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#### Abstract

The $3 k-4$ conjecture in $\mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z}$ states that if $A$ is a nonempty subset of $\mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z}$ satisfying $2 A \neq \mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z}$ and $|2 A|=2|A|+r \leq \min \{3|A|-4, p-r-3\}$, then $A$ is covered by an arithmetic progression of size at most $|A|+r+1$. In this paper we summarize progress made towards this conjecture in a recent joint paper of the same authors. In that paper we prove first that if $|2 A| \leq(2+\alpha)|A|-3$ for $\alpha \approx 0.136861$ and $|2 A| \leq 3 p / 4$, then $A$ is efficiently covered by an arithmetic progression, as in the conclusion of the conjecture. With a refined argument we prove that we can go up to $\alpha=(\sqrt{33}-5) / 4+o_{|A|, p \rightarrow \infty}(1)$ at the cost of restricting $|A| \leq(p-r) / 3$. We then use this to investigate the maximum size of $m$-sum-free sets for $m \geq 3$, i.e., sets $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z}$ such that the equation $x+y=m z$ has no solution in $A$. We obtain that for $m$ fixed, $\lim _{p \rightarrow \infty} \max \{|A| / p: A \subseteq \mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z} m$-sum-free $\} \leq 1 / 3.1955$ (previously, the best known upper bound was $1 / 3.0001$ ).


## 1. Introduction

This article is a short version of the paper [3].
Let $G$ be a finite abelian group. For a subset $A$ of $G$, we denote by $2 A$ the sumset $A+A:=\{x+y: x, y \in A\}$ and by $\bar{A}$ the complement of $A, G \backslash A$. A classical result due to Freiman is the $3 k-4$ Theorem, which states that if a finite set $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$ has doubling $|2 A| /|A|$ close to the minimum, then $A$ is efficiently covered by an arithmetic progression:

Theorem 1.1 (Freiman's $3 k-4$ Theorem). Let $A$ be a finite subset of $\mathbb{Z}$ with $|2 A|=2|A|+r \leq 3|A|-4$. Then there is an arithmetic progression $P \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$ such that $A \subseteq P$ and $|P \backslash A| \leq r+1$.

A central topic in additive number theory is the study of variants of this theorem in other groups. We focus on the case $\mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z}$, where there is a well-known conjecture on what this theorem could look like (see [12, Conjecture 19.2]):

Conjecture 1.2. Let $p$ be a prime and let $A$ be a nonempty subset of $\mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z}$. If $2 A \neq \mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z}$ and $|2 A|=2|A|+r \leq \min \{3|A|-4, \quad p-r-3\}$, then there exist arithmetic progressions $P_{A}, P_{2 A}$ in $\mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z}$ with the same difference such that $A \subseteq P_{A},\left|P_{A} \backslash A\right| \leq r+1, P_{2 A} \subseteq 2 A$ and $\left|P_{2 A}\right| \geq 2|A|-1$.

[^0]Progress towards this conjecture has been made by many authors: Rødseth [15]; Green and Ruzsa [11]; Serra and Zémor [18]; Candela, Serra and Spiegel [5]; etc. In [12] there are other results towards Conjecture 1.2 as well as many techniques that will be used in this article. Our main results regarding this conjecture are:

Theorem 1.3. Let $p$ be prime, let $A$ be a nonempty subset of $\mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z}$, and let $\alpha \approx 0.136861$ be the unique real root of the cubic $4 x^{3}+9 x^{2}+6 x-1$. Define $r:=|2 A|-2|A|$ and suppose

$$
|2 A| \leq(2+\alpha)|A|-3 \text { and }|2 A| \leq \frac{3}{4} p
$$

Then there exist arithmetic progressions $P_{A}, P_{2 A}$ in $\mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z}$ with the same difference such that $A \subseteq P_{A},\left|P_{A} \backslash A\right| \leq r+1, P_{2 A} \subseteq 2 A$, and $\left|P_{2 A}\right| \geq 2|A|-1$.
and
Theorem 1.4. Let $p$ be prime, let $\eta \in(0,1)$, let $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z}$ be a set with density $|A| / p \geq \eta>0$ and let $\alpha=-\frac{5}{4}+\frac{1}{4} \sqrt{9+8 \eta p \sin (\pi / p) / \sin (\pi \eta / 3)}$. Define $r:=|2 A|-2|A|$ and suppose

$$
|2 A| \neq \mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z},|2 A| \leq(2+\alpha)|A|-3 \text { and }|A| \leq \frac{p-r}{3}
$$

Then there exist arithmetic progressions $P_{A}, P_{2 A}$ in $\mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z}$ with the same difference such that $A \subseteq P_{A},\left|P_{A} \backslash A\right| \leq r+1, P_{2 A} \subseteq 2 A$, and $\left|P_{2 A}\right| \geq 2|A|-1$.

In Theorem 1.3 the doubling constant $2.1386 \ldots$ is smaller than the 2.4 given by Freiman [10] and Rødseth [15]. However, these versions require the size of $A$ to be bounded by $p / 35$ and $p / 10.7$ respectively whereas we only require $|2 A| \leq(3 / 4)|A|$. Recall that these two quantities are related through $r=|2 A|-2|A|$ so they are not completely independent. Conjecture 1.2 says that $r$ should be allowed to go up to $|A|-4$ and in this case the bound on $|2 A|$ is $(3 / 4) p-1 / 16$ which is almost equal to ours. The reason why the value of $\alpha$ is the root of a polynomial of degree 4 comes from the proof. Roughly speaking, we end up with an identity like $(|A| / p)^{2}=\sum \widehat{\overline{1}_{A}} \widehat{\widehat{1_{2 A}}}$ and we do a standard bound involving Cauchy-Schwarz, Plancherel's identity and an inequality of Freiman [13, Theorem 1] to relate $|A|$ and $|2 A|$ (in the next section we explain better the use of Freiman's inequality).

Theorem 1.4 was motivated by the study of $m$-sum-free sets. For $m \geq 3$ an integer and $p$ a prime greater than $m$, a set $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z}$ is said to be $m$-sum-free if the equation $x+y=m z$ has no solution with $(x, y, z) \in A^{3}$. Let $d_{m}(\mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z}):=$ $\max \left\{\frac{|A|}{p}: A \subseteq \mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z} m\right.$-sum-free $\}$. If $A$ is $m$-sum-free then $2 A$ is disjoint from $m \cdot A=\{m a: a \in A\}$ thus $|2 A|+|m \cdot A| \leq p$. If we define $r:=|2 A|-2|A|$, then it is clear that the previous inequality gives $|A| \leq(p-r) / 3$. Using the Cauchy-Davenport inequality we can deduce that $r \geq-1$ and thus, if we define

$$
d_{m}:=\lim _{p \rightarrow \infty} d_{m}(\mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z})
$$

(the limit exists by $[\mathbf{6}]$ ), then $d_{m} \leq 1 / 3$. The first non-trivial bound for $d_{m}$ was given by Candela and De Roton in [4, Theorem 3.1], where they used a result
of Serra and Zémor [18] to obtain $d_{m} \leq 1 / 3.0001$. Using similar techniques and Theorem 1.4, we are able to push this bound to $d_{m} \leq 1 / 3.1955$. To conclude this section let us mention that there exists a construction by Tomasz Shoen showing that $d_{m} \geq \frac{1}{2 m}\left\lfloor\frac{m}{4}\right\rfloor$ for all $m \geq 3$ (personal communication). More precisely:

Lemma 1.5 (T. Schoen). For each integer $m \geq 3$, we have $d_{m}(\mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z}) \geq$ $\frac{\lfloor m / 4\rfloor}{m} \frac{p-1}{2 p}$ for every prime $p=2 m n+1$. Hence, $\lim _{p \rightarrow \infty}^{p \rightarrow \infty} d_{m}(\mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z}) \geq \frac{1}{2 m}\left\lfloor\frac{m}{4}\right\rfloor$.

The proof of the above lemma can be found in [3] but let us briefly mention that it consists on constructing a set with the above properties which at the end is roughly the sum of two arithmetic progressions.

## 2. Overview of the proofs

In this section we present the ideas underlying the proofs of the results mentioned above. For the complete proofs, see [3].

Let $p$ be a prime, let $g \in \mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z}$ be a non-zero element (which is then a generator of the multiplicative group $\left.(\mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z})^{*}\right)$ and for integers $m \leq n$ let

$$
[m, n]_{g}=\{m g,(m+1) g, \ldots, n g\}
$$

denote the corresponding interval in $\mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z}$. If $m>n$, then $[m, n]_{g}=\emptyset$. For $X \subseteq \mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z}$, we let $\ell_{g}(X)$ denote the length of the shortest arithmetic progression with difference $g$ which contains $X$. We say that a sumset $A+B \subseteq \mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z}$ rectifies if $\ell_{g}(A)+\ell_{g}(B) \leq p+1$ for some nonzero $g \in \mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z}$. In such case, $A \subseteq a_{0}+[0, m]_{g}$ and $B \subseteq b_{0}+[0, n]_{g}$, with $m+n=\ell_{g}(A)+\ell_{g}(B)-2 \leq p-1$ for some $a_{0}, b_{0} \in \mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z}$. Therefore, the maps $a_{0}+s g \mapsto s$ and $b_{0}+t g \mapsto t$, for $s, t \in \mathbb{Z}$, when restricted to $A$ and $B$, respectively, show that the sumset $A+B$ is Freiman isomorphic (see [12, Section 2.8]) to an integer sumset. This allows us to canonically apply results from $\mathbb{Z}$ to the sumset $A+B$.

Sketch of proof of Theorem 1.3. We will use the asymmetric version of the $3 k-$ 4 theorem as it appears in [12, Theorem 7.1] and two observations. The first one is that if $P \subseteq A \subseteq \mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z}$, with $P$ an arithmetic progression, then $\bar{A} \subseteq \bar{P}$, where $\bar{P}$ is another arithmetic progression with the same difference. The second one is that if $A, B \subseteq \mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z}$, then $-A+\overline{A+B} \subseteq \bar{B}$. Let us now look at pairs of sets $A^{\prime}, B^{\prime} \subseteq A$ such that $A^{\prime}+B^{\prime}$ rectifies. The ideal case would be if for $A^{\prime}=B^{\prime}=A$, the sum-set $A^{\prime}+B^{\prime}$ rectified, because then we could go via a Freiman isomorphism to $\mathbb{Z}$, apply there the known $3 k-4$ theorem, and then go back to $\mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z}$. This is why it is natural to split the problem into two parts:
Case 1 will be when among the pairs $A^{\prime}+B^{\prime}$ that rectify there are some that are large (precisely $\left|A^{\prime}\right|+\left|B^{\prime}\right|+\min \left\{\left|A^{\prime}\right|,\left|B^{\prime}\right|\right\}-4 \geq|2 A|$ ).
Case 2 will be when for all pairs that rectify, the opposite inequality holds.
If we are in Case 1 we prove that indeed the largest pair of rectifiable sum-sets $A^{\prime}+B^{\prime}$ occurs when $A^{\prime}=B^{\prime}=A$. By contradiction, assume without loss of generality that $\left|A^{\prime}\right| \geq\left|B^{\prime}\right|$ is the rectifiable pair with the largest sum $\left|A^{\prime}\right|+\left|B^{\prime}\right|$ and $B^{\prime} \neq A$. Then we go through a Freiman isomorphism $\psi$ to $\mathbb{Z}$ and apply the
$3 k-4$ theorem to $\psi\left(A^{\prime}+B^{\prime}\right)$, which will provide us progressions $\psi\left(A^{\prime}\right) \subseteq P_{A}$, $\psi\left(B^{\prime}\right) \subseteq P_{B}$ and $P_{A+B} \subseteq \psi\left(A^{\prime}+B^{\prime}\right)$. These progressions will give us that the pair $\left(-A^{\prime}\right)+\left(\overline{A+A^{\prime}}\right)$ is also rectifiable (using observations like for instance that $\left.\overline{A+A^{\prime}} \subseteq \overline{B^{\prime}+A^{\prime}} \subseteq \overline{\psi^{-1}\left(P_{A+B}\right)}\right)$. With some more work we will conclude that $\left|-A^{\prime}+\overline{A+A^{\prime}}\right| \leq\left|A^{\prime}\right|+\left|\overline{A+A^{\prime}}\right|+\min \left\{\left|A^{\prime}\right|,\left|\overline{A+A^{\prime}}\right|\right\}-4$, which allows us to use the asymmetric version of the $3 k-4$ Theorem as in [12, Theorem 7.1] to obtain the progressions $\psi\left(-A^{\prime}\right) \subseteq P_{-A^{\prime}}, \psi\left(\overline{A+A^{\prime}}\right) \subseteq P_{\overline{A+A^{\prime}}}$ and $P_{-A^{\prime}+\overline{A+A^{\prime}}} \subseteq$ $\psi\left(-A^{\prime}+\overline{A+A^{\prime}}\right)$. By the second observation we will be able to recover information about $A$ using that $\psi^{-1}\left(P_{-A^{\prime}+\overline{A+A^{\prime}}}\right) \subseteq-A^{\prime}+\overline{A+A^{\prime}} \subseteq \bar{A}$ and this is how we will obtain that $A$ is efficiently covered by an arithmetic progression. We end up showing that $A+A^{\prime}$ must rectify or otherwise the assumption $|2 A| \leq 3 / 4 p$ would be violated. Thus we have a contradiction with the assumption that $\left|A^{\prime}\right|+\left|B^{\prime}\right|$ was chosen to be maximal.

To deal with Case 2, define the exponential sum $S_{A}(d)=\sum_{x \in A} \mathrm{e}^{\frac{2 \pi \mathrm{i}}{p} \mathrm{~d} x}$ for a non-zero $d \in \mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z}$. It is intuitive that if the points $e^{\frac{2 \pi \mathrm{i}}{p} \mathrm{~d} x}$ are randomly distributed among the the circle, then $\left|S_{A}(d)\right|$ should be small, whereas if a lot of them are concentrated near (say) 1, then the sum should be large. But Case 2 is precisely when we rule out this possibility because if we let $C_{u}:=\left\{\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} x}: x \in(u, u+\pi)\right\}$, $d^{-1}$ be the multiplicative inverse of $d \in \mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z}$ and $A^{\prime}:=\left\{x \in A: \mathrm{e}^{\frac{2 \pi \mathrm{i}}{p} \mathrm{~d} x} \in C_{u}\right\}$, then $\ell_{d^{-1}}\left(A^{\prime}\right) \leq \frac{p+1}{2}$ and $A^{\prime}+A^{\prime}$ rectifies. To conclude we use an estimate of Freiman [13, Theorem 1] that gives a bound for $\left|S_{A}(d)\right|$ in this situation. What remains is just a long but standard calculation involving the identity $|A|^{2} p=$ $\sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z}} S_{A}(x) S_{A}(x) \overline{S_{2 A}(x)}$, giving a contradiction with the fact that $|2 A| \leq(2+$ $\alpha)|A|-3$.

The second result, Theorem 1.4, is a refinement whose proof uses the same ideas but in Case 2 needs a sharper bound on $\left|S_{A}(d)\right|$ given by [13, Theorem 2], and some minor changes to adapt the argument to the new bound $|A| \leq \frac{p-r}{3}$.

Finally, let us mention how this result can be used to estimate the quantity $d_{m}$. The ideas are simple. First, as we said before if $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z}$ is $m$-sum-free we can assume that $|A| \leq(p-r) / 3$. Thus, we have one of the conditions of Theorem 1.4 for free. Now there are two cases: either $|2 A|>(2+\alpha)|A|-3$ or $|2 A| \leq(2+\alpha)|A|-3$. In the former we deduce immediately that $(2+\alpha)|A|-3+|A| \leq|2 A|+|m \cdot A| \leq p$. Then we must have $|A| \leq(p+3) /(3+\alpha)$. In the second case, we can apply Theorem 1.4 to conclude that we can approximate $A$ by an arithmetic progression $P_{A}$, and $2 A$ contains a large arithmetic progression $P_{2 A}$. This allows us to work with intervals instead of arbitrary sets because the property $2 A \cap m \cdot A=\emptyset$ implies that $\left|P_{2 A} \cap\left(m \cdot P_{A}\right)\right|$ is small. But now $m \cdot P_{A}$ is approximately uniformly distributed among $\mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z}$ (under some technical conditions), and as $\left|P_{2 A}\right|$ is large, it is easy to deduce that $\left|P_{A}\right|$ cannot be large.
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