

SOME INTEGRAL INEQUALITIES FOR CONVEX STOCHASTIC PROCESSES

M. Z. SARIKAYA, H. YALDIZ AND H. BUDAK

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we extend the Hermite-Hadamard-type inequality and Jensen-type inequality for convex stochastic processes. The generalization of Hermite-Hadamard-type inequality for convex stochastic processes is also obtained and some special cases of this result are given.

1. INTRODUCTION

The classical Hermite-Hadamard inequality which was first published in [9] gives an estimate of the mean value of a convex function $f: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$,

$$(1.1) \quad f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) \leq \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(x)dx \leq \frac{f(a)+f(b)}{2}$$

History of this inequality can be found in [8]. Surveys on various generalizations and developments can be found in [1] and [7]. Recently in [3], the author established this inequality for twice differentiable functions. In case f is convex, there exists an estimation better than (1.1) and that is why the following question was posed.

In [4], Farissi gave the refinement of the inequality (1.1) as follows.

Theorem 1. *Assume that $f: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a convex function on I . Then for all $\lambda \in [0, 1]$, we have*

$$f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) \leq l(\lambda) \leq \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(x)dx \leq L(\lambda) \leq \frac{f(a)+f(b)}{2},$$

where

$$l(\lambda) := \lambda f\left(\frac{\lambda b + (2-\lambda)a}{2}\right) + (1-\lambda)f\left(\frac{(1+\lambda)b + (1-\lambda)a}{2}\right)$$

and

$$L(\lambda) := \frac{1}{2}(f(\lambda b + (1-\lambda)a) + \lambda f(a) + (1-\lambda)f(b)).$$

Received April 29, 2015; revised September 29, 2015.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 26D15, 26A51, 33B20, 52A40, 52A41.

Key words and phrases. Hermite-Hadamard inequality; Jensen inequality; convex stochastic process.

In [5], Gao generalized the above inequalities for convex function using the Jensen inequality.

In 1980, Nikodem [2] introduced convex stochastic processes and investigated their regularity properties. In 1992, Skwronski [10] obtained some further results on convex functions.

Let (Ω, \mathcal{A}, P) be an arbitrary probability space. A function $X: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called a random variable if it is \mathcal{A} -measurable. A function $X: I \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, where $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ is an interval, is called a stochastic process if for every $t \in I$, the function $X(t, \cdot)$ is a random variable.

Recall that the stochastic process $X: I \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called

- (i) continuous in probability in interval I if for all $t_0 \in I$, we have

$$P - \lim_{t \rightarrow t_0} X(t, \cdot) = X(t_0, \cdot),$$

where $P - \lim$ denotes the limit in probability,

- (ii) *mean-square continuous* in the interval I if for all $t_0 \in I$.

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow t_0} E[(X(t) - X(t_0))^2] = 0,$$

where $E[X(t)]$ denotes the expectation value of the random variable $X(t, \cdot)$.

Obviously, *mean-square* continuity implies continuity in probability, but the converse implication is not true.

Definition 1. Suppose a sequence $\{\Delta^m\}$ of partitions, $\Delta^m = \{a_{m,0}, \dots, a_{m,n_m}\}$ is given. We say that the sequence $\{\Delta^m\}$ is a normal sequence of partitions if the length of the greatest interval in the n -th partition tends to zero, i.e.,

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{1 \leq i \leq n_m} |a_{m,i} - a_{m,i-1}| = 0.$$

Now we would like to recall the concept of the mean-square integral. For the definition and basic properties see [11].

Let $X: I \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a stochastic process with $E[X(t)^2] < \infty$ for all $t \in I$. Let $[a, b] \subset I$, $a = t_0 < t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_n = b$ be a partition of $[a, b]$ and $\Theta_k \in [t_{k-1}, t_k]$ for all $k = 1, \dots, n$. A random variable $Y: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called the mean-square integral of the process X on $[a, b]$ if we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E\left[\left(\sum_{k=1}^n X(\Theta_k)(t_k - t_{k-1}) - Y\right)^2\right] = 0$$

for all normal sequence of partitions of the interval $[a, b]$ and for all $\Theta_k \in [t_{k-1}, t_k]$, $k = 1, \dots, n$. Then, we write

$$Y(\cdot) = \int_a^b X(s, \cdot) ds \quad (\text{a.e.}).$$

For the existence of the mean-square integral it is enough to assume the mean-square continuity of the stochastic process X .

Throughout the paper, we frequently use the monotonicity of the mean-square integral. If $X(t, \cdot) \leq Y(t, \cdot)$ (a.e.) in an interval $[a, b]$, then

$$\int_a^b X(t, \cdot) dt \leq \int_a^b Y(t, \cdot) dt \quad (\text{a.e.}).$$

Of course, this inequality is the immediate consequence of the definition of the mean-square integral.

We say that a stochastic process $X: I \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is convex if for all $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ and $u, v \in I$, the inequality

$$(1.2) \quad X(\lambda u + (1 - \lambda)v, \cdot) \leq \lambda X(u, \cdot) + (1 - \lambda)X(v, \cdot) \quad (\text{a.e.})$$

is satisfied. If the above inequality is assumed only for $\lambda = \frac{1}{2}$, then the process X is Jensen-convex or $\frac{1}{2}$ -convex. A stochastic process X is concave if $(-X)$ is convex. Some interesting properties of convex and Jensen-convex processes are presented in [2, 11].

Now, we present some results proved by Kotrys [6] about Hermite-Hadamard inequality for convex stochastic processes.

Lemma 1. *If $X: I \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a stochastic process of the form $X(t, \cdot) = A(\cdot)t + B(\cdot)$, where $A, B: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are random variables, such that $E[A^2] < \infty$, $E[B^2] < \infty$ and $[a, b] \subset I$, then*

$$\int_a^b X(t, \cdot) dt = A(\cdot) \frac{b^2 - a^2}{2} + B(\cdot)(b - a) \quad (\text{a.e.}).$$

Proposition 1. *Let $X: I \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a convex stochastic process and $t_0 \in \text{int } I$. Then there exists a random variable $A: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that X is supported at t_0 by the process $A(\cdot)(t - t_0) + X(t_0, \cdot)$. That is,*

$$X(t, \cdot) \geq A(\cdot)(t - t_0) + X(t_0, \cdot) \quad (\text{a.e.})$$

for all $t \in I$.

Theorem 2. *Let $X: I \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be Jensen-convex stochastic process, mean-square continuous in the interval I . Then for any $u, v \in I$, we have*

$$(1.3) \quad X\left(\frac{u+v}{2}, \cdot\right) \leq \frac{1}{v-u} \int_u^v X(t, \cdot) dt \leq \frac{X(u, \cdot) + X(v, \cdot)}{2} \quad (\text{a.e.}).$$

The aim of this paper is to extend the classical Hermite-Hadamard-type and Jensen inequalities to convex stochastic processes.

2. MAIN RESULTS

Theorem 3 (Hermite-Hadamard-type inequality). *Let $X: I \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be Jensen-convex stochastic process, mean-square continuous in the interval I . Then for any*

$u, v \in I$ and for all $\lambda \in [0, 1]$, we have

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} X\left(\frac{u+v}{2}, \cdot\right) &\leq h(\lambda) \leq \frac{1}{v-u} \int_u^v X(t, \cdot) dt \\ &\leq H(\lambda) \leq \frac{X(u, \cdot) + X(v, \cdot)}{2}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$h(\lambda) := \lambda X\left(\frac{\lambda v + (2-\lambda)u}{2}, \cdot\right) + (1-\lambda)X\left(\frac{(1+\lambda)v + (1-\lambda)u}{2}, \cdot\right)$$

and

$$H(\lambda) := \frac{1}{2}(X(\lambda v + (1-\lambda)u, \cdot) + \lambda X(u, \cdot) + (1-\lambda)X(v, \cdot)).$$

Proof. We give two different proofs for the theorem.

Firstly, let X be a Jensen-convex stochastic process, mean-square continuous in the interval I . Applying (1.3) on the subinterval $[u, \lambda v + (1-\lambda)u]$ with $\lambda \neq 0$, we get

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} X\left(\frac{\lambda v + (2-\lambda)u}{2}, \cdot\right) &\leq \frac{1}{\lambda(v-u)} \int_u^{\lambda v + (1-\lambda)u} X(t, \cdot) dt \\ &\leq \frac{X(u, \cdot) + X(\lambda v + (1-\lambda)u, \cdot)}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Applying (1.3) on $[\lambda v + (1-\lambda)u, v]$ with $\lambda \neq 1$ again, we get

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{aligned} X\left(\frac{(1+\lambda)v + (1-\lambda)u}{2}, \cdot\right) &\leq \frac{1}{(1-\lambda)(v-u)} \int_{\lambda v + (1-\lambda)u}^v X(t, \cdot) dt \\ &\leq \frac{X(\lambda v + (1-\lambda)u, \cdot) + X(v, \cdot)}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Multiplying (2.2) by λ , (2.3) by $(1-\lambda)$, and adding the resulting inequalities, we get

$$(2.4) \quad h(\lambda) \leq \frac{1}{v-u} \int_u^v X(t, \cdot) dt \leq H(\lambda),$$

where $h(\lambda)$ and $H(\lambda)$ are defined as in Theorem 3.

In [2], Nikodem proved that every Jensen-convex stochastic process and continuous in probability is convex. Using this fact, we obtain

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{aligned} X\left(\frac{u+v}{2}, \cdot\right) &= X\left(\lambda \frac{\lambda v + (2-\lambda)u}{2} + (1-\lambda) \frac{(1+\lambda)v + (1-\lambda)u}{2}, \cdot\right) \\ &\leq \lambda X\left(\frac{\lambda v + (2-\lambda)u}{2}, \cdot\right) + (1-\lambda)X\left(\frac{(1+\lambda)v + (1-\lambda)u}{2}, \cdot\right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2}(X(\lambda v + (2-\lambda)u, \cdot) + \lambda X(u, \cdot) + (1-\lambda)X(v, \cdot)) \leq \frac{X(u, \cdot) + X(v, \cdot)}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Then by (2.4) and (2.5), we get (2.1).

Secondly, since the process X is mean-square continuous, it is continuous in probability. Nikodem [2] proved that every Jensen-convex probability stochastic continuous in process is convex. Since X is convex, then by Proposition 1, it has a supporting process at any point $t_0 \in \text{int } I$. Let us take a support at $t_0 = \frac{u+v}{2}$, then we have

$$X(t, \cdot) \geq A(\cdot) \left(t - \frac{u+v}{2} \right) + X \left(\frac{u+v}{2}, \cdot \right) \quad (\text{a.e.}).$$

Using Lemma 1 on the subinterval $[u, \lambda v + (1-\lambda)u]$ with $\lambda \neq 0$, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_u^{\lambda v + (1-\lambda)u} X(t, \cdot) dt \\ (2.6) \quad & \geq \int_u^{\lambda v + (1-\lambda)u} \left[A(\cdot) \left(t - \frac{u + \lambda v + (1-\lambda)u}{2} \right) + X \left(\frac{u + \lambda v + (1-\lambda)u}{2}, \cdot \right) \right] dt \\ & = \lambda X \left(\frac{u + \lambda v + (1-\lambda)u}{2}, \cdot \right) (v - u) \quad (\text{a.e.}), \end{aligned}$$

and again on $[\lambda v + (1-\lambda)u, v]$ with $\lambda \neq 1$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\lambda v + (1-\lambda)u}^v X(t, \cdot) dt \\ (2.7) \quad & \geq \int_{\lambda v + (1-\lambda)u}^v \left[A(\cdot) \left(t - \frac{v + \lambda v + (1-\lambda)u}{2} \right) + X \left(\frac{v + \lambda v + (1-\lambda)u}{2}, \cdot \right) \right] dt \\ & = (1-\lambda) X \left(\frac{v + \lambda v + (1-\lambda)u}{2}, \cdot \right) (v - u) \quad (\text{a.e.}). \end{aligned}$$

From (2.6) and (2.7), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{v-u} \int_u^v X(t, \cdot) dt & \geq \lambda X \left(\frac{\lambda v + (2-\lambda)u}{2}, \cdot \right) + (1-\lambda) X \left(\frac{(1+\lambda)v + (1-\lambda)u}{2}, \cdot \right) \\ & \geq X \left(\frac{u+v}{2}, \cdot \right). \end{aligned}$$

It finishes the proof of first two inequalities in (2.1).

If we take $t = \lambda u + (1-\lambda)v$ in inequality (1.2), then $\lambda = \frac{t-v}{u-v}$ and by the convexity of X , we have

$$\begin{aligned} X(t, \cdot) & \leq \frac{t-v}{u-v} X(u, \cdot) + \frac{u-t}{u-v} X(v, \cdot) \\ & = \frac{X(v, \cdot) - X(u, \cdot)}{v-u} t + \frac{X(v, \cdot)u - X(u, \cdot)v}{u-v} \quad (\text{a.e.}). \end{aligned}$$

As before, using Lemma 1 on the subinterval $[u, \lambda v + (1 - \lambda)u]$ with $\lambda \neq 0$, we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_u^{\lambda v + (1 - \lambda)u} X(t, \cdot) dt &\leq \int_u^{\lambda v + (1 - \lambda)u} \left[\frac{X(\lambda v + (1 - \lambda)u, \cdot) - X(u, \cdot)}{\lambda(v - u)} t \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \frac{X(\lambda v + (1 - \lambda)u, \cdot)u - X(u, \cdot)v}{\lambda(v - u)} \right] dt \\
 (2.8) \qquad &= [X(\lambda v + (1 - \lambda)u, \cdot) - X(u, \cdot)] \left(\frac{\lambda v + (2 - \lambda)u}{2} \right) \\
 &\quad - X(\lambda v + (1 - \lambda)u, \cdot)u + X(u, \cdot)(\lambda v + (1 - \lambda)u)
 \end{aligned}$$

and again on $[\lambda v + (1 - \lambda)u, v]$ with $\lambda \neq 1$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_{\lambda v + (1 - \lambda)u}^v X(t, \cdot) dt &\leq \int_{\lambda v + (1 - \lambda)u}^v \left[\frac{X(v, \cdot) - X(\lambda v + (1 - \lambda)u, \cdot)}{(1 - \lambda)(v - u)} t \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \frac{X(\lambda v + (1 - \lambda)u, \cdot)v - X(v, \cdot)(\lambda v + (1 - \lambda)u)}{(1 - \lambda)(v - u)} \right] dt \\
 (2.9) \qquad &= [X(v, \cdot) - X(\lambda v + (1 - \lambda)u, \cdot)] \left(\frac{(1 + \lambda)v + (1 - \lambda)u}{2} \right) \\
 &\quad + X(\lambda v + (1 - \lambda)u, \cdot)v - X(v, \cdot)(\lambda v + (1 - \lambda)u).
 \end{aligned}$$

From (2.8) and (2.9), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{1}{v - u} \int_u^v X(t, \cdot) dt &\leq \frac{1}{2} (X(\lambda v + (1 - \lambda)u, \cdot) + \lambda X(u, \cdot) + (1 - \lambda)X(v, \cdot)) \\
 &\leq \frac{X(u, \cdot) + X(v, \cdot)}{2},
 \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof. \square

Now, we start the following important inequality for convex stochastic processes

Theorem 4 (Jensen-type inequality). *If $X: I \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a convex stochastic process, then we have*

$$X\left(\frac{1}{b - a} \int_a^b \varphi(t, \cdot) dt, \cdot\right) \leq \frac{1}{b - a} \int_a^b X \circ \varphi(t, \cdot) dt$$

for an arbitrary non-negative integrable stochastic process $\varphi: I \times \Omega \rightarrow I \subset \mathbb{R}$.

Proof. From Proposition 1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b X \circ \varphi(t, \cdot) dt - X\left(\frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b \varphi(t, \cdot) dt, \cdot\right) \\
 &= \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b \left[X \circ \varphi(t, \cdot) - X\left(\frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b \varphi(t, \cdot) dt, \cdot\right) \right] dt \\
 &\geq A(\cdot) \left\{ \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b \left[\varphi(t, \cdot) - \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b \varphi(t, \cdot) dt \right] dt \right\} \\
 &= A(\cdot) \left\{ \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b \varphi(t, \cdot) - \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b \varphi(t, \cdot) dt \right\} \\
 &= 0,
 \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof. \square

Theorem 5 (Generalized Hermite–Hadamard-type inequality). *Let $X: I \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a convex stochastic process. If the non-negative integrable stochastic process $\varphi: I \times \Omega \rightarrow I \subset \mathbb{R}$ such that $X \circ \varphi(t, \cdot)$ is also convex stochastic process, then we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
 X\left(\frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b \varphi(t, \cdot) dt, \cdot\right) &\leq h(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \leq \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b X \circ \varphi(t, \cdot) dt \\
 &\leq H(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \leq \frac{X \circ \varphi(a, \cdot) + X \circ \varphi(b, \cdot)}{2}
 \end{aligned}$$

for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\lambda_0 = 0$, $\lambda_{n+1} = 1$ and arbitrary $0 \leq \lambda_1 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_n \leq 1$, where

$$\begin{aligned}
 h(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) &= \sum_{k=0}^n (\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_k) X\left(\frac{1}{(\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_k)(b-a)} \int_{(1-\lambda_k)a+\lambda_k b}^{(1-\lambda_{k+1})a+\lambda_{k+1}b} \varphi(t, \cdot) dt, \cdot\right), \\
 H(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) &= \sum_{k=0}^n (\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_k) \left(\frac{X \circ \varphi((1-\lambda_k)a + \lambda_k b, \cdot)}{2} \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \frac{X \circ \varphi((1-\lambda_{k+1})a + \lambda_{k+1}b, \cdot)}{2} \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

Proof. From Theorem 4 and convexity of $X \circ \varphi(t, \cdot)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.10) \quad X\left(\frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b \varphi(t, \cdot) dt, \cdot\right) &\leq \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b X \circ \varphi(t, \cdot) dt \\
 &\leq \frac{X \circ \varphi(a, \cdot) + X \circ \varphi(b, \cdot)}{2}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Applying (2.10) to $[(1 - \lambda_k)a + \lambda_k b, (1 - \lambda_{k+1})a + \lambda_{k+1}b]$, $k = 0, 1, \dots, n$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.11) \quad & X\left(\frac{1}{(\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_k)(b - a)} \int_{(1-\lambda_k)a + \lambda_k b}^{(1-\lambda_{k+1})a + \lambda_{k+1}b} \varphi(t, \cdot) dt, \cdot\right) \\
 & \leq \frac{1}{(\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_k)(b - a)} \int_{(1-\lambda_k)a + \lambda_k b}^{(1-\lambda_{k+1})a + \lambda_{k+1}b} X \circ \varphi(t, \cdot) dt \\
 & \leq \frac{X \circ \varphi((1 - \lambda_k)a + \lambda_k b, \cdot) + X \circ \varphi((1 - \lambda_{k+1})a + \lambda_{k+1}b, \cdot)}{2}.
 \end{aligned}$$

After multiplying each term in (2.11) by $(\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_k)$ and later summing the result over k from 0 to n , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_{k=0}^n (\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_k) X\left(\frac{1}{(\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_k)(b - a)} \int_{(1-\lambda_k)a + \lambda_k b}^{(1-\lambda_{k+1})a + \lambda_{k+1}b} \varphi(t, \cdot) dt, \cdot\right) \\
 & \leq \frac{1}{b - a} \sum_{k=0}^n \int_{(1-\lambda_k)a + \lambda_k b}^{(1-\lambda_{k+1})a + \lambda_{k+1}b} X \circ \varphi(t, \cdot) dt \\
 & \leq \sum_{k=0}^n (\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_k) \frac{X \circ \varphi((1 - \lambda_k)a + \lambda_k b, \cdot) + X \circ \varphi((1 - \lambda_{k+1})a + \lambda_{k+1}b, \cdot)}{2}.
 \end{aligned}$$

That is,

$$h(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \leq \frac{1}{b - a} \sum_{k=0}^n \int_{(1-\lambda_k)a + \lambda_k b}^{(1-\lambda_{k+1})a + \lambda_{k+1}b} X \circ \varphi(t, \cdot) dt \leq H(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n).$$

Using the convexity of $X(t, \cdot)$ and $X \circ \varphi(t, \cdot)$ for $\sum_{k=0}^n (\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_k) = 1$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 & X\left(\frac{1}{b - a} \int_a^b \varphi(t, \cdot) dt, \cdot\right) \\
 & = X\left(\sum_{k=0}^n (\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_k) \frac{1}{(\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_k)(b - a)} \int_{(1-\lambda_k)a + \lambda_k b}^{(1-\lambda_{k+1})a + \lambda_{k+1}b} \varphi(t, \cdot) dt, \cdot\right) \\
 & \leq \sum_{k=0}^n \left(\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_k \right) X\left(\frac{1}{(\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_k)(b - a)} \int_{(1-\lambda_k)a + \lambda_k b}^{(1-\lambda_{k+1})a + \lambda_{k+1}b} \varphi(t, \cdot) dt, \cdot\right)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\leq \sum_{k=0}^n (\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_k) \frac{X \circ \varphi((1 - \lambda_k)a + \lambda_k b, \cdot) + X \circ \varphi((1 - \lambda_{k+1})a + \lambda_{k+1}b, \cdot)}{2} \\
 &\leq \sum_{k=0}^n (\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_k) \frac{(1 - \lambda_k)X \circ \varphi(a, \cdot) + \lambda_k X \circ \varphi(b, \cdot)}{2} \\
 &\quad + \frac{(1 - \lambda_{k+1})X \circ \varphi(a, \cdot) + \lambda_{k+1} X \circ \varphi(b, \cdot)}{2} \\
 &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^n ((1 - \lambda_k) - (1 - \lambda_{k+1}))((1 - \lambda_k) + (1 - \lambda_{k+1}))X \circ \varphi(a, \cdot) \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^n (\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_k)(\lambda_{k+1} + \lambda_k)X \circ \varphi(b, \cdot) \\
 &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^n ((1 - \lambda_k)^2 - (1 - \lambda_{k+1})^2)X \circ \varphi(a, \cdot) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^n (\lambda_{k+1}^2 - \lambda_k^2)X \circ \varphi(b, \cdot) \\
 &= \frac{X \circ \varphi(a, \cdot) + X \circ \varphi(b, \cdot)}{2}.
 \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. \square

Corollary 1. *Under assumption of Theorem 5 with $\lambda_k = \lambda$ for $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$, we have the inequality*

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.12) \quad X \left(\frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b \varphi(t, \cdot) dt, \cdot \right) &\leq h_1(\lambda) \leq \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b X \circ \varphi(t, \cdot) dt \\
 &\leq H_1(\lambda) \leq \frac{X \circ \varphi(a, \cdot) + X \circ \varphi(b, \cdot)}{2},
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 h_1(\lambda) &= \lambda X \left(\frac{1}{\lambda(b-a)} \int_a^{(1-\lambda)a+\lambda b} \varphi(t, \cdot) dt, \cdot \right) \\
 &\quad + (1-\lambda) X \left(\frac{1}{(1-\lambda)(b-a)} \int_{(1-\lambda)a+\lambda b}^b \varphi(t, \cdot) dt, \cdot \right) \\
 H_1(\lambda) &= \frac{1}{2} (X(\lambda a + (1-\lambda)b, \cdot) + \lambda X(a, \cdot) + (1-\lambda)X(b, \cdot)).
 \end{aligned}$$

Remark 1. If we choose $\varphi(t, \cdot) = t$ in Corollary 1, then inequality (2.12) reduces inequality (2.1).

REFERENCES

1. Mitrinovic D. S, Pečarič J. E. and Fink A. M., *Classical and new inequalities in analysis*, ser. Math. Appl. (East European Ser.), vol. 61, Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers Group, 1993,
2. Nikodem K., *On convex stochastic processes*, Aequat. Math. **20** (1980), 184–197.
3. El Farissi A., Latreuch Z. and Belaidi B., *Hadamard-Type inequalities for twice differentiable functions*, RGMIA, Reseach Report collection, **12(1)** (2009), art. 6.
4. El Farissi A., *Simple proof and refinement of Hermite-Hadamard inequality*, JMI Jour. Math. Ineq., **4(3)** (2010), 365–369.
5. Gao X., *A note on the Hermite-Hadamard inequality*, JMI Jour. Math. Ineq., **4(4)** (2010), 587–591.
6. Kotrys D., *Hermite-Hadamard inequality for convex stochastic processes*, Aequat. Math., **83** (2012), 143–151.
7. Dragomir S. S. and Agarwal R. P., *Two inequalities for differentiable mappings and applications to special means of real numbers and to trapezoidal formula*, Appl. Math. lett., **11(5)** (1998), 91–95.
8. Dragomir S. S. and Pearce C. E. M., *Selected Topics on Hermite-Hadamard Inequalities and Applications*, RGMIA Monographs, Victoria University, 2000.
9. Hadamard J., *Etude sur les proprietes des fonctions entieres et en particulier d'une fonction consideree par Riemann*, J. Math. Pures Appl., **58** (1893), 171–215.
10. Skowronski A., *On some properties of J-convex stochastic processes*, Aequat. Math. **44** (1992), 249–258.
11. Sobczyk K., *Stochastic differential equations with applications to physics and engineering*, Kluwer, Dordrecht 1991.

M. Z. Sarikaya, Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science and Arts, Düzce University, Konuralp Campus, Düzce-Turkey, *e-mail*: sarikayamz@gmail.com

H. Yaldiz, Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science and Arts, Düzce University, Konuralp Campus, Düzce-Turkey, *e-mail*: yaldizhatice@gmail.com

H. Budak, Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science and Arts, Düzce University, Konuralp Campus, Düzce-Turkey, *e-mail*: hsyn.budak@gmail.com