Proceedings of Equadiff-11 2005, pp. 197–200

Home Page

Contents

Page 1 of 6

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

Quit

## SOME EXISTENCE RESULT TO ELLIPTIC EQUATIONS WITH SEMILINEAR COEFFICIENTS\*

TSANG-HAI KUO<sup>†</sup>

Abstract. For the quasilinear elliptic equation

$$-\sum_{i,j=1}^{N} a_{ij}(x,u) \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} + c(x,u)u = f(x,u,\nabla u)$$

on a bounded smooth domain  $\Omega$  in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  with  $c(x,r) \ge \alpha_0$  and  $|f(x,r,\xi)| \le C_0 + h(|r|)|\xi|^{\theta}, 0 \le \theta < 2$ , we note that every solution  $u \in W^{2,p}(\Omega) \cap W_0^{1,p}(\Omega), p \ge \frac{2N}{N+2}$ , is  $L^{\infty}$ -bounded by  $\frac{C_0}{\alpha_0}$ . Consequently, the existence of such solution is irrelevant to  $a_{ij}(x,r)$  on  $|r| \ge \frac{C_0}{\alpha_0}$ . It is then shown that if the oscillation  $a_{ij}(x,r)$  with respect to r are sufficiently small for  $|r| \le \frac{C_0}{\alpha_0}$ , then there exists a solution  $u \in W^{2,p}(\Omega) \cap W_0^{1,p}(\Omega), 1 \le p < \infty$ .

Key words. Quasilinear elliptic problem, strong solution,  $W^{2,p}$  estimate

\*Partially supported by the National Science Council of R.O.C. under Project NSC 93-2115-M182-002.

<sup>†</sup> Center for General Education, Chang Gung University, Taoyuan, Taiwan, (thkuo@mail.cgu.edu.tw)

Home Page Title Page Page 2 of 6 Go Back Full Screen Close Quit

**1.** Introduction. For a bounded domain  $\Omega$  in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ ,  $N \ge 3$ , which is  $C^{1,1}$  diffeomorphic to a ball in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ , let  $L_v, L, D_v$ , and D are elliptic operators defined by

$$\begin{split} L_{v}u &= -\sum_{i,j=1}^{N} a_{ij}(x,v) \frac{\partial^{2}u}{\partial x_{i}\partial x_{j}} + c(x,v)u,\\ Lu &= L_{u}u,\\ D_{v}u &= -\sum_{i,j=1}^{N} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}} a_{ij}(x,v) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{j}} + c(x,v)u,\\ Du &= D_{u}u, \end{split}$$

where the coefficients  $a_{ij}, c$ , and  $\frac{\partial a_{ij}}{\partial x_i}$ ,  $\frac{\partial a_{ij}}{\partial r}$  are bounded Carathéodory functions,  $c \ge \alpha_0 > 0$  and  $\sum_{i,j=1}^N a_{ij}\xi_i\xi_j \ge \lambda |\xi|^2$  for some constants  $\alpha_0$  and  $\lambda$ .

Let  $f(x, r, \xi)$  be a Carathéodory function satisfying  $|f(x, r, \xi)| \leq C_0 + h(|r|)|\xi|^2$ , where h(|r|) is a locally bounded function. It is shown in [1] that there exists a solution  $u \in H_0^1(\Omega) \cap L^{\infty}(\Omega)$  to the equation

$$Du = f(x, u, \nabla u) \qquad \text{in } \Omega. \tag{1.1}$$

Moreover, every solution  $u \in H_0^1(\Omega) \cap L^{\infty}(\Omega)$  to (1.1) is  $L^{\infty}$ -bounded by  $r_0 = \frac{C_0}{\alpha_0}$ . In pursuit of strong solutions, we note that (1.1) can be reformulated as

$$Lu = f(x, u, \nabla u) + \sum_{i,j=1}^{N} \frac{\partial a_{ij}}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} + \sum_{i,j=1}^{N} \frac{\partial a_{ij}}{\partial r} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j}.$$
 (1.2)

It then suffices to examine the existence of solutions to (1.2) with  $a_{ij}(x,r)$  replaced by

$$b_{ij}(x,r) = \begin{cases} a_{ij}(x,r), & \text{if } |r| < r_0 \\ a_{ij}(x,r_0), & \text{if } |r| \ge r_0 \end{cases}$$
(1.3)



For the main purpose of this paper, we shall study the existence of solutions  $u \in W^{2,p}(\Omega) \cap W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$  to the equation

$$Lu = f(x, u, \nabla u) \qquad \text{in } \Omega, \tag{1.4}$$

where

$$|f(x,r,\xi)| \leq C_0 + h(|r|)|\xi|^{\theta}, \qquad 0 \leq \theta < 2.$$

$$(1.5)$$

Recall that in the linear case when  $a_{ij} = a_{ij}(x)$  and  $f = f(x) \in L^p(\Omega)$ , one has the  $W^{2,p}$  estimate from [2] that

$$||u||_{W^{2,p}(\Omega)} \leq C(||u||_{L^{p}(\Omega)} + ||f||_{L^{p}(\Omega)})$$

for every solution  $u \in W^{2,p}(\Omega) \cap W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$  to (1.4). This estimate remains valid if the oscillation  $a_{ij}(x,r)$  with respect to r are sufficiently small [3]. A  $W^{2,p}$  estimate was then performed to deduce the existence of solutions to (1.4). In Section 2, we observe that every solution  $u \in W^{2,p}(\Omega) \cap W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$  to (1.4),  $p \ge \frac{2N}{N+2}$ , is  $L^{\infty}$  -bounded by  $r_0 = \frac{C_0}{\alpha_0}$ . Thus, the existence of solution is irrelevent to  $a_{ij}(x,r)$  on  $|r| \ge r_0$ . Our main result in THEOREM 2.4 shows that if the oscillation of  $a_{ij}(x,r)$  with respect to r for  $|r| \le r_0$  are sufficiently small, then there exists a solution  $u \in W^{2,p}(\Omega) \cap W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \cap W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$  for  $1 \le p < \infty$ .

**2. Existence of Strong Solutions.** Our main result in this section aims to show the existence of solutions  $u \in W^{2,p}(\Omega) \cap W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$  to (1.4). In the light of [1, p. 45], every solution  $u \in H_0^1(\Omega) \cap L^{\infty}(\Omega)$  to (1.1) is  $L^{\infty}$ -bounded by  $r_0$ , one gets readily that

LEMMA 2.1. Every solution  $u \in W^{2,p}(\Omega) \cap W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ ,  $p \ge \frac{2N}{N+2}$ , is  $L^{\infty}$ -bounded by  $r_0$ . Proof. Let

$$\tilde{f}(x,r,\xi) = f(x,r,\xi) - \sum_{i,j=1}^{N} \frac{\partial a_{ij}}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} - \sum_{i,j=1}^{N} \frac{\partial a_{ij}}{\partial r} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j}.$$
(2.1)

(1.4) can be rewritten as

$$Du = \tilde{f}(x, u, \nabla u).$$

For every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , one deduces from (1.5) that  $|\tilde{f}(x,r,\xi)| \leq C_0 + \varepsilon + h_1(|r|)|\xi|^2$ , where  $h_1(|r|)$  is a locally bounded function. Notice that a solution  $u \in H_0^1(\Omega) \cap L^{\infty}(\Omega)$  to (1.1) is  $L^{\infty}$ -bounded by  $r_0 + \frac{\varepsilon}{\alpha_0}$ . Therefore, every solution  $u \in W^{2,p}(\Omega) \cap W_0^{1,p}(\Omega), \ p \geq \frac{2N}{N+2}$ , is  $L^{\infty}$ -bounded by  $r_0$ .

For a fixed x in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ , let  $a_{ij}(x,r;s)$  denote the oscillation of  $a_{ij}(x,r)$  with respect to r for  $|r| \leq s$ , i.e.,

$$\operatorname{osc} a_{ij}(x,r;s) = \sup\{|a_{ij}(x,r_1) - a_{ij}(x,r_2)| : |r_1|, |r_2| \leq s\}.$$

Let  $\operatorname{osc} a(x,r;s) = \max_{1 \leq i,j \leq N} \operatorname{osc} a_{ij}(x,r;s)$  and  $\operatorname{osc} a(x,r) = \operatorname{osc} a(x,r;+\infty)$ . For operators  $L_v$ , we quote the following result from [3, p. 191]

LEMMA 2.2. Let  $\Omega$  be a bounded domain in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  which is  $C^{1,1}$  diffeomorphic to a ball in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ , and the coefficients  $a_{ij} \in C^{0,1}(\bar{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R})$ ,  $|a_{ij}|$ ,  $|c| \leq \Lambda$ , where  $\Lambda$  is a positive constant,  $i, j = 1, \ldots, N$ . Assume that  $\operatorname{osc} a_{ij}(x, r)$  is sufficiently small with respect to r and uniformly for  $x \in \Omega$ . Then if  $u \in W^{2,p}(\Omega) \cap W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$  and  $L_v u \in L^p(\Omega)$ , 1 . One has the estimate

$$||u||_{W^{2,p}(\Omega)} \leqslant C(||L_v u||_{L^p(\Omega)} + ||u||_{L^p(\Omega)}),$$

where C is a constant (independent of v) dependent on N, p,  $\lambda$ ,  $\Lambda$ ,  $\partial\Omega$ , and  $\Omega$ , the diffeomorphism and the moduli of continuity of  $a_{ij}(x,r)$  with respect to x in  $\overline{\Omega}$ .

Denote  $\tilde{L}v$  and  $\tilde{L}$  the elliptic operators with  $a_{ij}(x,r)$  replaced by  $b_{ij}(x,r)$ , i.e.,

$$\tilde{L}_{v}u = -\sum_{i,j=1}^{N} b_{ij}(x,v) \frac{\partial^{2}u}{\partial x_{i}\partial x_{j}} + c(x,v)u,$$

Home Page Title Page Contents Page 4 of 6 Go Back

Close

Full Screen

Quit



and

$$\tilde{L}u = \tilde{L}_u u.$$

Consider now the equation

$$\tilde{L}u = f(x, u, \nabla u) \tag{2.2}$$

Let  $f_n$  be the truncature of f by  $\pm n$ . For  $v \in W^{2,p}(\Omega) \cap W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ , the Dirichlet problem  $\tilde{L}_v u = f_n(x, v, \nabla v)$  has a unique solution  $u_n \in W^{2,p}(\Omega) \cap W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$  and by LEMMA 2.2

$$|u_n||_{W^{2,p}(\Omega)} \leq C(||u_n||_{L_p(\Omega)} + ||f_n(x, v, \nabla v)||_{L_p(\Omega)}).$$

An application of the weak maximum principle of A. D. Aleksandrov [2, p. 220] together with the Schauder Fixed Point Theorem implies that there exists a solution  $u_n \in W^{2,p}(\Omega) \cap W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$  to (2.2). Moreover, by the constraint (1.5) on f, one has the following estimate from [3].

LEMMA 2.3. The approximating solutions  $(u_n)$  are  $W^{2,p}$ -bounded.

The existence of solutions can now be deduced from above lemmas.

THEOREM 2.4. Let  $\Omega$  be a bounded  $C^{1,1}$ -smooth domain in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ ,  $N \ge 3$ ,  $a_{ij} \in C^{0,1}(\bar{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R})$ ,  $\frac{\partial a_{ij}}{\partial x_i}$ ,  $\frac{\partial a_{ij}}{\partial r}$ , c be bounded Carathodory functions,  $c(x,r) \ge \alpha_0 > 0$ . Assume that  $f(x,r,\xi)$  satisfies (1.5) and  $osca(x,r;r_0)$  is sufficiently small uniformly for  $x \in \bar{\Omega}$ . Then there exists a solution  $u \in W^{2,p}(\Omega) \cap W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$  to Equation (1.4).

Proof. By LEMMA2.3 we get the approximating solutions  $(u_n)$  which are  $W^{2,p}$ -bounded. It follows from the compact imbedding  $W^{2,p}(\Omega) \to W^{1,p}(\Omega)$  that there exists a convergent subsequence in  $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ , which is still denoted by  $(u_n)$ , such that  $u_n \to u$  a.e.,  $\nabla u_n \to$  $\nabla u$  a.e. and  $u_n \to u$  in  $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ . Moreover, since  $||u_n||_{W^{2,p}(\Omega)} \leq M$  and the set  $\{v \in$ 



 $W^{2,p}(\Omega) \cap W^{1,p}_0(\Omega) |||v||_{W^{2,p}(\Omega)} \leq M$  is closed in  $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ , the limit u of  $(u_n)$  belongs to  $W^{2,p}(\Omega) \cap W^{1,p}_0(\Omega)$ .

Passing to the limit and using Vitali Convergence Theorem, one can show that  $Lu_n \to Lu$ in  $\mathfrak{D}(\mathbf{\Omega})$  and  $f_n(x, u, \nabla u_n) \to f(x, u, \nabla u)$  in  $L^1(\mathbf{\Omega})$ , which proves that u is a solution to (2.2).

Notice that  $||u||_{L^{\infty}} \leq r_0$  by LEMMA 2.1, hence  $b_{ij}(x, u(x)) = a_{ij}(x, u(x))$  a.e. Therefore one concludes that u is in fact a solution to (1.4).

## REFERENCES

- L. Boccardo, F. Murat and J. P. Puel, Existence de solutions faibles pour des équations elliptiques quasilinéaires a croissance quadratique, in: Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations and Their Applications, J. L. Lions and H. Brezis eds., Collge de France Seminar, Vol. IV, Research Notes in Math. 84, Pitman, London, 1983, 19-73.
- [2] D. Gilbarg and N. S. Trudinger, *Elliptic Partial Differential Equations of Second Order*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1983.
- [3] T. H. Kuo and Y. J. Chen, Existence of strong solutions to some quasilinear elliptic problems on bounded smooth domains, Taiwanese J. Math. 6(2) (2002), 187–204.