s(d(u_1, u_2) + d(u_2, y))]$$

for all $x, y, u_1, u_2 \in X$. Since $s \in P, e \preceq s$, from Lemma 1.6 (a), we have that $d(x, u_1) \preceq sd(x, u_1)$ and $sd(x, u_1) \preceq s^2d(x, u_1)$. So,

$$(2.5) \quad d(x, y) \preceq s^2[d(x, u_1) + d(u_1, u_2) + d(u_2, y)]$$

for all $x, y, u_1, u_2 \in X$. Since $r(k) < 1$, there exists $p_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$(2.6) \quad r^2(s)r^{p_1}(k) < 1.$$

We note that $r(s)$ exists because of Lemma 1.5. Using the inequality (2.5), we get

$$d(x_m, x_n) \preceq s^2[d(x_m, x_{m+p_1}) + d(x_{m+p_1}, x_{n+p_1}) + d(x_{n+p_1}, x_n)].$$

Using (2.2), from the above inequality, we get

$$d(x_m, x_n) \preceq s^2[k^m d(x_0, x_{p_1}) + k^{p_1} d(x_m, x_n) + k^n d(x_{p_1}, x_0)].$$

Therefore,

$$(e - s^2k^{p_1})d(x_m, x_n) \preceq s^2[k^m d(x_0, x_{p_1}) + k^n d(x_{p_1}, x_0)]$$

Since k commutes with s , by Lemma 1.9 and Lemma 1.10, we obtain that

$$r(s^2k^{p_1}) \preceq r^2(s)r^{p_1}(k) < 1$$

and $(e - s^2k^{p_1})$ is invertible. Therefore,

$$d(x_n, x_m) \preceq (e - s^2k^{p_1})^{-1}[k^m d(x_0, x_{p_1}) + k^n d(x_{p_1}, x_0)].$$

Now, Lemma 1.4 and Lemma 1.8 implicate that $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence.

Let $v = 2$. Then similar to the case $v = 1$, only in the inequality (2.5) instead of s^2 , we have s we get that $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence.

Let $v \geq 3$. Similar to earlier, since $r(k) < 1$, there exists $p_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$(2.7) \quad r(s)r(k)^{p_0} < 1.$$

From (2.2), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_m, x_{m+p_0}) &\preceq k^m d(x_0, x_{p_0}), \\ d(x_{m+p_0}, x_{n+p_0}) &\preceq k^{p_0} d(x_m, x_n), \\ d(x_{n+p_0}, x_{n+p_0+1}) &\preceq k^{n+p_0} d(x_0, x_1), \\ &\vdots \\ d(x_{n+p_0+v-3}, x_{n+p_0+v-2}) &\preceq k^{n+p_0+v-3} d(x_0, x_1), \\ d(x_{n+p_0+v-2}, x_n) &\preceq k^n d(x_{p_0+v-2}, x_1). \end{aligned}$$

So, we get

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_m, x_n) &\preceq s[d(x_m, x_{m+p_0}) + d(x_{m+p_0}, x_{n+p_0})] + d(x_{n+p_0}, x_{n+p_0+1}) \\ &\quad + d(x_{n+p_0+1}, x_{n+p_0+2}) + \cdots + d(x_{n+p_0+v-3}, x_{n+p_0+v-2}) \\ &\quad + d(x_{n+p_0+v-2}, x_n)] \\ &\preceq s[k^m d(x_0, x_{p_0}) + k^{p_0} d(x_m, x_n)] + k^{n+p_0} d(x_0, x_1) \\ &\quad + k^{n+p_0+1} d(x_0, x_1) + \cdots + k^{n+p_0+v-3} d(x_0, x_1) \\ &\quad + k^n d(x_{p_0+v-2}, x_0)]. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$(2.8) \quad (e - sk^{p_0})d(x_m, x_n) \preceq c_1 k^m + c_2 k^n,$$

where the elements c_1 and c_2 are given with $c_1 = sd(x_0, x_{p_0})$ and $c_2 = s[d(x_0, x_1) \times (k^{p_0} + \cdots + k^{p_0+v-3}) + d(x_{p_0+v-2}, x_0)]$. Since k commutes with s , by Lemma 1.9 and Lemma 1.10, we obtain that

$$r(sk^{p_0}) \leq r(s)r(k)^{p_0} < 1$$

and $(e - sk^{p_0})$ is invertible. Therefore, we conclude that

$$d(x_n, x_m) \preceq (e - sk^{p_0})^{-1}(c_1 k^m + c_2 k^n).$$

From Lemma 1.4 and Lemma 1.8, $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence.

Since X is complete, there exists $x^* \in X$ such that $\{x_n\}$ converges to x^* . Now, we obtain that x^* is the unique fixed point of T . Namely, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(x^*, Tx^*) &\preceq s[d(x^*, x_{n+1}) + d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) + d(x_{n+2}, x_{n+3}) + \cdots \\ &\quad + d(x_{n+v-2}, x_{n+v-1}) + d(x_{n+v-1}, x_{n+v}) + d(x_{n+v}, Tx^*)] \\ &\preceq s[d(x^*, x_{n+1}) + d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) + d(x_{n+2}, x_{n+3}) + \cdots \\ &\quad + d(x_{n+v-2}, x_{n+v-1}) + d(x_{n+v-1}, x_{n+v}) + d(Tx_{n+v-1}, Tx^*)] \\ &\preceq s[d(x^*, x_{n+1}) + d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) + d(x_{n+2}, x_{n+3}) + \cdots \\ &\quad + d(x_{n+v-2}, x_{n+v-1}) + d(x_{n+v-1}, x_{n+v}) + kd(x_{n+v-1}, x^*)]. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\{d(x^*, x_n)\}$ is a c-sequence and $d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \preceq k^n d(x_0, x_1)$, we also get that $\{d(x_n, x_{n+1})\}$ is a c-sequence. We deduce $d(x^*, Tx^*) = \theta$, i.e., $Tx^* = x^*$.

For uniqueness, let y^* be another fixed point of T . It follows from (2.1) that

$$d(x^*, y^*) = d(Tx^*, Ty^*) \preceq kd(x^*, y^*).$$

Using Lemma 1.6, we have $d(x^*, y^*) = \theta$, i.e., $x^* = y^*$. □

We present the following example illustrating Theorem 2.3.

Example 2.4. (The case of a non-normal cone) Let $\mathcal{A} = C_{\mathbb{R}}^1[0, 1]$ and $\|a\| = \|a\|_{\infty} + \|a'\|_{\infty}$ be its norm. Consider the usual pointwise multiplication as its multiplication. Clearly, \mathcal{A} is a Banach algebra with the unit $e(t) = 1$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$. Put $P = \{a \in \mathcal{A} : a = a(t) \geq 0, t \in [0, 1]\}$. Then P is a non-normal cone

(well-known). Let $X = A \cup B$, where $A = \{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{5}\}$ and $B = [1, 2]$. Define $d: X \times X \rightarrow P$ as

$$\begin{cases} d(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3})(t) = d(\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{5}), & (t) = 0.03t, \\ d(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{5})(t) = d(\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{3}), & (t) = 0.03t, \\ d(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{4})(t) = d(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{5}), & (t) = 0.6t, \\ d(x, y)(t) = |x - y|^2 t, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Clearly, (X, d) is a cone $b_2(4)$ -metric space over Banach algebra \mathcal{A} with a non-normal cone. But, (X, d) is neither a cone metric space, nor a rectangular cone metric space. Again, consider $T: X \rightarrow X$ as $Tx = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{4} & \text{if } x \in A, \\ \frac{1}{5} & \text{if } x \in B. \end{cases}$ Then T satisfies the conditions of Theorem 2.3 and has a unique fixed point, which is $x = \frac{1}{4}$.

Remark 2.5. 1. Theorem 2.3 improves [12, Theorem 2.1] of Huang, Radenović and Deng (see Theorem 1.12).

2. Theorem 2.3 improves the result of George et al. [10] (see Theorem 1.14).

3. Also, Theorem 2.3 improves the results of Mitrović and Radenović [22], Suzuki [26], and Mitrović [21].

Our second main result is a fixed point theorem of Kannan type [17].

Theorem 2.6. Let (X, d) be a complete cone $b_v(s)$ -metric space over Banach algebra \mathcal{A} and $T: X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping satisfying

$$(2.9) \quad d(Tx, Ty) \leq k[d(x, Tx) + d(y, Ty)]$$

for all $x, y \in X$, where $k \in P$ such that $r(k) < \frac{1}{2}$. Then T has a unique fixed point x^* and for any $x_0 \in X$ the sequence $\{T^n x_0\}$ converges to x^* if one of the following conditions is satisfied:

$$(i) \ r(sk) < 1, \quad (ii) \ r(s) < 2.$$

Proof. Let $x_0 \in X$ be arbitrary and $x_n = Tx_{n-1} = T^n x_0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_{n+1}, x_n) &= d(Tx_n, Tx_{n-1}) \preceq k[d(Tx_n, x_n) + d(Tx_{n-1}, x_{n-1})] \\ &\preceq kd(x_{n+1}, x_n) + kd(x_n, x_{n-1}). \end{aligned}$$

So,

$$(2.10) \quad (e - k)d(x_{n+1}, x_n) \preceq kd(x_n, x_{n-1}).$$

Since $r(k) < \frac{1}{2}$, by Lemma 1.5, we obtain that $(e - k)$ is invertible. Hence,

$$d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \preceq (e - k)^{-1}kd(x_{n-1}, x_n).$$

Let $h = (e - k)^{-1}k$. Since k commutes with $(e - k)^{-1}$, from Lemma 1.5 and Lemma 1.10, we have

$$(2.11) \quad r(h) = r(k(e - k)^{-1}) \leq r(k)r((e - k)^{-1}) \leq \frac{r(k)}{1 - r(k)} < 1.$$

Therefore,

$$(2.12) \quad d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \preceq h^n d(x_0, x_1).$$

Now, for $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ with $m > n$, from (2.12), we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_n, x_m) &\leq k[d(x_n, x_{n-1}) + d(x_m, x_{m-1})] \leq k[h^{n-1}d(x_1, x_0) + h^{m-1}d(x_1, x_0)] \\ &\leq k[h^{n-1} + h^{m-1}]d(x_1, x_0). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X using Lemma 1.4 and Lemma 1.8. By completeness of (X, d) , there exists $x^* \in X$ such that

$$(2.13) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x^*.$$

Now, we obtain that x^* is the unique fixed point of T .

Case 1: Let $r(sk) < 1$. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(x^*, Tx^*) &\leq s[d(x^*, x_{n+1}) + d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) + d(x_{n+2}, x_{n+3}) + \cdots \\ &\quad + d(x_{n+v-2}, x_{n+v-1}) + d(x_{n+v-1}, x_{n+v}) + d(x_{n+v}, Tx^*)] \\ &\leq s[d(x^*, x_{n+1}) + d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) + d(x_{n+2}, x_{n+3}) + \cdots \\ &\quad + d(x_{n+v-2}, x_{n+v-1}) + d(x_{n+v-1}, x_{n+v}) + d(Tx_{n+v-1}, Tx^*)] \\ &\leq s[d(x^*, x_{n+1}) + d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) + d(x_{n+2}, x_{n+3}) + \cdots \\ &\quad + d(x_{n+v-2}, x_{n+v-1}) + d(x_{n+v-1}, x_{n+v}) \\ &\quad + k(d(x_{n+v-1}, Tx_{n+v-1}) + d(x^*, Tx^*)]. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\{d(x^*, x_n)\}$ is a c-sequence and $d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq k^n d(x_0, x_1)$, we also get that $\{dx_n, x_{n+1}\}$ is a c-sequence, so we have $(e - sk)d(x^*, Tx^*) \leq u_n$, where $\{u_n\}$ is a c-sequence. Since $r(sk) < 1$, we obtain $d(x^*, Tx^*) \leq (e - sk)^{-1}u_n$. So, $Tx^* = x^*$.

Case 2: $r(s) < 2$. Clearly, condition (ii) implies condition (i).

For uniqueness, let y^* be another fixed point of T . It follows from (2.9) that $d(x^*, y^*) = d(Tx^*, Ty^*) \leq k[d(x^*, Tx^*) + d(y^*, Ty^*)] = \theta$. Therefore, we must have $d(x^*, y^*) = \theta$, i.e., $x^* = y^*$. \square

REFERENCES

1. Aleksić S., Mitrović Z. D. and Radenović S., *A fixed point theorem of Jungck in $b_v(s)$ -metric spaces*, Period. Math. Hungar. **77**(2) (2018), 224–231.
2. Ansari A. H., Barakat M. A. and Aydi H., *New approach for common fixed point theorems via C -class functions in G_p -metric spaces*, J. Funct. Spaces **2017** (2017), Art. ID 2624569, 9 pages.
3. Aydi H., Karapinar E., Bota M. F. and Mitrović S., *A fixed point theorem for set-valued quasi-contractions in b -metric spaces*, Fixed Point Theory Appl. **2012** (2012), 88.
4. Aydi H., Bota M. F., Karapinar E. and Moradi S., *A common fixed point for weak ϕ -contractions on b -metric spaces*, Fixed Point Theory **13**(2) (2012), 337–346.
5. Bakhtin I. A., *The contraction mapping principle in quasimetric spaces*, Funct. Anal., Ulianowsk Gos. Ped. Inst., **30** (1989), 26–37.
6. Branciari A., *A fixed point theorem of Banach-Caccioppoli type on a class of generalized metric spaces*, Publ. Math. Debrecen **57** (2000), 31–37.
7. Benavides T. D., Lorenzo J. and Gatica I., *Some generalizations of Kannan's fixed point theorem in K -metric spaces*, Fixed Point Theory **13**(1) (2012), 73–83.
8. Czerwik S., *Contraction mappings in b -metric spaces*, Acta Math. Inform. Univ. Ostrav. **1** (1993), 5–11.

9. Dung N. V. and Hang V. T. L., *On relaxations of contraction constants and Caristi's theorem in b -metric spaces*, J. Fixed Point Theory Appl. **18** (2016), 267–284.
10. George R., Nabwey H. A., Rajagopalan R., Radenović S. and Reshma K. P., *Rectangular cone b -metric space over Banach algebra and contraction principles*, Fixed Point Theory Appl. **2017** (2017), Art. 14.
11. George R., Radenović S., Reshma K. P. and Shukla S., *Rectangular b -metric space and contraction principles*, J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl. **8** (2015), 1005–1013.
12. Huang H., Radenović S. and Deng G., *A sharp generalization on cone b -metric space over Banach algebra*, J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl. **10** (2017), 429–435.
13. Huang H. P. and Radenović S., *Some fixed point results of generalised Lipchitz mappings on cone b -metric spaces over Banach algebras*, J. Comput. Anal. Appl. **20** (2016), 566–583.
14. Huang H. and Radenović S., *Common fixed point theorems of generalized Lipschitz mappings in cone b -metric spaces over Banach algebras and applications*, J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl. **8** (2015), 787–799.
15. Huang L. G. and Zhang X., *Cone metric spaces and fixed point theorems of contractive mappings*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **332** (2007), 1468–1476.
16. Janković S., Kadelburg Z. and Radenović S., *On cone metric spaces: a survey*, Nonlinear Anal. **74** (2011), 2591–2601.
17. Kannan R., *Some results on fixed points*, Bull. Calcutta Math. Soc. **60** (1968), 71–76.
18. Karapinar E., Czerwik S. and Aydi H., *(α, ψ) -Meir-Keeler contraction mappings in generalized b -metric spaces*, J. Funct. Spaces **2018** (2018), Art. ID 3264620, 4 pages.
19. Liu H. and Xu S., *Cone metric spaces with Banach algebras and fixed point theorems of generalized Lipschitz mappings*, Fixed Point Theory Appl. **320** (2013), 1–10.
20. Miculescu R. and Mihail A., *New fixed point theorems for set-valued contractions in b -metric spaces*, J. Fixed Point Theory Appl. **19** (2017), 2153–2163.
21. Mitrović Z. D., *On an open problem in rectangular b -metric space*, J. Anal. **25** (2017), 135–137.
22. Mitrović Z. D. and Radenović S., *The Banach and Reich contractions in $b_v(s)$ -metric spaces*, J. Fixed Point Theory Appl. **19** (2017), 3087–3095.
23. Reich S., *Some remarks concerning contraction mappings*, Canad. Math. Bull. **14** (1971), 121–124.
24. Rudin W., *Functional Analysis*, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1991.
25. Shukla S., Balasubramanian S. and Pavlović M., *A Generalized Banach fixed point theorem*, Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc. (2) **39**(4), (2016), 1529–1539.
26. Suzuki T., Alamri B. and Khan L. A., *Some notes on fixed point theorems in v -generalized metric space*, Bull. Kyushu Inst. Tech. Pure Appl. Math. **62** (2015), 15–23.
27. Xu S. and Radenović S., *Fixed point theorems of generalized Lipschitz mappings on cone metric spaces over Banach algebra without assumption of normality*, Fixed Point Theory Appl. **102** (2014), 1–12.

Z. D. Mitrović, Nonlinear Analysis Research Group, Ton Duc Thang University, Ho Chi Minh City, and Faculty of Mathematics and Statistics, Ton Duc Thang University, Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam,

e-mail: zoran.mitrovic@tdtu.edu.vn

H. Aydi, Université de Sousse, Institut Supérieur d'Informatique et des Techniques de Communication, H. Sousse 4000, Tunisia,

e-mail: hassen.aydi@isima.rnu.tn, hmaydi@iau.edu.sa

S. Radenović, Department of Mathematics, College of Science, King Saud University, Riyadh 11451, Saudi Arabia,

e-mail: radens@beotel.rs