ON HEILBRONN TRIANGLE-TYPE PROBLEMS IN HIGHER DIMENSIONS

F. S. BENEVIDES, C. HOPPEN, H. LEFMANN AND K. ODERMANN

ABSTRACT. The Heilbronn triangle problem is a classical geometrical problem that asks for a placement of n points in the unit-square $[0, 1]^2$, that maximizes the smallest area of a triangle formed by those points. This problem has natural generalizations to higher dimensions. For integers $k, d \geq 2$ and a set \mathcal{P} of n points in $[0, 1]^d$, let $s = \min\{(k-1), d\}$ and $V_k^{(d)}(\mathcal{P})$ be the minimum s-dimensional volume of the convex hull of k points in \mathcal{P} . Here, instead of considering the supremum of $V_k^{(d)}(\mathcal{P})$, we consider its average value, $\widetilde{\Delta}_k^{(d)}(n)$, when the n points in \mathcal{P} are chosen independently and uniformly at random in $[0, 1]^d$. We prove that $\widetilde{\Delta}_k^{(d)}(n) = \Theta\left(n^{\frac{-k}{1+|d-k+1|}}\right)$, for every fixed $k, d \geq 2$.

1. INTRODUCTION AND MAIN RESULTS

Given $n \geq 3$ and a set $\mathcal{P} = \{P_1, \ldots, P_n\}$ of n points in $[0,1]^2$, let $A(\mathcal{P})$ be the minimum area of a triangle with all vertices in \mathcal{P} . The *Heilbronn triangle problem* asks, for each n, for the supremum of $A(\mathcal{P})$ over all choices of \mathcal{P} . We call this value $\Delta_3(n)$.

The exact value of $\Delta_3(n)$ is known only for $n \leq 7$, and the problem is still wide open for all n > 7. This problem has a rich history (see [5, 6, 16] for some optimal configurations and constructive lower bounds). For general n, a trivial upper bound, given by splitting the square into squares of side length $\sqrt{3/n}$ and using pigeonhole principle, is $\Delta_3(n) \leq 3/(2n)$. Erdős established the lower bound $\Delta_3(n) = \Omega(1/n^2)$, while Roth [14] and Schmidt [15] found upper bounds on $\Delta_3(n)$. For large n, the best known lower and upper bounds are by Komlós, Pintz and Szemerédi [10, 11], for constants $c_1, c_2 > 0$:

$$c_1 \frac{\log n}{n^2} \le \Delta_3(n) \le \frac{2^{c_2 \sqrt{\log n}}}{n^{\frac{8}{7}}}$$

A generalization of this problem has been considered by Schmidt [15] for integers $n \ge k \ge 3$. For a set \mathcal{P} of n points in $[0,1]^2$, let $A_k(\mathcal{P})$ be the minimum area of the convex hull of k distinct points in \mathcal{P} , and let $\Delta_k(n)$ be the supremum of $A_k(\mathcal{P})$. For fixed $k \ge 3$, the currently best known lower bound is

Received June 7, 2019.

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 60D05, 52C07.

Key words and phrases. Heilbronn Conjecture, Geometric Probability.

444 F. S. BENEVIDES, C. HOPPEN, H. LEFMANN AND K. ODERMANN

 $\Delta_k(n) = \Omega((\log n)^{1/(k-2)}/n^{(k-1)/(k-2)})$, see [12]. However, for fixed $k \ge 4$, only the (trivial) upper bound $\Delta_k(n) = O(1/n)$ is known.

An extension to dimension d, for $d \geq 3$, was also considered by Barequet and Naor [1, 2]. The (k - 1)-dimensional volume of the convex hull of k points $P_1, \ldots, P_k \in [0, 1]^d, 2 \leq k \leq d + 1$, is given by

$$V_k^{(d)}(P_1,\ldots,P_k) := \frac{1}{(k-1)!} \cdot \prod_{i=2}^k \operatorname{dist}(P_i; [P_1,\ldots,P_{i-1}]),$$

where dist $(P_i; [P_1, \ldots, P_{i-1}])$ is the Euclidean distance of P_i to the affine space $[P_1, \ldots, P_{i-1}]$. For k > d+1 we compute the *d*-dimensional volume by splitting the convex hull of P_1, \ldots, P_k into interior disjoint *d*-simplices.

Given k, d and a placement \mathcal{P} of n points in $[0,1]^d$, let $s = \min\{(k-1), d\}$ and let $V_k^{(d)}(\mathcal{P})$ be the minimum s-dimensional volume of the convex hull of k distinct points in \mathcal{P} , and let $\Delta_k^{(d)}(n)$ be the supremum of $V_k^{(d)}(\mathcal{P})$ over all choices of \mathcal{P} with $|\mathcal{P}| = n$. For fixed d and k, where $3 \le k \le d+1$, the best known lower bound for $\Delta_k^{(d)}$ is $\Delta_k^{(d)}(n) = \Omega((\log n)^{1/(d-k+2)}/n^{(k-1)/(d-k+2)})$, see [13].

In connection with range searching problems, Chazelle [4] investigated $\Delta_k^{(d)}(n)$ when k is a function of n. He showed that, in any fixed dimension $d \ge 2$, for $\log n \le k \le n$, we have the asymptotically correct order $\Theta(k/n)$ for $\Delta_k^{(d)}(n)$.

These problems have proved to be remarkably difficult, and it was natural to address a simpler problem, namely to determine the average value of $V_k^{(d)}(\mathcal{P})$ when each point in a placement $\mathcal{P} \subset [0,1]^d$ of n points is chosen independently and uniformly at random, denoted $\widetilde{\Delta}_k^{(d)}(n)$. Note this is also well-defined for d = 1 (there is a well-known short proof for $\widetilde{\Delta}_2^{(1)}(n) = 1/(n-1)^2$).

Jiang, Li and Vitány [9] showed that $\widetilde{\Delta}_{3}^{(2)}(n) = \Theta(1/n^3)$ using Kolmogorov complexity. Grimmett and Janson [7] strengthened this to $\lim_{n\to\infty} (n^3 \cdot \widetilde{\Delta}_{3}^{(2)}(n)) =$ 1/2, and also determined the analogous limit when the *n* points are chosen with more general probability distributions. They also found the asymptotic probability distribution of $A_3(\mathcal{P})$ (and, more generally, of the size of the ℓ -th smallest triangle).

In our work, we determine the order of $\widetilde{\Delta}_{k}^{(d)}(n)$ for every fixed d and k.

Theorem 1.1. Let $d, k \geq 2$ be fixed integers. There exist positive constants $c_{d,k}$ and $C_{d,k}$ such that, for n sufficiently large, it is

$$\frac{c_{d,k}}{n^{\frac{k}{1+|d-k+1|}}} \leq \widetilde{\Delta}_k^{(d)}(n) \leq \frac{C_{d,k}}{n^{\frac{k}{1+|d-k+1|}}}.$$

In this note, we prove some cases of Theorem 1.1 to illustrate how the full argument goes. One can also show a discretized version, i.e., a *d*-dimensional $K \times \cdots \times K$ -grid is embedded on $[0, 1]^d$ and points are placed on the grid-points, where K is sufficiently large in terms of n. The arguments are rather similar and integrals become sums.

2. Areas of triangles in $[0,1]^2$

We first give a detailed argument for Theorem 1.1 in the case k = 3 and d = 2 and then briefly sketch the argument for fixed $k \ge 3$ and d = 2, whose ideas can be generalized to any fixed $k, d \ge 3$. Note that the case k = 3 and d = 2 was already solved in the literature [7, 9], but our proof is very short and works as a model for the other cases. Let dist(P, Q) denote the Euclidean distance between the points P and Q.

Proposition 2.1. Let P_1 , P_2 , P_3 be points selected independently and uniformly at random from $[0,1]^2$. Let T be the triangle $P_1P_2P_3$. Then, for every $0 \le A \le 1$,

$$A \leq \mathbf{P}(\operatorname{area}(T) \leq A) \leq 12A.$$

Sketch. For the lower bound, suppose that the first two points P_1, P_2 have been selected. If there is no point Q in $[0,1]^2$ such that the triangle P_1P_2Q has area larger than A, then the probability that the area of T is at most A is 1. Otherwise, there is a point Q in $[0,1]^2$ such that the triangle P_1P_2Q has area A. The probability that the area of T is at most A is at least the probability that P_3 lies in the triangle P_1P_2Q , which is equal to A.

For the upper bound, for each $i, j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, consider the case where $P_i P_j$ is the longest side of the triangle and use the union bound. In each case, the third point is contained in a rectangle of area 4A.

Lemma 2.2. For any $n \geq 3$, we have $\widetilde{\Delta}_3^{(2)}(n) \geq 1/(8n^3)$.

Proof. Place n points independently and uniformly at random in the unit-square $[0,1]^2$. We set $A = 1/(4n^3)$. By Proposition 2.1 and the union bound, the probability that at least one of the triangles with vertices among the n points has area at most A is at most $12A \cdot \binom{n}{3} \leq 1/2$. Then, by Markov's inequality, the expected area $\widetilde{\Delta}_3^{(2)}(n)$ of a triangle of minimum area is at least $1/(8n^3)$.

For the upper bound, we will use the following Suen-type correlation inequality (see Theorem 1 in [8]). For distinct events B_1, B_2, B_3 in a probability space, $B_1 \sim B_2$ denotes that B_1 and B_2 are dependent, and $B_1 \sim \{B_2, B_3\}$ denotes that B_1 is not mutually independent of the set $\{B_2, B_3\}$, that is, it is dependent on B_2 or B_3 or $B_2 \cap B_3$.

Theorem 2.3. Let B_1, \ldots, B_k be distinct events in a given probability space. Let $M = \prod_{i=1}^{k} \mathbf{P}(\overline{B_i})$ and $D = \sum_{B_i \sim B_j} \mathbf{P}(B_i \land B_j)$. Assume that for every pair of distinct dependent events $B_i \sim B_j$ the number of events B_g with $B_g \sim \{B_i, B_j\}$ is at most α and that $\mathbf{P}(B_i) \leq \varepsilon$ for every $i \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$. Then,

$$\mathbf{P}\left(\wedge_{i=1}^{k}\overline{B_{i}}\right) \leq M \cdot \mathrm{e}^{\frac{D}{(1-\varepsilon)^{\alpha}}}.$$

Lemma 2.4. For all sufficiently large n, we have $\widetilde{\Delta}_3^{(2)}(n) \leq 18/n^3$.

Proof. Place n points independently and uniformly at random in $[0, 1]^2$. For each set I of three of those points, T_I is the triangle with vertices in I.

446 F. S. BENEVIDES, C. HOPPEN, H. LEFMANN AND K. ODERMANN

We shall give an upper bound on the probability that *all* triangles have 'large' area. Fix $0 < A \leq 1$. For each $x \in \{1, \ldots, \lfloor 2 \ln n \rfloor\}$, let $B_I^{(x)}$ denote the event "area $(T_I) \leq Cx/n^{3}$ ", for a suitable constant C > 0. By Proposition 2.1, we have

$$\frac{Cx}{n^3} \le \mathbf{P}(B_I^{(x)}) \le \frac{12Cx}{n^3} = \varepsilon(x).$$

For $I \neq J$, the events $B_I^{(x)}$ and $B_J^{(x)}$ are dependent only if the triangles T_I and T_J have exactly one vertex or one side in common. In the first case, let $I = \{P_1, P_2, P_3\}$ and $J = \{P_1, Q_1, Q_2\}$. Without loss of generality, place P_1, P_2, P_3, Q_1, Q_2 in $[0, 1]^2$ in this order. The event $B_I^{(x)}$ happens with probability at most $12Cx/n^3$. Regardless of P_1 's position, for each $z \in [0, \sqrt{2}]$ (where $\sqrt{2}$ is the longest possible distance between points in $[0, 1]^2$), the probability that dist (P_1, Q_1) is in the infinitesimal interval [z, z + dz] is at most $2\pi z dz$ (i.e., the area of the appropriate annulus). For $B_J^{(x)}$ to hold, the last point, Q_2 , is contained in a rectangle of area at most $4\sqrt{2}Cx/(zn^3)$.

In the second case, denoting the length of the common side PP' of T_I and T_J by y, place one endpoint of the common side anywhere in $[0,1]^2$; the other endpoint, P', satisfies that $\operatorname{dist}(P,P')$ is in the infinitesimal interval [y, y + dy] with probability at most $2\pi y \, dy$, and the two remaining vertices of T_I and T_J must be contained in a rectangle of area at most $\min\{1, 4\sqrt{2}C/(yn^3)\}$. For n sufficiently large, we conclude that

$$D^{(x)} = \sum_{B_I^{(x)} \sim B_J^{(x)}} \mathbf{P}(B_I^{(x)} \wedge B_J^{(x)})$$

$$\leq {\binom{n}{5}} \frac{12Cx}{n^3} \int_0^{\sqrt{2}} \frac{4\sqrt{2}Cx}{zn^3} 2\pi z \, \mathrm{d}z + {\binom{n}{4}} \int_0^{\sqrt{2}} \left(\min\left\{1, \frac{4\sqrt{2}Cx}{yn^3}\right\}\right)^2 2\pi y \, \mathrm{d}y$$

$$\leq \frac{8\pi C^2 x^2}{5n} + \frac{192\pi C^2 x^2 \ln n}{n^2} \leq \frac{1.7\pi C^2 x^2}{n}.$$

Moreover, letting $\binom{[n]}{3}$ be the set of all subsets of three points, by Proposition 2.1 we have

$$M^{(x)} = \prod_{I \in \binom{[n]}{3}} \mathbf{P}\left(\overline{B_I^{(x)}}\right) \le \left(1 - \frac{Cx}{n^3}\right)^{\binom{n}{3}}$$

Now, use Theorem 2.3. Clearly, $\max_{B_I \sim B_J} |\{G \in {\binom{[n]}{3}} : B_G \sim \{B_I, B_J\}\}| \leq 3n^2$, for $n \geq 15$. Setting $\alpha = 3n^2$, using that $1 + z \leq e^z$, for all z, and $x \leq 2 \ln n$, we infer that, for n large,

$$\mathbf{P}(\wedge_{I\in\binom{[n]}{3}}\overline{B_{I}^{(x)}}) \leq M^{(x)} \cdot e^{\frac{D^{(x)}}{(1-\varepsilon(x))^{\alpha}}} \leq \left(1 - \frac{Cx}{n^{3}}\right)^{\binom{n}{3}} \cdot \exp\left(\frac{1.7\pi C^{2}x^{2}/n}{\left(1 - \frac{12Cx}{n^{3}}\right)^{3n^{2}}}\right)$$

$$(1) \qquad \leq \exp\left(\frac{-Cx}{n^{3}}\frac{(n-2)^{3}}{6}\right) \cdot \exp\left(\frac{2\pi C^{2}x^{2}}{n}\right) \leq \exp\left(-\frac{Cx}{7}\right).$$

Therefore, letting C = 7, the probability that the minimum area of a triangle is larger than $7x/n^3$ is at most e^{-x} . In particular, the probability that such area is in the range $[7x/n^3, 7(x+1)/n^3]$ is also at most e^{-x} .

By the result of Komlós, Pintz and Szemerédi [10] mentioned before, for a constant c > 0 and n sufficiently large, in any placement of n points in the unit-square $[0,1]^2$ the minimum area of a triangle is at most $2^{c\sqrt{\log n}}/n^{8/7}$.

Thus, for n large, the average minimum area $\widetilde{\Delta}_{3}^{(2)}(n)$ of a triangle satisfies

$$\begin{split} \widetilde{\Delta_3}^{(2)}(n) &\leq \sum_{x=0}^{\lfloor 2\ln n \rfloor} \frac{1}{e^x} \cdot \frac{7(x+1)}{n^3} + e^{-2\ln n} \cdot \frac{2^{c\sqrt{\log n}}}{n^{\frac{8}{7}}} \\ &= \sum_{x=0}^{\lfloor 2\ln n \rfloor} \frac{1}{e^x} \cdot \frac{7(x+1)}{n^3} + \frac{1}{n^2} \cdot \frac{2^{c\sqrt{\log n}}}{n^{\frac{8}{7}}} \leq \frac{18}{n^3}, \end{split}$$

where we used $\sum_{x=0}^{\infty} 7(x+1)/e^x = 7e^2/(e-1)^2 \le 17.6 < 18.$

Remark: If instead of the upper bound $2^{c\sqrt{\log n}}/n^{8/7}$ we had used only the trivial upper bound $\Delta_3(n) \leq 3/(2n)$, we would obtain $(19.1)/n^3$ instead of $18/n^3$ in the above result.

3. Areas of convex hulls of k points in $[0,1]^2$

The goal of this section is to discuss briefly the bounds on $\widetilde{\Delta}_k^{(2)}(n)$, when $k \ge 4$ is fixed. First, we generalize Lemma 2.2.

Lemma 3.1. Let $k \geq 3$ be fixed. For n sufficiently large, we have

$$\widetilde{\Delta}_{k}^{(2)}(n) \ge \frac{((k-2)!)^{1/(k-2)}}{8 n^{k/(k-2)}},$$

Proof. Place *n* points independently and uniformly at random in the unit-square $[0,1]^2$. For each set *J* of *k* of those points, let K_J be their convex hull. Clearly, if K_J has area at most *B*, then every triangle $P_g P_h P_i$ with $\{P_g, P_h, P_i\} \subseteq J$ has area at most *B*. Fix some *J* and assume without loss of generality that $J = \{P_1, \ldots, P_k\}$.

Let S be the event "area $(K_J) \leq B$ " and $E_{g,h}$ be the event "the distance between the points P_g and P_h is at least as large as the distance between the other pairs of points in the set J". By the union bound, we have

(2)
$$\mathbf{P}(S) \le \sum_{1 \le g < h \le k} \mathbf{P}(S \cap E_{g,h}).$$

To compute $\mathbf{P}(S \cap E_{g,h})$, note that for any choice of P_g and P_h any other point P_t from J must lie in a rectangle of area at most 4B. Since these points are chosen independently, we have $\mathbf{P}(S \cap E_{g,h}) \leq (4B)^{k-2}$, hence, expression (2) is at most $\binom{k}{2}(4B)^{k-2}$.

448 F. S. BENEVIDES, C. HOPPEN, H. LEFMANN AND K. ODERMANN

If we set $B = c/n^{k/(k-2)}$, by the union bound, the probability that at least one of the convex hulls K_J has area at most B is bounded above by

$$\binom{n}{k}\binom{k}{2}(4B)^{k-2} \le \frac{(4c)^{k-2}}{2\cdot(k-2)!}$$

In particular, if we choose $c = (1/4)((k-2)!)^{1/(k-2)}$, the above upper bound is 1/2, and by Markov's inequality, we have

$$\widetilde{\Delta}_{k}^{(2)}(n) \ge \left(1 - \frac{(4c)^{k-2}}{2 \cdot (k-2)!}\right) \cdot B = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{c}{n^{\frac{k}{k-2}}} = \frac{((k-2)!)^{\frac{1}{k-2}}}{8n^{\frac{k}{k-2}}}.$$

For a configuration of k points P_1, \ldots, P_k in $[0, 1]^2$, we define P_i and P_j to be *extremal points* if their Euclidean distance is at least as large as the distance of any pair of the points P_1, \ldots, P_k .

Lemma 3.2. Let $k \geq 3$ be fixed. There is an absolute constant $C_k > 0$ such that, for n sufficiently large, we have $\widetilde{\Delta}_k^{(2)}(n) \leq C_k/n^{k/(k-2)}$.

Proof. Place *n* points independently and uniformly at random in the unit-square $[0,1]^2$. For each subset *I* of *k* points, let K_I be the convex hull of points in *I*. We give an upper bound on the probability that every K_I has 'large' area. By our previous result, we know that $\mathbf{P}(\operatorname{area}(K_I) \leq B) \leq {k \choose 2} (4B)^{k-2} \leq k^2 (4B)^{k-2}$. Moreover, by Proposition 2.1, we have $\mathbf{P}(\operatorname{area}(K_I) \leq B) \geq B^{k-2}$, for $B \leq 1$.

Let $B_I^{(x)}$ denote the event "area $(K_I) \leq B(x)$ ", where $B(x) = Cx/n^{k/(k-2)}$ for a suitable constant $C > 0, x \in \{1, \ldots, \lfloor (2 \ln n)^{1/(k-2)} \rfloor\}$ and a subset I containing k of the n points. We have

$$\frac{(Cx)^{k-2}}{n^k} \le \mathbf{P}(B_I^{(x)}) \le \frac{k^2 4^{k-2} (Cx)^{k-2}}{n^k} = \varepsilon(x).$$

Fix distinct k-element sets I and J of points. The events $B_I^{(x)}$ and $B_J^{(x)}$ are dependent only if I and J intersect non-empty. Let $\ell = |I \cap J|$, and assume $1 \leq \ell \leq k - 1$. Let $I = \{P_1, \ldots, P_k\}$, and $J = \{P_1, \ldots, P_\ell, Q_{\ell+1}, \ldots, Q_k\}$. We estimate the probability $\mathbf{P}(B_I^{(x)} \wedge B_J^{(x)})$. First let $\ell = 1$, thus $|I \cap J| = 1$. Given P_1 , there are two possibilities for the

First let $\ell = 1$, thus $|I \cap J| = 1$. Given P_1 , there are two possibilities for the convex hulls of K_I and K_J , respectively: (i) P_1 is an extremal point for K_I or K_J , or (ii) P_1 is not an extremal point for K_I nor K_J .

Adding the two sub-cases, for $\ell = 1$, we can show for constants C', C'' > 0 that

(3)
$$D_{1}^{(x)} = \sum_{B_{I}^{(x)} \sim B_{J}^{(x)}; |I \cap J| = 1} \mathbf{P}(B_{I}^{(x)} \wedge B_{J}^{(x)})$$
$$\leq C'(4B(x))^{2k-4}n^{2k-1} \leq \frac{C''(Cx)^{2k-4}}{n}$$

Next let K_I and K_J have exactly ℓ points in common, $2 \leq \ell \leq k - 1$. Given the points P_1, \ldots, P_ℓ , there are three possibilities for the convex hulls of the points P_1, \ldots, P_k and $P_1, \ldots, P_\ell, Q_{\ell+1}, \ldots, Q_k$, respectively: (i) two of the common points P_1, \ldots, P_ℓ are extremal for K_I or K_J ; (ii) case (i) does not hold and exactly one of the points P_1, \ldots, P_ℓ is extremal for K_I or K_J ; (iii) none of the points P_1, \ldots, P_ℓ is extremal for K_I or K_J .

The largest upper bound for the probability that the convex hulls of K_I and K_J have area at most B(x) arises in case (iii) and is at most

(4)
$$C'' \cdot B(x)^{2k-\ell-2} \cdot \ln^3 n,$$

for a constant C'' > 0. This bound comes from multiplying $C'(4B(x))^{2k-\ell-4}$ by

$$\int_{0}^{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{\substack{t=-\lceil\sqrt{2}/y\rceil-1;\\t\neq 0}}^{\lceil\sqrt{2}/y\rceil+1} \left\{ 1, \frac{4\sqrt{2}B(x)}{|t|y} \right\} \sum_{\substack{s=-\lceil\sqrt{2}/y\rceil-1;\\s\neq 0}}^{\lceil\sqrt{2}/y\rceil+1} \left\{ 1, \frac{4\sqrt{2}B(x)}{|s|y} \right\} \cdot 2\pi y \, \mathrm{d}y.$$

By (4) and because $B(x) = Cx/n^{k/(k-2)}$, we infer that for $\ell \ge 2$

(5)
$$D_{\ell}^{(x)} = \sum_{B_{I}^{(x)} \sim B_{J}^{(x)}; |I \cap J| = \ell} \mathbf{P}(B_{I}^{(x)} \wedge B_{J}^{(x)}) \le C'' \cdot \frac{(Cx)^{2k-\ell-2}}{n^{\frac{2k-2\ell}{k-2}}} \cdot \ln^{3} n.$$

From equations (3) and (5), together with $x \leq (2 \ln n)^{1/(k-2)}$, $k \geq 4$, and n large, we have a constant C''' > 0 such that

$$D^{(x)} = \sum_{B_I^{(x)} \sim B_J^{(x)}} \mathbf{P}(B_I^{(x)} \wedge B_J^{(x)}) = \sum_{\ell=1}^{k-1} D_\ell^{(x)} \le \frac{C''' x^{2k-4} \cdot \ln^3 n}{n^{\frac{2}{k-2}}} \le \frac{4C''' \ln^5 n}{n^{\frac{2}{k-2}}}.$$

Moreover, using $1 + z \leq e^z$ for all z, for n large,

$$M^{(x)} = \prod_{I \in \binom{[n]}{k}} \mathbf{P}(\overline{B_I^{(x)}}) \le \left(1 - \frac{(Cx)^{k-2}}{n^k}\right)^{\binom{n}{k}} \le e^{-\frac{(Cx)^{k-2}}{n^k}\binom{n}{k}} \le e^{-\frac{(Cx)^{k-2}}{2k!}}.$$

We have $\max_{B_I^{(x)} \sim B_J^{(x)}} |\{G \in {[n] \choose k} : B_G^{(x)} \sim \{B_I^{(x)}, B_J^{(x)}\}\}| \le 3k^2 n^{k-1} = \alpha$. For n sufficiently large, $(1-\varepsilon(x))^{\alpha} \ge 1/2$. Hence, by $x \le (2 \ln n)^{1/(k-2)}$ and Theorem 2.3,

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{P}(\wedge_{I \in \binom{[n]}{k}} \overline{B_I^{(x)}}) &\leq M^{(x)} \cdot \exp\left(\frac{4C''' \cdot \ln^5 n}{n^{\frac{2}{k-2}}(1-\varepsilon(x))^{\alpha}}\right) \\ &\leq \exp\left(-\frac{(Cx)^{k-2}}{2\,k!}\right) \cdot \exp\left(\frac{8C''' \cdot \ln^5 n}{n^{\frac{2}{k-2}}}\right) \\ &\leq \exp\left(\frac{-(Cx)^{k-2}}{3\cdot k!}\right). \end{split}$$

Then, for $C = (3 \cdot k!)^{1/(k-2)}$, the probability that the minimum area of the convex hull of k points is within the range $[Cx/n^{k/(k-2)}, C(x+1)/n^{k/(k-2)}]$ is at most $e^{-x^{k-2}}$. Splitting the unit-square $[0,1]^2$ into squares of side length $\sqrt{k/n}$ and using the pigeonhole principle one shows that $\Delta_k^{(2)}(n) \leq k/n$. Therefore we have

$$\widetilde{\Delta}_{k}^{(2)}(n) \leq \sum_{x=0}^{\lfloor (2\ln n)^{\frac{k}{k-2}} \rfloor} e^{-x^{k-2}} \cdot \frac{C(x+1)}{n^{\frac{k}{k-2}}} + e^{-2\ln n} \cdot \frac{k}{n} = O\left(\frac{1}{n^{\frac{k}{k-2}}}\right). \qquad \Box$$

450 F. S. BENEVIDES, C. HOPPEN, H. LEFMANN and K. ODERMANN

Acknowledgment. This work was supported by Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior (CAPES) and DAAD via PROBRAL (CAPES Proc. 88881.143993/2017-01 and DAAD 57391132). C. Hoppen acknowledges the support of CNPq (308054/2018-0). F. Benevides acknowledges the support CNPq (Proc. 437841/2018-9, Proc. 314374/2018-3).

References

- Barequet G., A lower bound for Heilbronn's triangle problem in d dimensions, SIAM J. Discrete Math. 14 (2001), 230–236.
- Barequet G. and Naor J., Large k D simplices in the D-dimensional unit cube, in: Proc. "17th Canadian Conf. on Computational Geometry CCCG'05", 2005, 31–34.
- Bertram-Kretzberg C., Hofmeister T. and Lefmann H., An algorithm for Heilbronn's problem, SIAM J. Comput. 30 (2000), 383–390.
- Chazelle B., Lower bounds on the complexity of polytope range searching, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 2 (1989), 637–666.
- Comellas F. and Yebra J., New lower bounds for Heilbronn numbers, Electron. J. Combin. 9 (2002), #6.
- Goldberg M., Maximizing the smallest triangle made by N points in a square, Math. Magazine 45 (1972), 135–144.
- Grimmett G. and Janson S., On smallest triangles, Random Structures Algorithms 23 (2003), 206–223.
- Janson S., New versions of Suen's correlation inequality, Random Structures Algorithms 13 (1998), 467–483.
- Jiang T., Li M. and Vitány P., The average case area of Heilbronn-type triangles, Random Structures Algorithms 20 (2002), 206–219.
- Komlós J., Pintz J. and Szemerédi E., On Heilbronn's triangle problem, J. Lond. Math. Soc. 24 (1981), 385–396.
- Komlós J., Pintz J. and Szemerédi E., A lower bound for Heilbronn's problem, J. Lond. Math. Soc. 25 (1982), 13–24.
- Lefmann H., Distributions of points in the unit-square and large k-gons, European J. Combin. 29 (2008), 946–965.
- Lefmann H., Distributions of points in d dimensions and large k-point simplices, Discrete Comput. Geom. 40 (2008), 401–413.
- 14. Roth K. F., Developments in Heilbronn's triangle problem, Adv. Math. 22 (1976), 364-385.
- 15. Schmidt W. M., On a problem of Heilbronn, J. Lond. Math. Soc. 4 (1972), 545-550.
- 16. Zeng Z. and Chen L., On the Heilbronn optimal configuration of seven points in the square, in: Lecture Notes in Comput. Sci. 6301, 2011, 196–224.

F. S. Benevides, Universidade Federal do Ceará, Fortaleza, Brazil, *e-mail*: fabricio@mat.ufc.br

C. Hoppen, Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul, Porto Alegre, Brazil, e-mail: choppenOufrgs.br

H. Lefmann, Technische Universität Chemnitz, Chemnitz, Germany, *e-mail*: lefmann@informatik.tu-chemnitz.de

K. Odermann, Technische Universität Chemnitz, Chemnitz, Germany, *e-mail*: knut.odermann@informatik.tu-chemnitz.de