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#### Abstract

A path decomposition of a graph $G$ is a collection of edge-disjoint paths of $G$ that covers the edge set of $G$. Gallai (1968) conjectured that every connected graph on $n$ vertices admits a path decomposition of cardinality at most $\lfloor(n+1) / 2\rfloor$. Seminal results toward its verification consider the graph obtained from $G$ by removing its vertices with odd degree, which is called the $E$-subgraph of $G$. Lovász (1968) verified Gallai's Conjecture for graphs whose E-subgraphs consist of at most one vertex, and Pyber (1996) verified it for graphs whose E-subgraphs are forests. In 2005, Fan verified Gallai's Conjecture for graphs whose E-subgraphs are trianglefree and contain only blocks with maximum degree at most 3 . Since then, no result was obtained regarding E-subgraphs. In this paper, we verify Gallai's Conjecture for graphs whose E-subgraphs have maximum degree at most 3 .


## 1. Introduction

In this paper, all graphs considered are finite and simple, i.e., contain a finite number of vertices and edges and have neither loops nor multiple edges. The terminology and notation used in this paper are standard (see, e.g. [3]). We say that a vertex is even (resp. odd) if it has even (resp. odd) degree. A path decomposition $\mathcal{D}$ of a graph $G$ is a collection of edge-disjoint paths of $G$ that covers all the edges of $G$. A path decomposition $\mathcal{D}$ of a graph $G$ is minimum if for every path decomposition $\mathcal{D}^{\prime}$ of $G$ we have $|\mathcal{D}| \leq\left|\mathcal{D}^{\prime}\right|$, and the cardinality of such a minimum path decomposition, denoted by $\mathrm{pn}(G)$, is called the path number of $G$. In 1968, Gallai proposed the following conjecture (see [2, 11]).

Conjecture 1 (Gallai, 1968). If $G$ is a connected graph, then $\operatorname{pn}(G) \leq\left\lceil\frac{|V(G)|}{2}\right\rceil$.
Lovász [11] verified Conjecture 1 for graphs that have at most one even vertex. Pyber [12] extended Lovász's result by proving that Conjecture 1 holds for graphs in which each cycle contains at least one odd vertex. In 2005, Fan [7] extended these results by extending Lovász's technique, and exploring the following special structure. Given a graph $G$, the $E$-subgraph of $G$, denoted by $E V(G)$, is the graph

[^0]obtained from $G$ by removing its odd vertices, or, equivalently, the subgraph of $G$ induced by its even vertices. Thus, the results above may be restated as follows.

Theorem 2 (Lovász, 1968; Pyber, 1996; Fan, 2005). Let $G$ be a connected graph on $n$ vertices. Then, the following hold.
(a) If $E V(G)$ contains at most one vertex, then $\operatorname{pn}(G) \leq\lfloor n / 2\rfloor$;
(b) If $E V(G)$ is a forest, then $\operatorname{pn}(G) \leq\lfloor n / 2\rfloor$; and
(c) If each block of $E V(G)$ is triangle-free and has maximum degree at most 3, then $\operatorname{pn}(G) \leq\lfloor n / 2\rfloor$.
Given an even vertex $v$ in $G$, the $E$-degree of $v$ is the degree of $v$ in $E V(G)$. Let $\mathcal{G}_{3}$ denote the set of connected graphs in which its even vertices have $E$-degree at most 3, i.e., $\mathcal{G}_{3}=\{G$ is a connected graph such that $\Delta(E V(G)) \leq 3\}$. In this paper we give a step further toward strengthening the result in $[\mathbf{7}]$, by presenting a strategy to deal with triangles of the E-subgraph, and verifying Conjecture 1 for graphs in $\mathcal{G}_{3}$. Due to space limitations, we present only a sketch of the proof.

Theorem 3. If $G \in \mathcal{G}_{3}$, then $\operatorname{pn}(G) \leq\lceil|V(G)| / 2\rceil$.
Conjecture 1 has been deeply explored, and the literature indicating its correctness include results for Eulerian graphs with maximum degree at most 4 [8]; a family of regular graphs [5]; a family of triangle-free graphs [10]; and maximal outerplanar graphs and 2-connected outerplanar graphs [9]. Recent results were obtained by Bonamy and Perrett [1] who verified Conjecture 1 for graphs with maximum degree at most 5 .

Note that the results in Theorem 2 give a bound of $\lfloor n / 2\rfloor$ for the graphs studied, which is slightly different from the bound of $\lceil n / 2\rceil$ proposed by Gallai. A trivial condition for a graph on $n$ vertices not to admit the former bound is to have sufficiently many edges. More precisely, if $|E(G)|>\lfloor n / 2\rfloor(n-1)$, then we have $\operatorname{pn}(G) \geq\lceil n / 2\rceil$. In this case, $n$ must be an odd integer. Such graphs are known as odd semi-cliques $[\mathbf{1}]$. This motivates the following strengthening of Conjecture 1.

Conjecture 4. If $G$ is a connected graph, then either $\operatorname{pn}(G) \leq\lfloor|V(G)| / 2\rfloor$ or $G$ is an odd semi-clique.

Botler, Coelho, Lee, and Sambinelli [4] verified Conjecture 4 for graphs with treewidth at most 3 by proving that a partial 3 -tree with $n$ vertices either has path number at most $\lfloor n / 2\rfloor$, which are called Gallai graphs, or is one of the two odd semi-cliques that are partial 3 -trees ( $K_{3}$ and $K_{5}-e$ ). They also prove [4] an analogous result for graphs with maximum degree at most 4. More recently, Botler, Jiménez, and Sambinelli [6] verified Conjecture 4 for triangle-free planar graphs by proving that every such graph is a Gallai graph.

Finally, we note that the results obtained so far deal with classes of graphs that contain only a finite number of odd semi-cliques. This is not the case of $\mathcal{G}_{3}$, since $K_{2 k+1} \backslash M_{k-1}$, the graph obtained from a complete graph with $2 k+1$ vertices by removing a matching $M_{k-1}$ of size $k-1$, is an odd semi-clique and belongs to $\mathcal{G}_{3}$, for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

## 2. Technical Lemmas

In this section we present some technical results used in our proof. We use two lemmas presented by Fan [7, Lemma 3.4 and Lemma 3.6]. Given a path decomposition $\mathcal{D}$, we denote by $\mathcal{D}(v)$ the number of paths in $\mathcal{D}$ having the vertex $v$ as an end vertex. Following the strategy presented by Fan, our technique is based in the following definition.

Definition 1. Let $a$ be a vertex in a graph $G$ and let $B$ be a set of edges incident to $a$. Let $G^{\prime}=G \backslash B$, and let $\mathcal{D}^{\prime}$ be a path decomposition of $G^{\prime}$. We say that a subset $A=\left\{a x_{i}: 1 \leq i \leq k\right\}$ of $B$ is addible at a with respect to $\mathcal{D}^{\prime}$ if $G^{\prime}+A$ has a path decomposition $\mathcal{D}$ such that $|\mathcal{D}|=\left|\mathcal{D}^{\prime}\right|$ and
(a) $\mathcal{D}(a)=\mathcal{D}^{\prime}(a)+|A|$ and $D\left(x_{i}\right)=D^{\prime}\left(x_{i}\right)-1$, for $1 \leq i \leq k$; and
(b) $D(v)=D^{\prime}(v)$ for each $v \in V(G) \backslash\left\{a, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}\right\}$.

Moreover, we say that $\mathcal{D}$ is a transformation of $\mathcal{D}^{\prime}$ by adding $A$ at $a$. When $k=1$, we simply say that $a x_{1}$ is addible at a with respect to $\mathcal{D}^{\prime}$.

The next lemma present conditions for an edge (or a set of edges) to be addible.
Lemma 5 (Fan, 2005).
(a) Let $G$ be a graph and $a b \in E(G)$. Suppose that $\mathcal{D}^{\prime}$ is a path decomposition of $G^{\prime}=G \backslash a b$. If $\mathcal{D}^{\prime}(b)>\left|\left\{v \in N_{G^{\prime}}(a): \mathcal{D}^{\prime}(v)=0\right\}\right|$, then ab is addible at a with respect to $\mathcal{D}^{\prime}$.
(b) Let a be a vertex in a graph $G$ and let $G^{\prime}=G \backslash\left\{a x_{1}, \ldots, a x_{h}\right\}$, where $x_{i} \in$ $N_{G}\left(\right.$ a). Suppose that $\mathcal{D}^{\prime}$ is a path decomposition of $G^{\prime}$ with $\mathcal{D}^{\prime}(v) \geq 1$ for every $v \in N_{G}(a)$. Then, for any $x \in\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{h}\right\}$, there is $B \subseteq\left\{a x_{1}, \ldots, a x_{h}\right\}$ such that ax $\in B,|B| \geq\left\lceil\frac{h}{2}\right\rceil$, and $B$ is addible at a with respect to $\mathcal{D}^{\prime}$.

## 3. Main theorem

We say that a graph $G$ is a single even triangle graph (SET graph) if $E V(G) \cong K_{3}$, and every odd vertex of $G$ has at least two even neighbors. Note that every SET graph has an odd number of vertices. We can obtain a decomposition $\mathcal{D}$ of a SET graph $G$ such that $|\mathcal{D}| \leq(|V(G)|+1) / 2$ as follows. Let $e \in E(E V(G))$ and $G^{\prime}=G \backslash e$, and let $\mathcal{D}^{\prime}$ be a minimum path decomposition of $G^{\prime}$. By Theorem 2(b), $\left|\mathcal{D}^{\prime}\right| \leq(|V(G)|-1) / 2$, and hence $\mathcal{D}^{\prime} \cup\{e\}$ is the desired decomposition.

Our main theorem is a weaker version of Conjecture 4 in which we replace odd semi-cliques by SET graphs. The proof of our main theorem consists in showing that, for a minimal counterexample $G$, the graph $E V(G)$ consists of disjoint triangles in which no odd vertex is adjacent to two of these triangles. Then, we remove the edges of a special subgraph joining two of these triangles, yielding a suitable proper subgraph of $G$ from which we obtain a good decomposition of $G$.

Theorem 6. If $G \in \mathcal{G}_{3}$, then $G$ is either a Gallai graph or a SET graph.
Sketch of the proof. Suppose that the statement does not hold, and let $G \in \mathcal{G}_{3}$ be a counterexample minimizing $|E(G)|$. Let $n=|V(G)|$. In what follows, we state
a few claims regarding $G$. The following claim is obtained by applying Lemma 5 on even vertices.

Claim 1. No vertex of $G$ has exactly one even neighbor, and every component of $E V(G)$ is a triangle or an isolated vertex.

In what follows, if $x$ is an odd vertex and $T \subseteq E V(G)$ is a triangle containing a neighbor of $x$ in $G$, then we say that $T$ is a triangle neighbor of $x$. The proof of the next claim consists in extending Fan's techniques for odd vertices.

Claim 2. If $v$ is an odd vertex in $G$, then $v$ has neighbors in at most one component of $E V(G)$.

Note that Claim 2 implies that two even vertices have a common odd neighbor only if they belong to the same (triangle) component of $E V(G)$. Now, suppose that $x$ is an isolated vertex in $E V(G)$, and let $y$ be a neighbor of $x$ in $G$. By Claim 2, $x$ is the only even neighbor of $y$, a contradiction to Claim 1.

Given a vertex $v$ of $G$ that has a triangle neighbor $T$, we say that $v$ is a full vertex if every vertex of $T$ is a neighbor of $v$.

Claim 3. Let $v$ be a vertex of $G$ that has a triangle neighbor $T$. Then,
(a) If $v$ has an odd neighbor that has no even neighbor, then $v$ is a full vertex;
(b) Every odd neighbor of $v$ has an even neighbor; and
(c) If $u$ is an odd neighbor of $v$ with a triangle neighbor different from $T$, then $u$ and $v$ are full vertices.
First, suppose that $E V(G) \cong K_{3}$. By Claim 3(b), every odd vertex of $G$ has an even neighbor, and hence, by Claim 1, every odd vertex of $G$ has at least two even neighbors. Thus, $G$ is a SET graph. Therefore, we may assume that $E V(G)$ has at least two components. Thus, let $P$ be a shortest path joining vertices of two different components of $E V(G)$. It follows from Claims 1, 2, and 3(b) that $P$ contains precisely two internal vertices, say $u$ and $v$. Let $T_{u}$ (resp. $T_{v}$ ) be the triangle neighbor of $u$ (resp. $v$ ).

Let $V\left(T_{u}\right)=\{a, b, c\}$ and $V\left(T_{v}\right)=\{x, y, z\}$. Let $S_{u}=\{u w \in E(G): w \in$ $\left.V\left(T_{u}\right)\right\}, S_{v}=\left\{v w \in E(G): w \in V\left(T_{v}\right)\right\}$, and $G_{0}=G \backslash\left(\{u v\} \cup S_{u} \cup S_{v}\right)$. By Claim 3(c), the vertices $u$ and $v$ are full vertices, i.e., $\left|S_{u}\right|=\left|S_{v}\right|=3$, and hence $w$ is odd in $G_{0}$ for every $w \in V\left(T_{u}\right) \cup V\left(T_{v}\right) \cup\{u, v\}$. Therefore, $G_{0} \in \mathcal{G}_{3}$. Moreover, we can prove that no component of $G_{0}$ is a SET graph, and hence $G_{0}$ is a Gallai graph. Let $\mathcal{D}_{0}$ be a minimum path decomposition of $G_{0}$. By the minimality of $G$, we have $\left|\mathcal{D}_{0}\right| \leq\lfloor n / 2\rfloor$. In what follows, we obtain a path decomposition $\mathcal{D}_{3}$ of $G_{3}=G_{0}+u v+S_{u}=G \backslash S_{v}$ such that $\mathcal{D}_{3}(u), \mathcal{D}_{3}(v) \geq 1$.

First, we obtain a path decomposition $\mathcal{D}_{2}$ of $G_{2}=G_{0}+S_{u}$ such that $\mathcal{D}_{2}(u) \geq 2$. By Lemma $5(\mathrm{~b})$, there is a $B_{u} \subseteq S_{u}$ such that $\left|B_{u}\right| \geq\left\lceil\left|S_{u}\right| / 2\right\rceil$ and $B_{u}$ is addible at $u$ with respect to $\mathcal{D}_{0}$. Let $\mathcal{D}_{1}$ be the transformation of $\mathcal{D}_{0}$ by adding $B_{u}$ at $u$. We have $\mathcal{D}_{1}(v) \geq 1+\lceil|S| / 2\rceil$. Note that $S_{u} \backslash B_{u}$ contains at most one edge. If $S_{u} \backslash B_{u}=\emptyset$, then put $\mathcal{D}_{2}=\mathcal{D}_{1}$ is the desired decomposition. If $S_{u} \backslash B_{u} \neq \emptyset$, then suppose $u c \in S_{u} \backslash B_{u}$ and put $G_{1}=G_{0}+B_{u}$. Note that $\left\{x \in N_{G_{1}}(c): \mathcal{D}_{1}(x)=\right.$ $0\} \subseteq\{a, b\}$. By Lemma $5(\mathrm{a}), u c$ is addible at $c$ with respect to $\mathcal{D}_{1}$. Then, the
transformation $\mathcal{D}_{2}$ of $\mathcal{D}_{1}$ by adding $u c$ at $c$ is the desired decomposition. Now, note that every neighbor of $v$ in $G_{2}=G_{1}+u c=G_{0}+S_{u}$ is odd, and hence, by Lemma $5(\mathrm{a}), u v$ is addible at $v$ with respect to $\mathcal{D}_{2}$. Then, the transformation $\mathcal{D}_{3}$ of $\mathcal{D}_{2}$ by adding $u v$ at $v$ is a path decomposition of $G_{3}=G_{0}+u v+S_{u}=G \backslash S_{v}$ such that $\mathcal{D}_{3}(u), \mathcal{D}_{3}(v) \geq 1$. Analogously, we obtain a transformation $\mathcal{D}_{4}$ of $\mathcal{D}_{3}$ by adding $S_{v}$. Since $\left|\mathcal{D}_{4}\right| \leq\lfloor n / 2\rfloor, G$ is a Gallai graph. This concludes the proof.

## 4. Future works

The result in this paper may be extended in two natural directions: (1) extending Theorem 6 to a strengthening of Theorem 2(c) in which we remove the triangle-free condition, i.e., by verifying (a strengthening of) Conjecture 1 for graphs in which each block of its E-subgraph has maximum degree at most 3; and (2) Replacing SET graphs by odd semi-cliques, which verifies Conjecture 4 for graphs in $\mathcal{G}_{3}$. Further, the techniques used in this paper may be combined with reducing schemes (see [6]) in order to extend previous results.
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