# LINE-GRACEFUL DESIGNS

### D. ERDEMIR AND E. KOLOTOĞLU

ABSTRACT. In [3], the authors adapted the edge-graceful graph labeling definition into block designs. In this article, we adapt the line-graceful graph labeling definition into block designs and define a block design  $(V, \mathcal{B})$  with |V| = v as line-graceful if there exists a function  $f: \mathcal{B} \to \{0, 1, \ldots, v-1\}$  such that the induced mapping  $f^+: V \to \mathbb{Z}_v$  given by  $f^+(x) = \sum_{A \in \mathcal{B} : x \in A} f(A) \pmod{v}$  is a bijection. In this article, the cases that are incomplete in terms of block-graceful labelings, are completed in terms of line-graceful labelings. Moreover, we prove that there exists a line-graceful Steiner quadruple system of order  $2^n$  for all  $n \geq 3$  by using a recursive construction.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

A design (or block design) is a pair  $(V, \mathcal{B})$ , where V is a finite set of points or symbols, and  $\mathcal{B}$  is a collection (i.e., multiset) of nonempty subsets of V called blocks. Let t, v, k and  $\lambda$  be positive integers such that  $t \leq k < v$ . A t- $(v, k, \lambda)$ -design is a design  $(V, \mathcal{B})$  such that |V| = v, each block contains exactly k points, and every set of t distinct points is contained in exactly  $\lambda$  blocks. A 2- $(v, k, \lambda)$ -design is also called a  $(v, k, \lambda)$ -balanced incomplete block design  $((v, k, \lambda)$ -BIBD). In a t- $(v, k, \lambda)$ -design, every point occurs in exactly  $r = \lambda {v-1 \choose t-1} / {k-1 \choose t-1}$  blocks and there are exactly  $b = \lambda {v \choose t} / {k \choose t}$  blocks. The number r is called the repetition number of the design. The complement  $(V, \overline{\mathcal{B}})$  of a  $(v, k, \lambda)$ -BIBD  $(V, \mathcal{B})$  with b blocks and repetition number r, where  $\overline{\mathcal{B}} = \{V \setminus A : A \in \mathcal{B}\}$ , is a  $(v, v - k, b - 2r + \lambda)$ -BIBD.

Two designs  $(X, \mathcal{A})$  and  $(Y, \mathcal{B})$  are called *isomorphic* if there exists a bijection  $\alpha \colon X \to Y$  such that  $\{\{\alpha(x) \colon x \in S\} \colon S \in \mathcal{A}\} = \mathcal{B}$ . Furthermore,  $\alpha$  is called an *isomorphism*. An *automorphism* of a design is an isomorphism of this design with itself. An automorphism of a design partitions its blocks into classes called *orbits*. A *t*-(*v*, *k*,  $\lambda$ )-design is *cyclic* if it has an automorphism that is a permutation consisting of a single cycle of length *v*.

Let v, k and  $\lambda$  be positive integers such that  $v > k \ge 2$ , and G be a finite additive group of order v. A  $(v, k, \lambda)$ -difference family is a collection  $[B_1, B_2, \ldots, B_n]$  of

Received May 19, 2023; revised September 28, 2024.

<sup>2020</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 05B99, 05C78.

Key words and phrases. Line-graceful design; Steiner triple system; Steiner quadruple system; affine geometry; projective geometry.

k element subsets of G such that the multiset union  $\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} [x-y:x, y \in B_i, x \neq y]$ contains every nonzero element of G exactly  $\lambda$  times. The difference family is called *cyclic* if G is cyclic. The development  $\text{Dev}(B_1, B_2, \ldots, B_n) = [B_i + g : 1 \leq i \leq n \text{ and } g \in G]$ , where  $B_i + g = \{x + g : x \in B_i\}$ , generates the block set of a  $(v, k, \lambda)$ -BIBD on the point set G [1, 7].

A graph is an ordered triple  $G = (V(G), E(G), I_G)$ , where V(G) is a nonempty set, E(G) is a set disjoint from V(G), and  $I_G$  is an "incidence" relation that associates with each element of E(G) an unordered pair of elements (same or distinct) of V(G). Elements of V(G) are called the vertices (or nodes or points) of G, and elements of E(G) are called the edges (or lines) of G [2]. A graph labeling is an assignment of integers to the vertices, edges, or both of a graph subject to certain conditions. Since mid 1960s, over 200 graph labeling techniques have been studied in over 3000 papers. For a dynamic survey on graph labeling, see [4].

In [3], the authors adapted the edge-graceful graph labeling definition into block designs and defined a block design  $(V, \mathcal{B})$  with |V| = v and  $|\mathcal{B}| = b$  as block-graceful if there exists a bijection  $f: \mathcal{B} \to \{1, 2, \ldots, b\}$  such that the induced mapping  $f^+: V \to \mathbb{Z}_v$  given by  $f^+(x) = \sum_{A \in \mathcal{B} : x \in A} f(A) \pmod{v}$  is a bijection.

R. B. Gnanajothi defined a graph with n vertices *line-graceful* if it is possible to label its edges with 0, 1, 2, ..., n such that when each vertex is assigned the sum modulo n of all the edge labels incident with that vertex the resulting vertex labels are 0, 1, 2, ..., n - 1 [4]. In this article, we adapt this definition into block designs and define a block design  $(V, \mathcal{B})$  with |V| = v as *line-graceful* if there exists a function  $f: \mathcal{B} \to \{0, 1, ..., v - 1\}$  such that the induced mapping  $f^+: V \to \mathbb{Z}_v$ given by  $f^+(x) = \sum_{A \in \mathcal{B} : x \in A} f(A) \pmod{v}$  is a bijection. For a block  $A \in \mathcal{B}$ and a point  $x \in V$ , the values f(A) and  $f^+(x)$  are called the weights of A and x, respectively.

**Example 1.1.** A line-graceful labeling of the 3-(8, 4, 1)-design with  $V = \{0, 1, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7\}$  and  $\mathcal{B} = \{\{0, 1, 3, 7\}, \{1, 2, 4, 7\}, \{2, 3, 5, 7\}, \{3, 4, 6, 7\}, \{4, 5, 0, 7\}, \{5, 6, 1, 7\}, \{6, 0, 2, 7\}, \{2, 4, 5, 6\}, \{0, 3, 5, 6\}, \{0, 1, 4, 6\}, \{0, 1, 2, 5\}, \{1, 2, 3, 6\}, \{0, 2, 3, 4\}, \{1, 3, 4, 5\}\}$  is shown below:

$\{0, 1, 3, 7\} \to 1$	$\{2, 4, 5, 6\} \to 5$	$f^+(0) = 1 + 0 + 6 + 5 + 4 + 7 + 3 = 26 \equiv 2 \pmod{8}$
$\{1, 2, 4, 7\} \to 6$	$\{0,3,5,6\} \to 5$	$f^+(1) = 1 + 6 + 4 + 4 + 7 + 3 + 2 = 27 \equiv 3 \pmod{8}$
$\{2,3,5,7\} \to 1$	$\{0, 1, 4, 6\} \to 4$	$f^+(2) = 6 + 1 + 6 + 5 + 7 + 3 + 3 = 31 \equiv 7 \pmod{8}$
$\{3, 4, 6, 7\} \to 2$	$\{0, 1, 2, 5\} \to 7$	$f^+(3) = 1 + 1 + 2 + 5 + 3 + 3 + 2 = 17 \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$
$\{4, 5, 0, 7\} \to 0$	$\{1,2,3,6\}\to 3$	$f^+(4) = 6 + 2 + 0 + 5 + 4 + 3 + 2 = 22 \equiv 6 \pmod{8}$
$\{5, 6, 1, 7\} \to 4$	$\{0, 2, 3, 4\} \to 3$	$f^+(5) = 1 + 0 + 4 + 5 + 5 + 7 + 2 = 24 \equiv 0 \pmod{8}$
$\{6, 0, 2, 7\} \to 6$	$\{1, 3, 4, 5\} \to 2$	$f^+(6) = 2 + 4 + 6 + 5 + 5 + 4 + 3 = 29 \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$
		$f^+(7) = 1 + 6 + 1 + 2 + 0 + 4 + 6 = 20 \equiv 4 \pmod{8}$

It is clear from the definitions that every block-graceful design is also line-graceful. In [3], the authors noted that one can easily obtain block-graceful Steiner triple systems of order v for all  $v \equiv 1 \pmod{6}$ , and block-graceful projective geometries, i.e.  $\left(\frac{q^{d+1}-1}{q-1}, \frac{q^d-1}{q-1}, \frac{q^{d-1}-1}{q-1}\right)$ -BIBDs for every prime power  $q \geq 2$  and integer  $d \geq 2$ . Then the authors constructed infinite families of block-graceful Steiner triple systems of order v for  $v \equiv 3 \pmod{6}$ , and by considering affine geometries, proved that for every integer  $d \geq 2$  and  $q \geq 3$ , where q is an odd prime power or q = 4, there exists a block-graceful  $(q^d, q, 1)$ -BIBD.

In this article, we study the existence problem of line-graceful t- $(v, k, \lambda)$ -designs. In Section 2, we give a necessary condition for the existence of a line-graceful t- $(v, k, \lambda)$ -design and prove some basic results. The cases for Steiner triple systems and  $(q^d, q, 1)$ -BIBDs, that are incomplete in terms of block-graceful labelings, are completed in terms of line-graceful labelings in Sections 3 and 5, where we prove that there exists a line-graceful Steiner triple system of order v for all  $v \equiv 1, 3 \pmod{6}$ , and a line-graceful  $(q^d, q, 1)$ -BIBD for every prime power  $q \geq 2$  and integer  $d \geq 2$ . Moreover, in Section 4, we prove that there exists a line-graceful Steiner quadruple system of order  $2^n$  for all  $n \geq 3$  by using a recursive construction.

#### 2. Basic results

In this section, we give a necessary condition for the existence of a line-graceful t- $(v, k, \lambda)$ -design and prove some basic results.

**Proposition 2.1.** If a t- $(v, k, \lambda)$ -design is line-graceful, where  $k = 2^{\alpha}s$  such that  $\alpha$  is a nonnegative integer and s is odd, then either v is odd or  $v \equiv 0 \pmod{2^{\alpha+1}}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $(V, \mathcal{B})$  be a line-graceful t- $(v, k, \lambda)$ -design, where its blocks are labeled as  $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_b$ . Then

(1) 
$$\sum_{x \in V} f^+(x) = k(a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_b) \equiv \frac{(v-1)v}{2} \pmod{v}.$$

If v is odd,  $\frac{(v-1)v}{2} \equiv 0 \pmod{v}$  and (1) can be satisfied by choosing the block labels in such a way that their sum is a multiple of v. On the other hand, if vis even,  $\frac{(v-1)v}{2} \equiv \frac{v}{2} \pmod{v}$  and hence  $2^{\alpha}s(a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_b)$  must be an odd multiple of  $\frac{v}{2}$  which can be satisfied only if  $v \equiv 0 \pmod{2^{\alpha+1}}$ .  $\Box$ 

In [3], the authors showed that every  $(v, k, \lambda)$ -BIBD with repetition number r that is generated from a cyclic difference family is block-graceful when gcd(v, r) = 1. As a consequence of this result, all such BIBDs are also line-graceful. For completeness, we repeat the proof of this result in the line-graceful case here.

**Proposition 2.2.** If gcd(v,r) = 1, then every  $(v,k,\lambda)-BIBD$  with repetition number r that is generated from a cyclic difference family is line-graceful.

*Proof.* Let  $[B_1, \ldots, B_n]$  be a cyclic  $(v, k, \lambda)$ -difference family on  $\mathbb{Z}_v$  and let  $\mathcal{B} = \text{Dev}(B_1, \ldots, B_n)$ . Define a labeling  $f \colon \mathcal{B} \to \{0, 1, \ldots, v-1\}$  such that  $f(B_i+g) = g$  for any  $g \in \mathbb{Z}_v$  and  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . For any  $a \in \mathbb{Z}_v$ , we get  $f^+(a) \equiv f^+(0) + ar \pmod{v}$ . Since  $\gcd(v, r) = 1$ , the numbers  $0, r, 2r, \ldots, (v-1)r$  are all different mod v, and the result follows.  $\Box$ 

**Proposition 2.3.** If  $(V, \mathcal{B})$  is a line-graceful  $(v, k, \lambda)$ -BIBD, then its complement  $(V, \overline{\mathcal{B}})$  is also line-graceful.

*Proof.* Suppose that  $(V, \mathcal{B})$  has a line-graceful labeling  $f : \mathcal{B} \to \{0, 1, \ldots, v-1\}$  with the induced mapping  $f^+ : V \to \mathbb{Z}_v$  on points, where the blocks are labeled as  $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_b$ . Define  $g : \overline{\mathcal{B}} \to \{0, 1, \ldots, v-1\}$  such that for every block  $A \in \mathcal{B}$ ,  $g(V \setminus A) = f(A)$ . Then, the weight of any point  $x \in V$  in the complement design will be  $g^+(x) \equiv (a_1 + a_2 + \cdots + a_b) - f^+(x) \pmod{v}$ . Since  $f^+(x)$ s are different mod  $v, g^+(x)$ s must also be different mod v, and the result follows.

**Proposition 2.4.** If there exists a line-graceful  $(v, k, \lambda)$ -BIBD and m is a positive integer, then there exists a line-graceful  $(v, k, m\lambda)$ -BIBD.

*Proof.* Take *m* copies of each block in a line-graceful  $(v, k, \lambda)$ -BIBD, label the blocks in the first copy as they are labeled in the original design, and label the remaining blocks as 0.

Note that, as a consequence of Propositions 2.3 and 2.4, to determine the set of parameters for which a line-graceful BIBD exists, it is sufficient to consider only the  $(v, k, \lambda)$ -BIBDs where  $k \leq v/2$  and  $\lambda$  is the minimum value satisfying the necessary conditions for the existence of a  $(v, k, \lambda)$ -BIBD and the condition in Proposition 2.1.

### 3. Steiner triple systems

A (v,3,1)-BIBD is called a *Steiner triple system of order* v and is denoted by STS(v). There exists an STS(v) if and only if  $v \equiv 1$  or 3 (mod 6) [7].

In [3], the authors showed that there exists a block-graceful STS(v) for all  $v \equiv 1 \pmod{6}$ , and proved the following result for the case  $v \equiv 3 \pmod{6}$ .

**Theorem 3.1** ([3]). There exist block-graceful Steiner triple systems of order

- (i)  $3^t$  for every integer  $t \ge 2$ ,
- (ii)  $3^t 5^u$  for all positive integers t and u with  $t \ge u$ , and
- (iii)  $3^t 7^u$  for all positive integers t and u.

The case  $v \equiv 3 \pmod{6}$  is far from complete for block-graceful STS(v). In this section we prove that there exists a line-graceful STS(v) for all  $v \equiv 1, 3 \pmod{6}$ .

**Theorem 3.2.** If there exists a line-graceful STS(v) where  $v \equiv 3 \pmod{6}$ , then there exists a line-graceful STS(3v).

Proof. Let  $(V, \mathcal{B})$  be an STS(v) with  $v \equiv 3 \pmod{6}$ , where  $V = \{0, 1, \ldots, v-1\}$ , and  $f: \mathcal{B} \to \{0, 1, 2, \ldots, v-1\}$  be a line-graceful labeling with the induced mapping  $f^+: V \to \mathbb{Z}_v$  on points. Define  $X = V \times \{0, 1, 2\}, C_1 = \{\{(c, i), (d, i), (e, i)\} :$  $\{c, d, e\} \in \mathcal{B}, i \in \{0, 1, 2\}\}, C_2 = \{\{(c, 0), (d, 1), (c + d \pmod{v}, 2)\} : c, d \in V\}$ and  $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}_1 \cup \mathcal{C}_2$ . Then, it is well known and can be easily seen that  $(X, \mathcal{C})$  is an STS(3v).

132

We define  $g: \mathcal{C} \to \{0, 1, 2, ..., 3v - 1\}$  as follows. Take  $g(\{(c, i), (d, i), (e, i)\}) = 3f(\{c, d, e\}) + i$  for all  $\{c, d, e\} \in B$  and  $i \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ , and  $g(\{(c, 0), (d, 1), (c + d \pmod{v}, 2)\}) = 0$  for  $c, d \in V$ .

For any  $a \in V$  and  $i \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ , we get  $g^+(a, i) = 3f^+(a) + ri$ , where r = (v-1)/2 is the repetition number of the STS(v). Since  $v \equiv 3 \pmod{6}$ , we get  $r \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$  and hence gcd(r,3) = 1. Since  $f^+(a)s$  are all different mod v, we see that the values  $3f^+(a) + ri$  are all different mod 3v.

A difference triple in  $\mathbb{Z}_v$  is a 3-element subset of  $\{1, 2, \ldots, (v-1)/2\}$  such that either the sum of these 3 elements is 0 (mod v) or one element is the sum of the other two mod v. For all  $v \equiv 1, 3 \pmod{6}, v \neq 9$ , there exists a cyclic STS(v), and these designs can be constructed using difference triples that partition  $\{1, 2, \ldots, (v-1)/2\}$  when  $v \equiv 1 \pmod{6}$ , and  $\{1, 2, \ldots, (v-1)/2\} \setminus \{v/3\}$  when  $v \equiv 3 \pmod{6}$ . For each difference triple  $\{x, y, z\}$  with  $x + y \equiv \pm z \pmod{v}$ , the base block  $\{0, x, x + y\}$  is developed (mod v). For  $v \equiv 1 \pmod{6}$ , all orbits are of length v and the base blocks obtained from the difference triples form cyclic difference families, while for  $v \equiv 3 \pmod{6}$ , in addition to the orbits of length v that are generated from the difference triples, one orbit of length  $\frac{v}{3}$  is developed from the base block  $\{0, v/3, 2v/3\}$ . The difference triples that generate STS(v) for  $v \equiv 3, 15 \pmod{18}$  are given in Table 1 [7].

Table 1. Difference triples of cyclic Steiner triple systems for  $v \equiv 3, 15 \pmod{18}$  [7]

$v = 18s + 3, s \ge 1$	$\begin{cases} 3r+1, 8s-r+1, 8s+2r+2\}, 0 \leq r \leq s-1 \\ \{3r+2, 4s-r, 4s+2r+2\}, 0 \leq r \leq s-1 \\ \{3r+3, 6s-2r-1, 6s+r+2\}, 0 \leq r \leq s-1 \end{cases}$
v = 15	$\{1,3,4\},\{2,6,7\}$
$v = 18s + 15, s \ge 1$	$ \begin{array}{c} \{3r+1, 4s-r+3, 4s+2r+4\}, 0 \leq r \leq s \\ \{3r+2, 8s-r+6, 8s+2r+8\}, 0 \leq r \leq s \\ \{3r+3, 6s-2r+3, 6s+r+6\}, 0 \leq r \leq s-1 \end{array} $

**Theorem 3.3.** There exists a line-graceful STS(v) for all  $v \equiv 1, 3 \pmod{6}$ .

*Proof.* The case  $v \equiv 1 \pmod{6}$  follows from Proposition 2.2. Let  $v \equiv 3, 15 \pmod{18}$  and  $(\mathbb{Z}_v, \mathcal{B})$  be a cyclic STS(v) constructed using the difference triples given in Table 1 as described above. We define a labeling  $f \colon \mathcal{B} \to \{0, 1, \ldots, v-1\}$ .

We call one of the orbits of length v as grouped orbit as follows. If v = 18s + 3 for some integer  $s \ge 1$  take the orbit with base block  $\{0, 3, 6s + 2\}$ , if v = 15 take the orbit with base block  $\{0, 1, 4\}$ , if v = 33 take the orbit with base block  $\{0, 4, 10\}$ , and if v = 18s + 15 for some integer  $s \ge 2$  take the orbit with base block  $\{0, 6, 6s + 7\}$  and call this orbit as grouped orbit. Also call one of the remaining orbits of length v as ordered orbit.

Let  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  be the base blocks of the ordered orbit and grouped orbit, respectively. We label the blocks in these two orbits as follows. For any  $x \in \mathbb{Z}_v$ , define  $f(A_1 + x) = x$ ,  $f(A_2 + x) = 1$  if  $x \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ , and  $f(A_2 + x) = 0$  if  $x \not\equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ . (mod 3). Moreover, we label all blocks in the remaining orbits as 0.

For any  $x \in \mathbb{Z}_v$ , the contribution of the block weights in the ordered orbit to the point weights gives  $f^+(x) \equiv f^+(0) + 3x \pmod{v}$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{Z}_v$ .

If v = 18s + 3 for some integer  $s \ge 1$ , the contribution of the block weights in the grouped orbit will be 1 + 1 + 0 = 2 for  $x \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ , 0 + 0 + 0 = 0 for  $x \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ , and 0 + 0 + 1 = 1 for  $x \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ . If we add these to the point weights obtained from the ordered orbit, then  $f^+(x)$ s become all different mod vsince v/3 = 6s + 1 is relatively prime with 3. The case v = 18s + 15 for  $s \ge 0$ follows similarly using the base blocks of the grouped orbits given above.

There exists a line-graceful STS(9) by Theorem 3.1. Then by induction and using the cases above, line-graceful STS(v) for v = 18s + 9 with  $s \ge 1$  can be constructed using Theorem 3.2.

#### 4. Steiner quadruple systems

A 3-(v, 4, 1)-design is called a *Steiner quadruple system of order* v and is denoted by SQS(v). There exists an SQS(v) if and only if  $v \equiv 2, 4 \pmod{6}$  [8].

By Proposition 2.1, a line-graceful SQS(v) must satisfy  $v \equiv 0 \pmod{8}$ . Therefore, we get the following necessary condition for the existence of a line-graceful SQS(v).

**Proposition 4.1.** If an SQS(v) is line-graceful, then  $v \equiv 8, 16 \pmod{24}$ .

We will now construct an infinite family of line-graceful Steiner quadruple systems using a well-known recursive construction, leaving 40 as the smallest order for which the existence of a line-graceful Steiner quadruple system is unknown.

**Theorem 4.1.** If there exist a line-graceful SQS(v), then there exists a line graceful SQS(2v).

*Proof.* Let  $(V, \mathcal{B})$  be an SQS(v) where  $f: \mathcal{B} \to \{0, 1, \ldots, v-1\}$  is a line-graceful labeling with the induced mapping  $f^+: V \to \mathbb{Z}_v$  on points. Define  $X = \{0, 1\} \times V$ ,  $\mathcal{C}_1 = \{\{(i, x), (j, y), (k, z), (l, t)\} : \{x, y, z, t\} \in \mathcal{B}, i, j, k, l \in \{0, 1\}, i+j+k+l \equiv 0 \pmod{2}\}, \mathcal{C}_2 = \{\{(0, a), (0, b), (1, a), (1, b)\} : a, b \in V, a \neq b\}, \text{ and } \mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}_1 \cup \mathcal{C}_2.$  It is known that  $(X, \mathcal{C})$  is an SQS(2v), see [5].

Define  $g: \mathcal{C} \to \{0, 1, \ldots, 2v - 1\}$  as follows. Take  $g(\{(i, x), (i, y), (i, z), (i, t)\}) = 2f(\{x, y, z, t\}) + i$  for all  $\{x, y, z, t\} \in \mathcal{B}$  and  $i \in \{0, 1\}$ , and label the remaining blocks as 0. For all  $x \in V$ , the weight of the point (0, x) is  $2f^+(x)$ , and the weight of the point (1, x) is  $2f^+(x) + r$  where r = (v-1)(v-2)/6 is the repetition number of  $(V, \mathcal{B})$ . Since  $v \equiv 8, 16 \pmod{24}$  by Proposition 4.1, r must be odd. Hence, the point weights are all different mod 2v since  $f^+(x)s$  are all different mod v.  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 4.2.** There exists a line-graceful  $SQS(2^n)$  for all  $n \ge 3$ .

*Proof.* Follows by Example 1.1 and Theorem 4.1.

#### LINE-GRACEFUL DESIGNS

#### 5. Affine and projective geometries

An  $(n^2 + n + 1, n + 1, 1)$ -BIBD with  $n \ge 2$  is called a *projective plane of order* n, and an  $(n^2, n, 1)$ -BIBD with  $n \ge 2$  is called an *affine plane of order* n. It is well known that for every prime power  $q \ge 2$ , there exists a projective plane of order q and an affine plane of order q [8].

A generalization to higher dimensions shows that for any  $d \ge 2$ , the points and hyperplanes of the *d*-dimensional projective geometry  $PG_d(q)$  form a  $(\frac{q^{d+1}-1}{q-1}, \frac{q^d-1}{q-1}, \frac{q^{d-1}-1}{q-1})$ -BIBD with repetition number  $r = (q^d - 1)/(q - 1)$ , and it is known that these BIBDs can be generated from cyclic difference families, see **[6, 8]**. The existence of line-graceful  $(\frac{q^{d+1}-1}{q-1}, \frac{q^d-1}{q-1}, \frac{q^{d-1}-1}{q-1})$ -BIBDs immediately follows from Proposition 2.2.

In [3], the authors also considered affine geometries and proved that for every integer  $d \ge 2$  and  $q \ge 3$ , where q is an odd prime power or q = 4, there exists a block-graceful  $(q^d, q, 1)$ -BIBD. In this section, we prove that there exists a line-graceful  $(q^d, q, 1)$ -BIBD for every prime power  $q \ge 2$  and integer  $d \ge 2$ .

Let  $\mathbb{F}_q$  be the field with q elements and  $X = \mathbb{F}_q \times \mathbb{F}_q$ . For all  $a, b \in \mathbb{F}_q$  define  $C_{a,b} = \{(x, y) \in X : y = ax + b\}$  and  $\mathcal{B}_1 = \{C_{a,b} : a, b \in \mathbb{F}_q\}$ . Also, for all  $c \in \mathbb{F}_q$  define  $C_c = \{(x, y) \in X : x = c\}$  and  $\mathcal{B}_2 = \{C_c : c \in \mathbb{F}_q\}$ . Let  $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}_1 \cup \mathcal{B}_2$ . Then,  $(X, \mathcal{B})$  is an affine plane of order q [8]. We will now make a line-graceful labeling of the blocks in this structure.

**Theorem 5.1.** There exists a line-graceful affine plane of order q for every prime power  $q \ge 2$ .

*Proof.* Let  $(X, \mathcal{B})$  be an affine plane of order q as described above. Take any bijection  $h: \mathbb{F}_q \to \{0, 1, 2, \ldots, q-1\}$  and define a labeling  $f: \mathcal{B} \to \{0, 1, 2, \ldots, q^2-1\}$  as follows. For all  $t \in \mathbb{F}_q$  define  $f(C_{0,t}) = h(t), f(C_t) = qh(t)$ , and label the remaining blocks as 0. Then,  $f^+(r, s) = qh(r) + h(s)$  for all  $(r, s) \in X$  and hence the point weights are all different mod  $q^2$ .

**Theorem 5.2.** If there exists a line-graceful  $(q^d, q, 1)$ -BIBD, where q is a prime power and  $d \ge 2$ , then there exists a line-graceful  $(q^{d+1}, q, 1)$ -BIBD.

*Proof.* Let  $(V, \mathcal{B})$  be a  $(q^d, q, 1)$ -BIBD where  $r = (q^d - 1)/(q - 1)$ ,  $V = \mathbb{F}_{q^d}$ , the finite field with  $q^d$  elements, and  $f: \mathcal{B} \to \{0, 1, 2, \ldots, q^d - 1\}$  is a line-graceful labeling with the induced mapping  $f^+: V \to \mathbb{Z}_{q^d}$  on points. Let W be the subfield of order q in V and let  $X = V \times W$ .

For all  $A \in \mathcal{B}$  and  $y \in W$ , let  $C_{A,y} = A \times \{y\}$  and define  $\mathcal{D}_1 = \{C_{A,y} : A \in \mathcal{B} \text{ and } y \in W\}$ . For all  $a, b \in V$ , let  $C_{a,b} = \{(ya + b, y) : y \in W\}$ , define  $\mathcal{D}_2 = \{C_{a,b} : a, b \in V\}$  and  $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}_1 \cup \mathcal{D}_2$ . It can be easily seen that  $(X, \mathcal{D})$  is a  $(q^{d+1}, q, 1)$ -BIBD.

Take any bijection  $h: W \to \{0, 1, 2, \dots, q-1\}$  and define

 $g: \mathcal{D} \to \{0, 1, 2, \dots, q^{d+1} - 1\}$  as follows. For any  $A \in \mathcal{B}$  and  $y \in W$  define  $g(C_{A,y}) = qf(A) + h(y)$ , and for any  $a, b \in V$  define  $g(C_{a,b}) = 0$ . The weight of

## D. ERDEMIR AND E. KOLOTOĞLU

any point  $(x, y) \in X$  is

$$\sum_{x \in A} g(C_{A,y}) = \sum_{x \in A} \left( qf(A) + h(y) \right) \equiv qf^+(x) + rh(y) \pmod{q^{d+1}}.$$

Since  $f^+(x)$ s are all different mod  $q^d$ , and gcd(q,r) = 1, the values  $qf^+(x) + rh(y)$  must be all different mod  $q^{d+1}$ . Therefore, g is a line-graceful labeling of  $(X, \mathcal{D})$ .

As a consequence of Theorems 5.1 and 5.2, we get the following result.

**Theorem 5.3.** There exists a line-graceful  $(q^d, q, 1)$ -BIBD for every prime power  $q \ge 2$  and integer  $d \ge 2$ .

## References

- Abel R. J. R. and Buratti M., *Difference Families*, in: Handbook of Combinatorial Designs, 2nd edn., C. J. Colbourn and J. H. Dinitz (Eds.), Chapman & Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, FL, 2007, 392–410.
- Balakrishnan R. and Ranganathan K., A Textbook of Graph Theory, 2nd edn., Springer, New York, 2012.
- 3. Erdemir D. and Kolotoğlu E., Block-Graceful Designs, J. Math. 2023 (2023), Art. ID 4959576.
- Gallian J. A., A Dynamic Survey of Graph Labeling, 22 edn., Electron. J. Combin. 26 (2019), #DS6.
- Horan V. and Hurlbert G., Overlap cycles for Steiner quadruple systems, J. Combin. Des. 22(2) (2013), 53–70.
- Jungnickel D., Pott A. and Smith K. W., *Difference Sets*, in: Handbook of Combinatorial Designs, 2nd edn., C. J. Colbourn and J.H. Dinitz (Eds.), Chapman & Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, FL, 2007, 419–435.
- 7. Lindner C. C. and Rodger C. A., Design Theory, 2nd edn., CRC Press, New York, 2008.
- Stinson D. R., Combinatorial Designs: Constructions and Analysis, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2003.

D. Erdemir, Department of Mathematics, Yildiz Technical University, Istanbul, Turkey, e-mail:dilaraer@yildiz.edu.tr

E. Kolotoğlu, Department of Mathematics, Yildiz Technical University, Istanbul, Turkey, *e-mail*: kolot@yildiz.edu.tr

136