

## GROUP OF AUTOMORPHISMS PRESERVING COSETS OF A CENTRAL CHARACTERISTIC SUBGROUP AND RELATED RESULTS

R. G. GHUMDE AND S. H. GHATE

ABSTRACT. A concept of Subcentral automorphisms of a group  $G$  with respect to a characteristic subgroup  $M$  of  $Z(G)$  along with relevant mathematical paraphernalia is introduced. With the help of this a number of results on central automorphisms have been generalized.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $G$  be a group. We shall denote the commutator, centre, group of automorphisms and group of inner automorphisms of  $G$  by  $G'$ ,  $Z(G)$ ,  $\text{Aut}(G)$  and  $\text{Inn}(G)$ , respectively. Let  $\exp(G)$  denote the exponent of  $G$ .

For any group  $H$  and abelian group  $K$ , let  $\text{Hom}(H, K)$  denote the group of all homomorphisms from  $H$  to  $K$ . This is an abelian group with binary operation  $fg(x) = f(x)g(x)$  for  $f, g \in \text{Hom}(H, K)$ .

An automorphism  $\alpha$  of  $G$  is called central if  $x^{-1}\alpha(x) \in Z(G)$  for all  $x \in G$ . The set of all central automorphisms of  $G$ , which is here denoted by  $\text{Aut}_Z(G)$ , is a normal subgroup of  $\text{Aut}(G)$ . Notice that  $\text{Aut}_Z(G) = C_{\text{Aut}(G)}(\text{Inn}(G))$ , the centralizer of the subgroup  $\text{Inn}(G)$  in the group  $\text{Aut}(G)$ . The elements of  $\text{Aut}_Z(G)$  act trivially on  $G'$ .

There has been a number of results on the central automorphisms of a group. M. J. Curran [2] proved: *for any non-abelian finite group  $G$ ,  $\text{Aut}_Z^Z(G)$  is isomorphic with  $\text{Hom}(G/G'Z(G), Z(G))$ , where  $\text{Aut}_Z^Z(G)$  is group of all those central automorphisms which preserve the center  $Z(G)$  elementwise.*

In [3], Franciosi et al. showed that: *if  $Z(G)$  is torsion-free and  $Z(G)/G' \cap Z(G)$  is torsion, then  $\text{Aut}_Z(G)$  acts trivially on  $Z(G)$ . It is an abelian and torsion-free group.* They further proved that  *$\text{Aut}_Z(G)$  is trivial when  $Z(G)$  is torsion-free and  $G/G'$  is torsion.* In [5], Jamali et al. proved that *for a finite group  $G$  in which  $Z(G) \leq G'$ ,  $\text{Aut}_Z(G) \cong \text{Hom}(G/G', Z(G))$ .* They also proved that *if  $G$  is a purely non-abelian finite  $p$ -group of class two ( $p$  odd), then  $\text{Aut}_Z(G)$  is elementary abelian if and only if  $\Omega_1(Z(G)) = \phi(G)$ , and  $\exp(Z(G)) = p$  or  $\exp(G/G') = p$ ,*

---

Received September 12, 2014; revised March 15, 2016.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 20D45; Secondary 20E36, 20F28.

*Key words and phrases.* Central automorphisms; subcentral automorphisms; purely non-abelian group.

where  $\phi(G)$  is Frattini subgroup of  $G$  and  $\Omega_1(Z(G)) = \langle x \in Z(G) | x^p = 1 \rangle$ . Note that a group  $G$  is called purely non-abelian if it has no non trivial abelian direct factor. Adney [1] proved: *if a finite group  $G$  has no abelian direct factor, then there is a one-one and onto map between  $\text{Aut}_Z(G)$  and  $\text{Hom}(G, Z(G))$ .*

In this article, we generalize the above results to subcentral automorphisms.

## 2. SUBCENTRAL AUTOMORPHISMS

Let  $M$  and  $N$  be two normal subgroups of  $G$ . By  $\text{Aut}_N(G)$ , we mean the subgroup of  $\text{Aut}(G)$  consisting of all automorphisms which induce identity on  $G/N$ . By  $\text{Aut}^M(G)$ , we mean the subgroup of  $\text{Aut}(G)$  consisting of all automorphisms which restrict to the identity on  $M$ .

Let  $\text{Aut}_N^M(G) = \text{Aut}_N(G) \cap \text{Aut}^M(G)$ . From now onwards,  $M$  will be a characteristic central subgroup and elements of  $\text{Aut}_M(G)$  will be called subcentral automorphisms of  $G$  (with respect to subcentral subgroup  $M$ ). It can be seen that  $\text{Aut}_M(G)$  is a normal subgroup of  $\text{Aut}_Z(G)$ .

We further let

$$C^* = \{ \alpha \in \text{Aut}^M(G) : \alpha\beta = \beta\alpha, \text{ for all } \beta \in \text{Aut}_M(G) \}.$$

Clearly  $C^*$  is a normal subgroup of  $\text{Aut}(G)$ . Since every inner automorphism commutes with elements of  $\text{Aut}_Z(G)$ ,  $\text{Inn}(G) \leq C^*$ . Let

$$P = \langle \{ [g, \alpha] : g \in G, \alpha \in C^* \} \rangle, \quad \text{where } [g, \alpha] \equiv g^{-1}\alpha(g).$$

In the following,  $P$  and  $C^*$  will always correspond to a central subgroup of  $M$  of  $G$  as in the above definitions.

Following proposition shows that each element of  $P$  is invariant under the natural action of  $\text{Aut}_M(G)$ .

**Proposition 2.1.**  *$\text{Aut}_M(G)$  acts trivially on  $P$ .*

*Proof.* Consider an automorphism  $\alpha \in \text{Aut}_M(G)$ . This implies  $x^{-1}\alpha(x) \in M$  for all  $x \in G$ . So  $\alpha(x) = xm$  for some  $m \in M$ . Let  $\beta \in C^*$ . By definition of  $C^*$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha([x, \beta]) &= \alpha(x^{-1}\beta(x)) = (\alpha(x))^{-1}\beta(\alpha(x)) \\ &= m^{-1}x^{-1}\beta(xm) \quad (\because \alpha \in \text{Aut}_M(G)) \\ &= m^{-1}x^{-1}\beta(x)m = x^{-1}\beta(x) = [x, \beta], \quad (\because \beta \in C^*). \end{aligned}$$

Hence the result follows. □

Let  $E^*$  be any normal subgroup of  $\text{Aut}(G)$  contained in  $C^*$ , and  $K = \langle [g, \alpha] | g \in G, \alpha \in E^* \rangle$ .

In particular, when  $E^* = \text{Inn}(G)$ , we get  $K = G'$ . In the following,  $K$  represents a subgroup of  $P$  which is obtained in the above manner for a corresponding  $E^*$ . Since  $K$  is a subgroup of  $P$ , it is invariant under the action of  $\text{Aut}_M(G)$ . It is easy to see that  $K$  is a characteristic subgroup of  $G$ , and hence it is a normal subgroup of  $G$ .

Our main results are given by the following theorems.

**Theorem A.** For a finite group  $G$ ,  $\text{Aut}_M^M(G) \cong \text{Hom}(G/KM, M)$ .

**Theorem B.** Let  $G$  be a group with  $M$  torsion-free and  $M/M \cap K$  torsion. Then  $\text{Aut}_M(G)$  is a torsion-free abelian group which acts trivially on  $M$ .

**Theorem C.** If  $G$  is a purely non-abelian finite group, then  $|\text{Aut}_M(G)| = |\text{Hom}(G, M)|$ .

**Theorem D.** If  $G$  is a purely non-abelian finite  $p$ -group ( $p$  odd), then  $\text{Aut}_M(G)$  is an elementary abelian  $p$ -group if and only if  $\exp(M) = p$  or  $\exp(G/K) = p$ .

**Theorem E.** Let  $G$  be a non-abelian finitely generated group in which  $M$  is indecomposable with both  $M$  and  $G/M$  torsion free abelian, then  $\text{Hom}(G, M)$  is a torsion free abelian group.

*Proof of Theorem A.* For any  $\mu \in \text{Aut}_M^M(G)$ , define the map

$$\psi_\mu: \text{Hom}\left(\frac{G}{KM}, M\right) \rightarrow M \quad \text{as} \quad \psi_\mu(gKM) = g^{-1}\mu(g).$$

We first show that  $\psi_\mu$  is well defined. Let  $gKM = hKM$ , i.e.,  $gh^{-1} \in KM$ . Therefore,

$$\mu(gh^{-1}) = gh^{-1} \implies g^{-1}\mu(g) = h^{-1}\mu(h) \implies \psi_\mu(gKM) = \psi_\mu(hKM).$$

For proving  $\psi_\mu$  is a homomorphism, consider

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_\mu(gKMhKM) &= \psi_\mu(ghKM) = (gh)^{-1}\mu(gh) = h^{-1}g^{-1}\mu(g)\mu(h) \\ &= g^{-1}\mu(g)h^{-1}\mu(h) = \psi_\mu(gKM) \cdot \psi_\mu(hKM). \end{aligned}$$

Now define a map  $\psi: \text{Aut}_M^M(G) \rightarrow \text{Hom}\left(\frac{G}{KM}, M\right)$  as  $\psi(\mu) = \psi_\mu$ . We show that  $\psi$  is the required isomorphism. For  $f, g \in \text{Aut}_M^M(G)$  and  $h \in G$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(fg)(hKM) &= \psi_{fg}(hKM) = h^{-1}fg(h) = h^{-1}f(hh^{-1}g(h)) \\ &= h^{-1}f(h)h^{-1}g(h) = \psi_f(hKM)\psi_g(hKM) = \psi_f \cdot \psi_g(hKM). \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\psi(fg) = \psi(f)\psi(g)$ .

Consider  $\psi(\mu_1) = \psi(\mu_2)$ , i.e.,  $\psi_{\mu_1}(gKM) = \psi_{\mu_2}(gKM)$  for all  $g \in G$ . This implies  $g^{-1}\mu_1(g) = g^{-1}\mu_2(g)$ , so  $\mu_1 = \mu_2$  as  $g$  is an arbitrary element of  $G$ . Thus  $\psi$  is a monomorphism.

We next show that  $\psi$  is onto. For any  $\tau \in \text{Hom}\left(\frac{G}{KM}, M\right)$ , define a map  $\mu: G \rightarrow G$  as  $\mu(g) = g\tau(gKM)$  and  $g \in G$ . Now we show that  $\mu \in \text{Aut}_M^M(G)$ . For  $g_1, g_2 \in G$ ,

$$\mu(g_1g_2) = g_1g_2\tau(g_1g_2KM) = g_1\tau(g_1KM)g_2\tau(g_2KM) = \mu(g_1)\mu(g_2).$$

Therefore,  $\mu$  is a homomorphism on  $G$ .

Further, let  $\mu(g) = 1$ . This implies

$$g\tau(gKM) = 1 \implies \tau(gKM) = g^{-1} \implies g^{-1} \in M.$$

Therefore,

$$gKM = KM \implies \tau(gKM) = 1 \implies g = 1.$$

Hence  $\mu$  is one-one.

As  $G$  is finite,  $\mu$  must be onto. So  $\mu \in \text{Aut}(G)$ . Further, as  $g^{-1}\mu(g) = g^{-1}g\tau(gKM) = \tau(gKM) \in M$ , so  $\mu \in \text{Aut}_M(G)$ . Also if  $g \in M$ , then

$$\mu(g) = g(\tau(gKM)) = g\tau(KM) = g.$$

Thus,  $\mu \in \text{Aut}_M^M(G)$  and  $\psi(\mu) = \tau$ .  $\square$

Hence the theorem follows.

**Corollary 2.2.** *Let  $G$  be a finite group with  $M \leq K$ , then  $\text{Aut}_M(G) \cong \text{Hom}(G/K, M)$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $M \leq K$   $G/KM = G/K$ . The result follows directly from Theorem A and Proposition 2.1.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem B.* Let  $\alpha \in \text{Aut}_M(G)$ . If  $x$  is an element of  $M$ , then by the hypothesis,  $x^n \in M \cap K$  for some positive integer  $n$ . By Proposition 2.1, we have  $x^n = \alpha(x^n) = (\alpha(x))^n$ , and hence  $x^{-n}(\alpha(x))^n = 1$ . Since  $x^{-1}\alpha(x) \in M \subseteq Z(G)$ , this implies  $(x^{-1}\alpha(x))^n = 1$ . As  $M$  is torsion-free, this implies that  $x^{-1}\alpha(x) = 1$ , i.e.,  $\alpha(x) = x$ . Therefore,  $\text{Aut}_M(G)$  acts trivially on  $M$ .

Let  $\alpha, \beta \in \text{Aut}_M(G)$  and  $x \in G$ . So

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha\beta(x) &= \alpha(\beta(x)) = \alpha(xx^{-1}\beta(x)) = \alpha(x)x^{-1}\beta(x) = xx^{-1}\alpha(x)x^{-1}\beta(x) \\ &= \beta(x)x^{-1}\alpha(x) = \beta(x)\beta(x^{-1}\alpha(x)) = \beta\alpha(x). \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $\text{Aut}_M(G)$  is an abelian group.

Now, consider  $\alpha \in \text{Aut}_M(G)$  and suppose there exists  $k \in N$  such that  $\alpha^k = 1$ . Since  $x^{-1}\alpha(x) \in M$  for all  $x \in G$ , there exists  $g \in M$  such that  $\alpha(x) = xg$ . Further,  $\alpha^2(x) = \alpha(\alpha(x)) = \alpha(xg) = \alpha(x)\alpha(g) = xg^2$  (because  $\alpha$  acts trivially on  $M$ ). Hence, by induction,  $\alpha^n(x) = xg^n$ . But  $\alpha^k = 1$  implies  $x = xg^k$ , i.e.,  $g^k = 1$ . As  $M$  is torsion-free, we must have  $g = 1$ . Thus  $\alpha(x) = x$  for every  $x$ , i.e.,  $\alpha = 1$ . Therefore,  $\text{Aut}_M(G)$  is torsion-free, and the theorem follows.  $\square$

**Proposition 2.3.** *If  $G$  is a group in which  $M$  is torsion-free and  $G/K$  is torsion, then  $\text{Aut}_M(G) = 1$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\alpha \in \text{Aut}_M(G)$  and  $x \in G$ . Then by the assumption,  $x^n \in K$  for some  $n \in N$ . As  $\alpha$  fixes  $K$  elementwise, we have  $(\alpha(x))^n = \alpha(x^n) = x^n$ . So  $x^{-n}(\alpha(x))^n = 1$ . But  $\alpha \in \text{Aut}_M(G)$ , and hence  $x^{-1}\alpha(x) \in M \leq Z(G)$ . This implies that  $(x^{-1}\alpha(x))^n = 1$ . Since  $M$  torsion-free, it follows that  $x^{-1}\alpha(x) = 1$ , i.e.,  $\alpha(x) = x$ , for all  $x \in G$ . So  $\text{Aut}_M(G) = 1$ .  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem C.* For  $f \in \text{Aut}_M(G)$ , we let  $\alpha(f) \equiv \alpha_f$  defined as  $\alpha(f)(g) \equiv \alpha_f(g) = g^{-1}f(g), g \in G$ . It can be shown that  $\alpha_f \in \text{Hom}(G, M)$ . We thus have  $\alpha: \text{Aut}_M(G) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(G, M)$ . One can easily see that  $\alpha$  is injective.

It just remains to show that  $\alpha$  is onto. For  $\sigma \in \text{Hom}(G, M)$ , consider the map  $f: G \rightarrow G$  given by  $f(g) = g\sigma(g)$ .  $f$  is an endomorphism and also  $g^{-1}f(g) = \sigma(g) \in M$ , which implies that  $f$  is a subcentral endomorphism of  $G$ , and hence  $f$

is a normal endomorphism, (i.e.,  $f$  commutes with all inner automorphisms). So, clearly  $\text{Im}(f)$  is a normal subgroup of  $G$ .

It is easy to see that  $f^n$  is also normal endomorphism, and hence  $\text{Im}(f^n)$  is a normal subgroup of  $G$  for all  $n \geq 1$ . Since  $G$  is a finite group, the two series

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ker } f &\leq \text{Ker } f^2 \leq \dots \\ \text{Im}(f) &\geq \text{Im}(f^2) \geq \dots \end{aligned}$$

will terminate.

So there exists  $k \in N$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ker } f^k &= \text{Ker } f^{k+1} = \dots = A, \\ \text{Im}(f^k) &= \text{Im}(f^{k+1}) = \dots = B. \end{aligned}$$

Now, we prove that  $G = AB$ .

Let  $g \in G$ ,  $f^k(g) \in \text{Im}(f^k) = \text{Im}(f^{2k})$ , and so  $f^k(g) = f^{2k}(h)$  for some  $h \in G$ . Therefore,  $f^k(g) = f^k(f^k(h))$ . This implies  $f^k(g^{-1})f^k(g) = f^k(g^{-1})f^k(f^k(h))$ . Thus  $(f^k(h))^{-1}g \in \text{Ker } f^k = A$ . Thus  $g \in AB$ , and hence  $G = AB$ .

Clearly  $A \cap B = \langle 1 \rangle$  and therefore,  $G = A \times B$ . If  $f(g) = 1$ , then  $g^{-1}\sigma(g) = 1$ . This implies  $\text{Ker } f \leq M$ . Similarly, if  $f^2(g) = 1$ , i.e.,  $f(f(g)) = 1$ . Thus  $f(g) \in \text{Ker } f \leq M$ . Therefore,  $g\sigma(g) \in M$  implies  $g \in M$ . Hence  $\text{Ker } f^2 \leq M$ . Repetition of this argument gives  $A \equiv \text{Ker } f^k \leq M \leq Z(G)$ . This implies  $A$  is an abelian group. By assumption,  $G$  is purely non-abelian, and hence we must have  $A \equiv \text{Ker } f^k = 1$ . This further implies  $\text{Ker } f = 1$ , i.e.,  $f$  is injective. So  $G = B \equiv \text{Im}(f^k) = \text{Im}(f)$ . Thus  $f$  surjective. Hence,  $f \in \text{Aut}_M(G)$ . From the definition of  $\alpha$ , it follows that  $\alpha(f) = \sigma$ . Hence  $\alpha$  is surjective. Therefore,  $\alpha$  is the required bijection. Hence the result follows.  $\square$

**Proposition 2.4.** *Let  $G$  be a purely non-abelian finite group, then for each  $\alpha \in \text{Hom}(G, M)$  and each  $x \in K$ , we have  $\alpha(x) = 1$ . Further  $\text{Hom}(G/K, M) \cong \text{Hom}(G, M)$ .*

*Proof.* Whenever  $G$  is a purely non-abelian group, then by Theorem C,  $|\text{Aut}_M(G)| = |\text{Hom}(G, M)|$ . For every  $\sigma \in \text{Aut}_M(G)$ , it follows that  $f_\sigma: x \rightarrow x^{-1}\sigma(x)$  is a homomorphism from  $G$  to  $M$ . Further the map  $\sigma \rightarrow f_\sigma$  is one-one, and thus a bijection because  $|\text{Aut}_M(G)| = |\text{Hom}(G, M)|$ . So every homomorphism from  $G$  to  $M$  can be considered as an image of some element of  $\text{Aut}_M(G)$  under this bijection. Let  $\alpha \in \text{Hom}(G, M)$ . Since  $K = \{[g, \alpha] : g \in G, \alpha \in C^{*}\}$ , a typical generator of  $K$  is given by  $g^{-1}\beta(g)$  for an element  $g \in G$ , and  $\beta \in C^*$ . So  $\alpha(g^{-1}\beta(g)) = f_\sigma(g^{-1}\beta(g)) = (g^{-1}\beta(g))^{-1}\sigma(g^{-1}\beta(g))$ . But by Proposition 2.1,  $\sigma(g^{-1}\beta(g)) = g^{-1}\beta(g)$  (because  $g^{-1}\beta(g) \in K$ ), and hence  $\alpha(g^{-1}\beta(g)) = \beta^{-1}(g)gg^{-1}\beta(g) = 1$ . It follows that  $\alpha(x) = 1$  for every  $x \in K$ .

Now consider the map  $\phi: \text{Hom}(G, M) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(G/K, M)$  such that  $\phi(f) = \bar{f}$ , where  $\bar{f}(gK) = f(g)$  for all  $g \in G$ . Clearly this map  $\phi$  is an isomorphism.  $\square$

**Proposition 2.5.** *Let  $G$  be a purely non-abelian finite group, then  $|\text{Aut}_M(G)| = |\text{Hom}(G/K, M)|$ .*

*Proof.* Proof follows directly from Theorem C and Proposition 2.4.  $\square$

**Proposition 2.6.** *Let  $p$  be a prime number. If  $G$  is a purely non-abelian finite  $p$ -group, then  $\text{Aut}_M(G)$  is a  $p$ -group.*

*Proof.* By the assumption, the subgroup  $M$ , and hence  $\text{Hom}(G/M, M)$  are finite  $p$ -groups. Hence the result follows directly from Proposition 2.5.  $\square$

**Proposition 2.7.** *Let  $G$  be a purely non-abelian finite group.*

- (i) *If  $\gcd(|G/K|, |M|) = 1$ , then  $\text{Aut}^M(G) = 1$ .*
- (ii) *If  $\text{Aut}_M(G) = 1$ , then  $M \leq K$ .*

*Proof.* (i) Follows from Proposition 2.5.

(ii) Let  $|G/K| = a$  and  $|M| = b$ . Since  $\text{Aut}_M(G) = 1$ , hence by Proposition 2.5,  $(a, b) = 1$ . So there exist integers  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  such that  $\lambda a + \mu b = 1$ . Let  $x \in M$ . Thus  $xK = (xK)^1 = (xK)^{\lambda a + \mu b} = (xK)^{\lambda a} (xK)^{\mu b} = K$ , so  $x \in K$ .  $\square$

*Remark 2.1.* From Corollary 2.2 and Proposition 2.4, we can say that whenever  $M \leq K$ ,  $\text{Aut}_M(G) \cong \text{Hom}(G, M)$ . Even when  $\text{Im } f \leq K$ , for all  $f \in \text{Hom}(G, M)$ , this result holds. Thus, if  $G$  is a purely non-abelian finite group and if for all  $f \in \text{Hom}(G, M)$ ,  $\text{Im } f \leq K$ , then  $\text{Aut}_M(G) \cong \text{Hom}(G/K, M)$ .

*Remark 2.2.* For every  $f \in \text{Hom}(G, M)$ , the map  $\sigma_f: x \rightarrow xf(x)$  is a subcentral endomorphism of  $G$ . This endomorphism is an automorphism if and only if  $f(x) \neq x^{-1}$  for all  $1 \neq x \in G$  ( $G$  is finite).

Adney and Yen [1] proved that the mapping

$$f(x) = x^{-1} \text{ for all } 1 \neq x \in G, \quad \text{and} \quad f \in \text{Hom}(G, Z(G))$$

does not exist for a purely non-abelian finite  $p$ -group. Hence, for a purely non-abelian  $p$ -group,  $\sigma_f$  is an automorphism.

The following lemma was proved in [4]. We use it to prove Theorem D.

**Lemma 2.8.** *Let  $x$  be an element of a finite  $p$ -group  $G$  and  $N$  a normal subgroup of  $G$  containing  $G'$  such that  $o(x) = o(xN) = p$ . If the cyclic subgroup  $\langle x \rangle$  is normal in  $G$  such that  $ht(xN) = 1$ , then  $\langle x \rangle$  is a direct factor of  $G$ .*

In the above statement,  $ht$  denotes height. Height of an element  $x$  of a  $p$ -group  $G$  is defined as the largest  $p$ -power  $p^n$  such that  $x \in G^{p^n}$ , where  $G^m = \{g^m : g \in G\}$ .

*Proof of Theorem D.* For an odd prime  $p$ , let  $\text{Aut}_M(G)$  be an elementary abelian  $p$ -group. Assume that the exponents of  $M$  and  $G/K$  are both strictly greater than  $p$ . Since  $G/K$  is finite abelian, it has a cyclic direct summand  $\langle xK \rangle$ , say, of order  $p^n$  ( $n \geq 2$ ), and hence there exists  $L$  so that  $G/K \cong \langle xK \rangle \times L/K$ . For  $f \in \text{Hom}(G, M)$ , there exists an element  $a$  of order  $p^m$ , where  $2 \leq m \leq n$  such that  $f(x) = a$  for any  $x \in G$  (From Remark 2.1, we can easily see that  $a \neq x^{-1}$ ). So  $\bar{f}(xK) = a$ .

We can use the homomorphism  $\bar{f}$  to get a corresponding homomorphism (also denoted by the same notation)  $\bar{f}$  as  $\bar{f}: \langle xK \rangle \times L/K \rightarrow M$  with  $(x^i K, lK) \rightarrow a^i$ . The map  $\bar{f}$  on  $\langle xK \rangle \times L/K$  is well defined since  $o(a) | o(xK)$  (as  $m \leq n$ ). If  $aK = (x^s K, lK)$ , then we show that  $p | s$ . Assume  $p \nmid s$ , then  $\langle xK \rangle = \langle x^s K \rangle$ , and

hence  $G/K = \langle aK \rangle L/K$ . Now we have  $o(a) \geq o(aK) \geq o(x^s K) = o(xK) \geq o(\bar{f}(xK)) = o(a)$ . This implies that  $o(a) = o(aK)$ . Thus  $\langle a \rangle \cap K = 1$ . As  $o(aK) = o(xK)$ , we get  $G/K \cong \langle aK \rangle \times L/K$ , and hence  $G \cong \langle a \rangle \times L$ . This is a contradiction as  $G$  is a purely non-abelian group. Thus  $p|s$ .

By Remark 2.2 and Theorem C,  $\sigma_f \in \text{Aut}_M(G)$  and by assumption,  $o(\sigma_f) = p$ . Now, we have  $\sigma_f(x) = xf(x) = xa$ . Since  $f(a) = \bar{f}((xK)^s, lK) = a^s$ , we have

$$\sigma_f^2(x) = xa^{s+2} = xa^{\frac{(s+1)^2-1}{s}} = xa^{n_2(s)},$$

where  $n_j(s) = \frac{(s+1)^j-1}{s}$  for  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ . Also,  $\sigma_f^3(x) = xa^{n_3(s)}$ .

Generalizing this, we get  $\sigma_f^t(x) = xa^{n_t(s)}$  for every  $t \in \mathbb{N}$ .

As the order of  $\sigma_f$  is  $p$ , we have  $a^{n_p(s)} = 1$ . Since  $p$  is odd and  $p|s$ , we have  $p^2|(n_p(s) - p)$ . Therefore,  $qp^2 + p = n_p(s)$  for some  $q \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Thus  $(a^p)^{qp+1} = 1$ . But  $o(a) = p^m$  implies  $o(a^p) = p^{m-1}$ . Now

(1) if  $a^p \neq 1$ , then  $p^{m-1} | (qp + 1)$ . But this is impossible as  $m \geq 2$ .

(2)  $a^p = 1$  is also not possible as  $o(a) = p^m$  and  $m \geq 2$ .

So, the assumption that  $\exp(M)$  and  $\exp(G/M)$  are strictly greater than  $p$  is wrong.

Conversely, assume that  $\exp(G/K) = p$  and  $f \in \text{Hom}(G, M)$ . Then by proposition,  $\bar{f} \in \text{Hom}(G/K, M)$ . So for  $x \in G$ , put  $\bar{f}(xK) = a$ . If  $aK \neq 1$ , then it follows that  $o(aK) = o(a) = p$ . Clearly  $\langle a \rangle \leq M \leq Z(G)$ , and hence the cyclic subgroup  $\langle a \rangle$  is normal in  $G$ . We also have  $ht(aK) = 1$ . Now by the Lemma 2.8, the cyclic subgroup  $\langle a \rangle$  is an abelian direct factor of  $G$ , and this contradicts the assumption. Therefore,  $a \in K$ . This implies that  $\text{Im}(f) \leq K$ . Hence by Remark 2.1,  $\text{Aut}_M(G) \cong \text{Hom}(G/K, M)$ . But as  $M$  is abelian,  $\text{Hom}(G/K, M)$  is abelian. Thus  $\text{Aut}_M(G)$  is abelian. Since  $\exp(G/K) = p$ , this implies that  $\text{Aut}_M(G)$  is an elementary abelian  $p$ -group.

Now assume that  $\exp(M) = p$ . Consider  $f, g \in \text{Hom}(G, M)$ . We first show that  $g \circ f(x) = 1$  for all  $x \in G$ . Assume that  $f(xK) = b \in M$  for  $x \in G$ . Since  $\exp(M) = p$ , it implies that  $o(b)|p$ . If  $b = 1$ , then  $g \circ f(x) = g(\bar{f}(xK)) = 1$ . Now take  $o(b) = p$ . If  $b \in K$ , then we have  $g(f(x)) = g(\bar{f}(xK)) = g(b) = 1$ . Assume  $b$  does not belong to  $K$ . As  $b^p = 1$ , it follows that  $o(bK) = p$ . Also, as  $b \in M \leq Z(G)$ ,  $\langle b \rangle$  is normal in  $G$ . Now if  $ht(bK) = 1$ , then by the Lemma 2.8, the cyclic subgroup  $\langle b \rangle$  is an abelian direct factor of  $G$ , giving a contradiction. So assume  $ht(bK) = p^m$  for some  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . By the definition of height, there exists an element  $yK$  in  $G/K$  such that  $bK = (yK)^{p^m}$ . But  $\exp(M) = p$ . Therefore,  $g \circ f(x) = g(b) = \bar{g}(bK) = \bar{g}((yK)^{p^m}) = 1$ . Thus, for all  $f, g \in \text{Hom}(G, M)$  and each  $x \in G$ ,  $g(f(x)) = 1$ . We can similarly show that  $f(g(x)) = 1$ , and hence  $f \circ g = g \circ f$ . From Remark 2.2,  $\sigma_f \circ \sigma_g = \sigma_g \circ \sigma_f$ . This shows that  $\text{Aut}_M(G)$  is abelian.

Now we show that each non trivial element of  $\text{Aut}_M(G)$  has order  $p$ . So if  $\alpha \in \text{Aut}_M(G)$ , then by Remark 2.2, there exists a homomorphism  $f \in \text{Hom}(G, M)$  such that  $\alpha = \sigma_f$ . Therefore, we have to show that  $o(\sigma_f)|p$ . Clearly, taking  $f = g$  and using  $f(f(x)) = 1, x \in G$ , we have  $x \in G$  and  $\sigma_f^2(x) = \sigma_f(xf(x)) = x(f(x))^2$ .

In general, for  $n \geq 1$ ,  $\sigma_f^n(x) = x(f(x))^n$ . As  $\exp(M) = p$  and  $f(x) \in M$ , we have  $\sigma_f^p(x) = x$  which implies  $\sigma_f^p = 1_{\text{Aut}_M(G)}$ .

Hence  $o(\sigma_f) | p$ . Thus,  $o(\alpha) | p$  for all  $\alpha \in \text{Aut}_M(G)$ . Therefore,  $\text{Aut}_M(G)$  is an elementary abelian group.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.9.** *Let  $G$  be a non-abelian finitely generated group such that  $G/M$  and  $M$  are torsion free abelian. Suppose that  $M$  is indecomposable and  $f \in \text{Hom}(G, M)$ , then  $M \leq \text{Ker}(f)$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $G/M$  and  $M$  are abelian,  $G' \leq M \cap \text{Ker}(f)$ . Therefore,  $G/M \cap \text{Ker}(f)$  is abelian.

The map  $\sigma: x(M \cap \text{Ker}(f)) \rightarrow xM$  defines an epimorphism from  $G/M \cap \text{Ker}(f)$  onto  $G/M$ .

Since  $G/M$  is a free abelian group, by [6, Theorem 4.2.4] there exists a homomorphism  $\alpha: G/M \rightarrow G/M \cap \text{Ker}(f)$  such that  $\sigma \circ \alpha$  is an identity on  $G/M$ .

Since  $\text{Im}(\alpha)$  is a subgroup of  $G/M \cap \text{Ker}(f)$ , there exists a subgroup  $L$  of  $G$  containing  $M \cap \text{Ker}(f)$  such that  $\text{Im}(\alpha) = L/M \cap \text{Ker}(f)$ . Because  $G/M \cap \text{Ker}(f)$  is abelian,  $L/M \cap \text{Ker}(f)$  is a normal subgroup of  $G/M \cap \text{Ker}(f)$ . So that  $L$  is a normal subgroup of  $G$ .

Here  $G = ML$ . This follows from following arguments.

Here  $\alpha$  is an injective homomorphism from  $G/M$  to  $G/M \cap \text{Ker}(f)$  and its image is  $L/M \cap \text{Ker}(f)$ . This means, if we pull back  $L$  via inverse of  $\alpha$ , then we get  $G$ . But the inverse image is  $ML$ . Hence  $G = ML$ .

Also,  $M \cap L = M \cap \text{Ker}(f)$  because  $\sigma \circ \alpha$  is an identity on  $G/M$ . Here  $L \neq 1$ , otherwise  $G = M$ , and so  $G$  is abelian, which is a contradiction as  $G$  is non abelian.

From the fact that  $G$  is finitely generated, it follows that  $L/M \cap \text{Ker}(f)$ , and so  $M/M \cap \text{Ker}(f)$  is a finitely generated abelian group.

Furthermore,  $M/M \cap \text{Ker}(f)$  is torsion free. Let  $t \in M$  and  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  be such that

$$(t(M \cap \text{Ker}(f)))^k = 1.$$

Since  $M$  is torsion free,  $f(t) = 1$ , and so  $t \in \text{Ker}(f)$ . Therefore,  $t \in M \cap \text{Ker}(f)$ . This shows that  $M/M \cap \text{Ker}(f)$  is free abelian. Hence by [6, Theorem 4.2.5],  $M = (M \cap \text{Ker}(f)) \times A$  for some  $A \leq M$ . Since  $M$  is indecomposable,  $M \cap \text{Ker}(f) = 1$  or  $A = 1$ .

If  $M \cap \text{Ker}(f) = 1$ , then  $G' = 1$ , and so  $G$  is abelian. This is a contradiction.

Therefore, we must have  $A = 1$ , and this means  $M = M \cap \text{Ker}(f)$ . Thus,  $M$  is contained in  $\text{Ker}(f)$  as desired.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem E.* Let  $f \in \text{Hom}(G, M)$ . Consider a map  $\sigma_f: G/M \rightarrow M$  as  $\sigma_f(x) = f(x)$ . It defines a homomorphism from  $G/M$  to  $M$ .

Hence  $\sigma_f$  is well defined. This is because, for  $x_1, x_2 \in G$ ,  $x_1x_2^{-1} \in M$  implies  $f(x_1x_2^{-1}) = 1$  by Lemma 2.9, and this means  $f(x_1) = f(x_2)$ . Clearly,  $\sigma_f$  is a homomorphism. Thus,  $\sigma_f \in \text{Hom}(G/M, M)$ .

Now, it is easy to see that the map  $f \rightarrow \sigma_f$  is an isomorphism from  $\text{Hom}(G, M)$  to  $\text{Hom}(G/M, M)$ . Therefore,

$$\text{Hom}(G, M) \cong \text{Hom}(G/M, M).$$



Since  $G/M$  is a free abelian group, there exists  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $G/M = \underbrace{Z \times Z \times \dots \times Z}_{n \text{ times}}$ .

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}(G, M) &\simeq \text{Hom}(G/M, M) \\ &\simeq \text{Hom}\left(\underbrace{Z \times Z \times \dots \times Z}_{n \text{ times}}, M\right) \\ &\simeq \text{Hom}\left(\underbrace{(Z, M) \times \dots \times (Z, M)}_{n \text{ times}}\right) \\ &\simeq \underbrace{M \times M \times \dots \times M}_{n \text{ times}} \end{aligned}$$

by [6, Theorem 4.7 and 4.9].

Since  $M$  is a torsion-free abelian group,  $\text{Hom}(G, M)$  is a torsion free abelian group.  $\square$

#### REFERENCES

1. Adney J. E. and Yen T., *Automorphisms of a p-group*, Illinois J. Math., **9** (1965), 137–143.
2. Curran M.J., *Finite groups with central automorphism group of minimal order*, Math. Proc. Royal Irish Acad., **104 A(2)** (2004), 223–229.
3. Franciosi S., Giovanni F. D. and Newell M. L., *On central automorphisms of infinite groups.*, Commun. Algebra **22(7)** (1994), 2559–2578.
4. Jafri M. H., *Elementary abelian p-group as central automorphisms group*, Comm. Algebra., **34(2)** (2006), 601–607.
5. Jamali A. R. and Mousavi H., *On central automorphism groups of finite p-group*, Algebra Colloquium., **9(1)** (2002), 7–14.
6. Robinson D. J. S., *A course in the theory of groups*, Newyork Inc., Springer-Verlag, 1996.

R. G. Ghumde, Department of Mathematics, Ramdeobaba College of Engineering & Management Nagpur, India 440013, *e-mail*: [ranjitghumde@gmail.com](mailto:ranjitghumde@gmail.com)

S. H. Ghate, Department of Mathematics, R. T. M. Nagpur University, Nagpur, India 440033, *e-mail*: [sureshghate@gmail.com](mailto:sureshghate@gmail.com)