

Home Page

Title Page

Contents

## INTERIOR REGULARITY FOR WEAK SOLUTIONS OF NONLINEAR SECOND ORDER ELLIPTIC SYSTEMS\*

JOSEF DANĚČEK<sup>†</sup>, OLDŘICH JOHN<sup>‡</sup>, AND JANA STARÁ<sup>§</sup>

**Abstract.** Let  $\operatorname{div}(\mathcal{A}(Du)) = 0$  be a nonlinear elliptic system with  $C^1$ -matrix of coefficients. In our contribution we study the regularity of a weak solution belonging to  $W^{2,1}(\Omega)$ , where  $\Omega$  is bounded domain in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $n \geq 3$ . For  $d > 0$  denote  $\Omega_d$  any subdomain of  $\Omega$  with Lipschitz boundary such that  $\operatorname{dist}(x, \partial\Omega) > 2d$  for all  $x \in \Omega_d$ . We formulate the conditions connecting  $\|Du\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$ , coefficient of ellipticity  $\nu$ , upper bound of derivatives of coefficients  $M$  and their modulus of continuity  $\omega$ , guaranteeing  $Du \in C^{0,\alpha}(\Omega_d)$ .

**Key words.** Nonlinear elliptic systems, weak solutions, regularity

**AMS subject classifications.** 35J60, 35B65

**1. Introduction.** Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n, n \geq 3$  be a bounded domain. Consider the system

$$\operatorname{div}(\mathcal{A}(Du)) = 0 \tag{1.1}$$

The detailed form of (1.1) sounds like  $D_\alpha(\mathcal{A}_i^\alpha(Du)) = 0$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$ , where Einstein summation convention is used for  $\alpha \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ .

\*J. Daněček GAČR 201/05/2465, O. John GAČR 201/05/2465, MSMT 0021620839, J. Stará GAČR 201/05/2465, GAČR 201/06/0352, MSM 0021620839

<sup>†</sup>Technical University of Brno, Faculty of Civil Engineering, Department of Mathematics, Žižkova 17, 60200 Brno, Czech Republic, ([danecek.j@fce.vutbr.cz](mailto:danecek.j@fce.vutbr.cz))

<sup>‡</sup>Department of Mathematical Analysis, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, Charles University, Sokolovska 83, 186 00 Praha 8, Czech Republic, ([John@karlin.mff.cuni.cz](mailto:John@karlin.mff.cuni.cz))

<sup>§</sup>Department of Mathematical Analysis, Charles University, Sokolovská 83, 186 75 Prague 8, Czech Republic ([stara@karlin.mff.cuni.cz](mailto:stara@karlin.mff.cuni.cz))

Page 1 of 8

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

Quit

Suppose that the matrix  $\mathcal{A} = \{\mathcal{A}_i^\alpha\}$  belongs to  $C^1$ . *Regularity* of the weak solution  $u \in W^{2,1}(\Omega)$  of (1.1) on  $\Omega^* \subset\subset \Omega$  is defined as Hölder continuity of  $Du$  on  $\bar{\Omega}^*$ .

Denote

$$A_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}(p) = \frac{\partial \mathcal{A}_i^\alpha(p)}{\partial p_j^\beta}, \quad i, j = 1, \dots, N, \quad \alpha, \beta = 1, \dots, n \quad (1.2)$$

and write  $A(p) = \{A_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}(p)\}$  with  $|A(p)|$  for its Euclidean norm.

We suppose

$$\exists M > 0 \quad \forall p \in \mathcal{R}^{nN} : \quad |A(p)| \leq M, \quad (1.3)$$

$$\exists \nu > 0 \quad \forall p \in \mathcal{R}^{nN} \quad \forall \xi \in \mathcal{R}^{nN} : \quad (A(p)\xi, \xi) \geq \nu\xi^2, \quad (1.4)$$

There is a function  $\omega : \langle 0, \infty \rangle \rightarrow \langle 0, \infty \rangle$ ,  $\omega(0) = 0$ ,  $\omega$  nondecreasing, continuous, concave and bounded, such that

$$\forall p, q \in \mathcal{R}^{nN} : \quad |A(p) - A(q)| \leq \omega(|p - q|). \quad (1.5)$$

Let further for  $d > 0$   $\Omega_d$  be any subdomain of  $\Omega$  with Lipschitz boundary such that  $\text{dist}(x, \partial\Omega) > 2d$  for all  $x \in \Omega_d$ .

In what follows we establish for fixed  $d$  the conditions on  $\nu$ ,  $M$ ,  $\omega$  and  $\|Du\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$  guaranteeing that  $Du$  is Hölder continuous on  $\bar{\Omega}_d$ .

**2. Basic estimate for weak solution. Algebraic lemma.** In this section we prepare needed estimates and formulate an algebraic lemma following the procedure known from the deduction of partial regularity results. (See e.g. Giaquinta [1])

Let  $u$  be a weak solution of (1.1),  $x \in \Omega_d$ ,  $R \in (0, d)$ . Denote  $A_0 = A((Du)_R)$ ,  $\tilde{A} = \int_0^1 [A((Du)_R) - A((Du)_R + t(Du - (Du)_R))] dt$ , where  $(Du)_R = \frac{1}{|B_R|} \int_{B_R} Du(x) dx$ ,  $B_R = \{y \in \mathcal{R}^n ; |y - x| < R\}$ .

Using this notation we can rewrite (1.1) as

$$\operatorname{div}(A_0 Du) = \operatorname{div}[(A_0 - \tilde{A})(Du - (Du)_R)] \quad \text{on } B_R \quad (2.1)$$

Split  $u = v + w$  in a way that

$$\operatorname{div}(A_0 Dv) = 0 \quad \text{on } B_R, \quad v - u \in W_0^{2,1}(B_R), \quad (2.2)$$

$$\operatorname{div}(A_0 Dw) = \operatorname{div}[(A_0 - \tilde{A})(Du - (Du)_R)], \quad w \in W_0^{2,1}(B_R). \quad (2.3)$$

On the function  $v$  we can use Campanato's lemma saying that

$$\exists C > 0 \quad \forall \rho \in (0, R) : \int_{B_\rho} |Dv - (Dv)_\rho|^2 \leq C \left(\frac{\rho}{R}\right)^{n+2} \int_{B_R} |Dv - (Dv)_R|^2. \quad (2.4)$$

As for  $w$ , we can use it in a weak formulation of (2.3) as a test function. By means of ellipticity condition (1.4), Hölder inequality and the estimate (1.5) of  $A_0 - \tilde{A}$  by the modulus of continuity  $\omega$  we obtain

$$\nu^2 \int_{B_R} |Dw|^2 \leq \int_{B_R} \omega^2(|Du - (Du)_R|) \cdot |Du - (Du)_R|^2. \quad (2.5)$$

**REMARK 1.** In our notation we suppress the dependence on  $x \in \Omega_d$  so that instead of writing  $B_R(x)$  we write  $B_R$  etc. This simplification does not make any harm because (2.4), (2.5) work in  $\Omega_d$  uniformly.

Using (2.4), (2.5) and taking account in the fact that  $u = v + w$  we obtain finally the estimate for  $u$ . With use of the notation

$$\Phi(\rho) = \int_{B_\rho} |Du - (Du)_\rho|^2 \quad (2.6)$$

it reads as

$$\exists C, D \text{ (depending on } M/\nu) \forall x \in \Omega_d \forall \rho : 0 < \rho < R \leq d \quad (2.7)$$

$$\Phi(\rho) \leq C \left( \frac{\rho}{R} \right)^{n+2} \Phi(R) + \frac{D}{\nu^2} \int_{B_R} \omega^2(|Du - (Du)_R|) \cdot |Du - (Du)_R|^2. \quad (2.8)$$

For the function  $\Phi$  denote  $U(R) = \frac{1}{R^n} \Phi(R)$ .

**LEMMA 2.1** (Algebraic lemma). *Let  $A > 0, d > 0, \beta > 0$  and  $\delta \in (n, n+2)$  be given. There exist  $\varepsilon_0, C^* > 0$  such that for each nonnegative nonincreasing function  $\Phi$  defined on  $\langle 0, 2d \rangle$  satisfying the estimate*

$$\Phi(\rho) \leq \left( A \left( \frac{\rho}{R} \right)^{n+2} + \mathcal{B}_1 + \mathcal{B}_2 U(2R) \right) \Phi(2R), \quad 0 < \rho < R \leq d \quad (2.9)$$

with  $\mathcal{B}_1 < \varepsilon_0, \mathcal{B}_2 U^\beta(2R) < \varepsilon_0$  it holds

$$\Phi(\rho) \leq C^* \rho^\delta, \quad 0 < \rho < d. \quad (2.10)$$

If – in accordance with this lemma – we are able to estimate

$$\frac{D}{\nu^2} \int_{B_R} \omega^2(|Du - (Du)_R|) \cdot |Du - (Du)_R|^2 \leq (\mathcal{B}_1 + \mathcal{B}_2 U^\beta(2R)) \Phi(2R) \quad (2.11)$$

for  $0 < R < d$  with  $\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2 U^\beta(2d)$  sufficiently small, we obtain (2.10) with the function  $\Phi$  given in (2.6). It can be rewritten as

$$\frac{1}{\rho^\delta} \int_{B_\rho} |Du - (Du)_\rho|^2 \leq C, \quad x \in \Omega_d, \quad \rho \in \langle 0, d \rangle. \quad (2.12)$$

As this estimate is uniform with respect to  $x$  in  $\Omega_d$ , we conclude that  $Du$  belongs to the Campanato space  $\mathcal{L}_{2,\delta}(\Omega_d)$ . From the theorem of isomorphism between Campanato and Hölder spaces (see e.g. Kufner, John, Fučík [2]) we can conclude that  $Du \in C^{0,(\delta-n)/2}(\bar{\Omega}_d)$ .

### 3. Deduction of estimate (2.11). Denote

$$I = \int_{B_R} \omega^2(|Du - (Du)_R|) \cdot |Du - (Du)_R|^2 \quad (3.1)$$

With use of Young inequality for the couple of Young functions

$$\Theta(t) = \frac{t^p}{p}, \quad \Psi(s) = \frac{s^q}{q}, \quad \text{where } 1 < p \leq \frac{n}{n-2}, \quad q = \frac{p}{p-1} \quad (3.2)$$

we can write for any positive  $\varepsilon$

$$\begin{aligned} I &\leq \int_{B_R} \Theta(\varepsilon|Du - (Du)_R|^2) + \int_{B_R} \Psi\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\omega^2(|Du - (Du)_R|)\right) \\ &= \frac{\varepsilon^p}{p} \int_{B_R} |Du - (Du)_R|^{2p} + \frac{p-1}{p} \varepsilon^{-\frac{p}{p-1}} \int_{B_R} \omega^{\frac{2p}{p-1}}(|Du - (Du)_R|) \\ &= \frac{\varepsilon^p}{p} I_1 + \frac{p-1}{p} \varepsilon^{\frac{2p}{p-1}} I_2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

(We followed here the idea of the paper [3], where Young functions of different kind were used.)

Estimate now the first integral. Using successively Hölder inequality, Sobolev embedding theorem and Caccioppoli inequality we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &\leq c \left( \int_{B_R} |Du - (Du)_R|^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} \right)^{\frac{p(n-2)}{n}} \cdot R^{n(1-p)+2p} \\ &\leq c \left( \int_{B_R} |D^2u| \right)^p \cdot R^{n(1-p)+2p} \\ &\leq c \left( \frac{1}{R^2} \int_{B_{2R}} |Du - (Du)_{2R}|^2 \right)^p \cdot R^{n(1-p)+2p} \\ &= c\Phi(2R) \cdot U^{p-1}(2R). \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

As for the second integral  $I_2$ , denoting

$$E_t = \{ x \in B_R; |Du(x) - (Du)_R| > t \}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &= \int_{B_R} \omega^{\frac{2p}{p-1}} (|Du - (Du)_R|) \, dx = \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{d}{dt} [\omega^{\frac{2p}{p-1}}(t)] \cdot |E_t| \, dt \\ &\leq \sup_{t>0} \frac{d}{dt} [\omega^{\frac{2p}{p-1}}(t)] \int_{B_R} |Du - (Du)_R| \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

In the last inequality denote

$$\omega_p = \sup_{t>0} \frac{d}{dt} [\omega^{\frac{2p}{p-1}}(t)] \quad (3.6)$$

and use Hölder inequality once again. So we get (in case of  $U(2R) \neq 0$ )

$$I_2 \leq c \omega_p \left( \int_{B_R} |Du - (Du)_R|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} R^{\frac{n}{2}} \leq c \omega_p \Phi(2R) U^{-\frac{1}{2}}(2R) \quad (3.7)$$

From (3.4), (3.7) and (3.3) we get

$$I \leq c \left( \frac{1}{p} \varepsilon^p U^{p-1}(2R) + \left( 1 - \frac{1}{p} \right) \varepsilon^{\frac{p}{1-p}} \omega_p U^{-\frac{1}{2}}(2R) \right) \Phi(2R) \quad (3.8)$$

The optimal choice of  $\varepsilon$  which minimizes the expression on the right hand side of (3.8) is

$$\varepsilon = \omega_p^{\frac{p-1}{p^2}} U^{\frac{(1-2p)(p-1)}{2p^2}}(2R).$$

Using this, we have finally

$$I \leq c \omega_p^{\frac{p-1}{p}} U^{\frac{p-1}{2p}}(2R) \Phi(2R). \quad (3.9)$$

(In case of  $U(2R) = 0$  this estimate is trivial.)

Coming back to (2.11) we put there  $\mathcal{B}_1 = 0$  and take care of the smallness of the product

$$\frac{1}{\nu^2} \omega_p^{\frac{p-1}{p}} U^{\frac{p-1}{2p}}(2R). \quad (3.10)$$

From this and from the fact that the expression  $U(2d)$  can be estimated by  $\|Du\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2/d^n$  we conclude that the algebraic lemma can be applied if

$$\frac{1}{\nu^2} \omega_p^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \left( \frac{\|Du\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2}{d^n} \right)^{\frac{p-1}{2p}} \quad (3.11)$$

is sufficiently small.

**REMARK 2.** Coming back to (2.8) we can see that in the case of  $\tilde{\omega} = \sup_{t>0} \omega^2(t)$  sufficiently small we can derive the estimate of the type (2.11) which does not depend on  $d$  so that we obtain the usual interior regularity in  $\Omega$ . On the other hand, it is easy to construct an example of modulus of continuity  $\omega$  for which  $\tilde{\omega}$  is big and  $\omega_p^{\frac{p-1}{p}}$  is small (for some  $p \in (1, \frac{n}{n-2})$ ).

Let  $p = \frac{n}{n-2}$ ,  $T > 0$ ,  $m > 0$ . Define  $\omega(t) = mt^{\frac{1}{n}}$  for  $t \in \langle 0, T \rangle$  and  $mT^{\frac{1}{n}}$  for  $t > T$ . For this choice of  $\omega$  we calculate  $\tilde{\omega} = m^2 T^{\frac{2}{n}}$ , meanwhile  $\omega_{\frac{n}{n-2}}^{\frac{1}{n-2}} = m^2$ .

## REFERENCES

- [1] M. Giaquinta, *Multiple integrals in the calculus of variations and nonlinear elliptic systems*. Annals of Mathematics Studies **105**, Princeton university press, Princeton 1983.

[Home Page](#)

[Title Page](#)

[Contents](#)



Page 8 of 8

[Go Back](#)

[Full Screen](#)

[Close](#)

[Quit](#)

[2] A. Kufner, O. John, S. Fučík, *Function spaces*, Academia, Prague 1977.

[3] J. Daněček, O. John, J. Stará, *Interior  $C^{1,\gamma}$ -regularity for weak solutions of nonlinear elliptic system*.  
Math. Nachr., **276** (2004), 47–56.